



## **POPULATION STATISTICS**

**CENSUS 2001 & 2011**

## BACKGROUND

The National 2001 & 2011 Census's collected data relating to population. Key figures relating to the local area are shown below. Note figures are rounded to the nearest hundred.

### LOCAL AUTHORITY LEVEL

Total Pop	2001	2011	Difference	% Increase
Adur	59,600	61,300	1,700	2.9%
Arun	140,000	149,200	8,500	6.0%
Chichester	106,500	113,700	7,200	6.8%
Crawley	99,700	106,800	7,100	7.1%
Horsham	122,100	131,600	9,500	7.8%
Mid Sussex	127,400	139,800	12,400	9.7%
Worthing	97,600	104,600	7,000	7.1%

### local authority level key facts


- All of the districts/boroughs in West Sussex have had an increase in population from 2001 and 2011
- Mid Sussex has had the greatest increase in population at 9.7%
- Horsham has had the second highest increase in population at 7.8%
- Worthing has had the joint third highest increase in population with Crawley, 7.1%
- Adur saw the lowest increase in population in West Sussex at 2.9%.

## ADUR

Age group	2001				2011				Difference
	Males	Females	Male and Female Total pop	Male and Female as % of total pop	Males	Females	Male and Female total pop	Male and Female as % of total pop	% increase or decrease 2001 to 2011
All age groups	28,200	31,300	59,500	100%	29,500	31,800	61,300	100%	
0-4	1,700	1,600	3,300	5.5%	1,900	1,700	3,600	5.9%	+0.4%
5-9	1,800	1,800	3,600	6.1%	1,600	1,500	3,100	5.1%	-1.0%
10-14	1,900	1,800	3,700	6.2%	1,700	1,500	3,200	5.2%	-1.0%
15-19	1,900	1,500	3,400	5.7%	1,800	1,700	3,500	5.7%	0.0%
20-24	1,300	1,200	2,500	4.2%	1,500	1,500	3,000	4.9%	+0.7%
25-29	1,400	1,600	3,000	5.0%	1,500	1,600	3,100	5.1%	+0.1%
30-34	1,800	2,000	3,800	6.4%	1,600	1,800	3,400	5.5%	-0.9%
35-39	2,200	2,200	4,400	7.4%	1,900	2,100	4,000	6.5%	-0.9%
40-44	1,900	2,000	3,900	6.6%	2,200	2,300	4,500	7.3%	+0.7%
45-49	1,800	1,900	3,700	6.2%	2,200	2,200	4,400	7.2%	+1.0%
50-54	2,000	2,200	4,200	7.1%	2,000	2,000	4,000	6.5%	-0.6%
55-59	1,900	2,000	3,900	6.6%	1,800	1,900	3,700	6.0%	-0.6%
60-64	1,500	1,800	3,300	5.5%	2,000	2,300	4,300	7.0%	+1.5%
65-69	1,400	1,700	3,100	5.2%	1,800	1,900	3,700	6.0%	+0.8%
70-74	1,300	1,800	3,100	5.2%	1,400	1,700	3,100	5.1%	-0.1%
75-79	1,200	1,600	2,800	4.7%	1,100	1,500	2,600	4.2%	-0.5%
80-84	700	1,200	1,900	3.2%	800	1,200	2,000	3.3%	+0.1%
85-89	400	900	1,300	2.2%	500	900	1,400	2.3%	+0.1%
90+	100	500	600	1.0%	200	500	700	1.1%	+0.1%

# ADUR

This graph has been produced from data in the table above. Blue shows males, green females.



Age group as a % of the total district population

## ADUR AGE GROUP SUMMARY

Age group	2001	2011
	%	%
Under 16	19.0	17.3
65+	21.5	22.0
85+	3.2	3.4

### Adur key facts

Key points are noted here from both the table and graph above for Adur. It must be borne in mind that when studying the graph it shows a snapshot in time, i.e. 2001 and 2011, and that with time the bars and lines on the graph shuffle up, i.e. people get older. This will become clear in the points raised.


- There has been a slight increase of 0 to 4 year olds, 0.4% from 2001 to 2011.
- Overall though there has been a slight decrease, 1.7%, in the under 16's in the population in Adur from 2001 to 2011.
- There has been a very slight increase, 0.5%, in the over 65's from 2001 to 2011.
- One of the largest increases in the population from 2001 to 2011 has been in the 40 to 50 year olds. This increase is reflected in the 'bulging' of the 2011 line on the graph for this age range. The large population in this age range is due partly to the 'baby boom' of the 1960's. Data to be released on immigration over the coming months may also shed light on this large population group. This bulge for 40 to 50 year olds then has underneath it a 'pinching' in of the line, i.e. less population, for 20 to 30 year olds. This is one of the major issues facing the public sector on both a local and national level. As the 40 to 50 year olds of today get older, retire, need more care, there will be a deficit of people of working age younger than them to support them.

## WORTHING

Age Group	2001				2011				Difference
	Males	Females	Male and Female Total pop	Male and Female as % of total pop	Males	Females	Male and Female Total Pop	Male and Female as % of total pop	% increase or decrease 2001 to 2011
All age groups	46,000	52,000	98,000	100%	50,500	54,100	104,600	100%	
0-4	2,700	2,600	5,300	5.4%	3,300	3,000	6,300	6.0%	+0.6%
5-9	2,900	2,800	5,700	5.8%	3,000	2,600	5,600	5.4%	-0.4%
10-14	3,000	2,800	5,800	5.9%	2,800	2,800	5,600	5.4%	-0.5%
15-19	2,500	2,400	4,900	5.0%	2,900	2,700	5,600	5.4%	+0.4%
20-24	2,300	2,400	4,700	4.8%	2,700	2,800	5,500	5.3%	+0.5%
25-29	2,800	2,800	5,600	5.7%	2,900	3,100	6,000	5.7%	0.0%
30-34	3,400	3,600	7,000	7.1%	3,100	3,300	6,400	6.1%	-1.0%
35-39	3,800	3,700	7,500	7.7%	3,400	3,500	6,900	6.6%	-1.1%
40-44	3,200	3,200	6,400	6.5%	4,000	3,900	7,900	7.6%	+1.1%
45-49	2,800	2,900	5,700	5.8%	3,900	4,100	8,000	7.6%	+1.8%
50-54	3,200	3,200	6,400	6.5%	3,400	3,400	6,800	6.5%	0.0%
55-59	2,600	2,800	5,400	5.5%	2,800	3,000	5,800	5.5%	0.0%
60-64	2,300	2,500	4,800	4.9%	3,200	3,300	6,500	6.2%	+1.3%
65-69	2,100	2,600	4,700	4.8%	2,500	2,800	5,300	5.1%	+0.3%
70-74	2,100	2,800	4,900	5.0%	2,100	2,500	4,600	4.4%	-0.6%
75-79	1,800	3,000	4,800	4.9%	1,700	2,300	4,000	3.8%	-1.3%
80-84	1,300	2,500	3,800	3.9%	1,500	2,100	3,600	3.4%	-0.5%
85-89	800	2,000	2,800	2.9%	900	1,700	2,600	2.5%	-0.4%
90+	400	1,400	1,800	1.8%	400	1,200	1,600	1.5%	-0.3%

# WORTHING

This graph has been produced from data in the table above. Blue shows males, green females.



Age group as a % of the total district population

## WORTHING AGE GROUP SUMMARIES

Age group	2001	2011
	%	%
Under 16	18.1	17.8
65+	23.3	20.7
85+	4.7	4.0

### Worthing key facts

Key points are noted here from both the table and graph above for Worthing. It must be borne in mind that when studying the graph it shows a snapshot in time, i.e. 2001 and 2011, and that with time the bars and lines on the graph shuffle up, i.e. people get older. This will become clear in the points raised.

- As in Adur there has been a slight increase in Worthing of 0 to 4 year olds, 0.6%, from 2001 to 2011.
- As in Adur overall though there has been a slight decrease, 1.3%, in the under 16's in the population 2001 to 2011.
- Interestingly there has been a decrease, 2.6%, in the over 65's from 2001 to 2011. There was a slight increase in Adur.
- The largest increase in the population from 2001 to 2011 has been in the 40 to 50 year olds. This increase is reflected in the 'bulging' of the 2011 line on the graph for this age range. The large population in this age range is due partly to the 'baby boom' of the 1960's. Data to be released over forthcoming months on immigration may also shed light on this large population group. This bulge for 40 to 50 year olds then has underneath it a 'pinching' in, i.e. less population, for 20 to 30 year olds. This is one of the major issues facing the public sector on both a local and national level. As the 40 to 50 year of today get older, retire, need more care, there will be a deficit of people of working age younger than them to support them.



## **ELECTORAL WARD LEVEL**

Each local authority is divided up into smaller areas called electoral wards. There are 14 electoral wards in Adur and 13 in Worthing. The two tables that follow show population data on a ward level, the first table for Adur and the second for Worthing. The tables have the largest wards shown first running to the right to the smallest ward.

### **Key facts**

#### **Adur**

- Widewater Ward has the largest total population of any ward in Adur, Buckingham has the lowest.
- Widewater Ward has a high number and percentage of older people, aged 65 and over.
- Eastbrook Ward has a high number and percentage of babies & young children, aged 0-9.
- Manor Ward has a high number and percentage of young people, aged 10-19.
- Mash Barn Ward has a high number of 10-14 year olds.

#### **Worthing**

- Central Ward has the largest total population of any ward in Worthing, Northbrook has the lowest.
- Broadwater Ward has a high percentage of babies, aged 0-4.
- Broadwater Ward has high numbers of children and young people, aged 8-19.
- Central Ward has a high number of babies, aged 0-4.
- Central Ward has a high number and percentage of young working age adults, age 20-44.
- Goring Ward has a high number and percentage of people aged 60 -84.
- Heene Ward has a high number of older people aged 84+
- Northbrook Ward has a high percentage of children and young people in its population, aged 5 -19.

## Adur – Population on a ward level

10% highest numbers for an age group in Adur

10% highest %'s for an age group in Adur

Age	Widewater	Eastbrook	Marine	Mash Barn	S'wick Green	Churchill	St Mary's	Peverel	Manor	Hillside	Cokeham	Southlands	St Nicolas	Buckingham
<b>Total Pop</b>	<b>5715</b>	<b>4607</b>	<b>4524</b>	<b>4437</b>	<b>4436</b>	<b>4411</b>	<b>4400</b>	<b>4363</b>	<b>4247</b>	<b>4212</b>	<b>4198</b>	<b>3981</b>	<b>3829</b>	<b>3813</b>
<b>0 to 4</b>	287 5.0%	321 7.0%	318 7.0%	249 5.6%	255 5.7%	256 5.8%	285 6.5%	233 5.3%	225 5.3%	228 5.4%	217 5.2%	268 6.7%	202 5.3%	193 5.1%
<b>5 to 7</b>	127 2.2%	174 3.8%	168 3.7%	148 3.3%	142 3.2%	123 2.8%	144 3.3%	142 3.3%	120 2.8%	131 3.1%	108 2.6%	122 3.1%	126 3.3%	124 3.3%
<b>8 to 9</b>	78 1.4%	115 2.5%	97 2.1%	109 2.5%	93 2.1%	81 1.8%	76 1.7%	75 1.7%	82 1.9%	90 2.10%	79 1.9%	114 2.9%	60 1.6%	62 1.6%
<b>10 to 14</b>	198 3.5%	284 6.2%	194 4.3%	289 6.5%	227 5.1%	196 4.4%	205 4.7%	252 5.8%	286 6.7%	242 5.7%	186 4.4%	243 6.1%	176 4.6%	195 5.1%
<b>15</b>	46 0.8%	68 1.5%	37 0.8%	75 1.7%	55 1.2%	46 1.0%	51 1.2%	59 1.4%	85 2.0%	60 1.4%	49 1.2%	76 1.9%	32 0.8%	44 1.2%
<b>16 to 17</b>	92 1.6%	153 3.3%	78 1.7%	133 3.0%	95 2.1%	94 2.1%	103 2.3%	116 2.7%	191 4.5%	95 2.3%	89 2.1%	93 2.3%	87 2.3%	82 2.2%
<b>18 to 19</b>	95 1.7%	126 2.7%	61 1.3%	118 2.7%	78 1.8%	81 1.8%	92 2.1%	90 2.1%	129 3.0%	92 2.2%	65 1.5%	89 2.2%	73 1.9%	67 1.8%
<b>20 to 24</b>	268 4.7%	260 5.6%	231 5.1%	252 5.7%	238 5.4%	251 5.7%	233 5.3%	210 4.8%	153 3.6%	213 5.0%	194 4.6%	214 5.4%	127 3.3%	138 3.6%
<b>25 to 29</b>	318 5.6%	270 5.9%	301 6.7%	227 5.1%	235 5.3%	231 5.2%	265 6.0%	208 4.8%	182 4.3%	176 4.2%	220 5.2%	224 5.6%	111 2.9%	163 4.3%
<b>30 to 44</b>	1027 18.0%	980 21.3%	1070 23.7%	828 18.7%	839 18.9%	730 16.5%	992 22.5%	837 19.2%	747 17.6%	797 18.9%	782 18.6%	784 19.7%	713 18.6%	716 18.8%
<b>45 to 59</b>	1113 19.5%	847 18.4%	919 20.3%	896 20.2%	895 20.2%	805 18.2%	812 18.5%	827 19.0%	838 19.7%	912 21.6%	927 22.1%	715 18.0%	764 20.0%	862 22.6%
<b>60 to 64</b>	428 7.5%	274 5.9%	311 6.9%	282 6.4%	312 7.0%	304 6.9%	260 5.9%	298 6.8%	324 7.6%	258 6.1%	337 8.0%	237 6.0%	349 9.1%	327 8.6%
<b>65 to 74</b>	744 13.0%	385 8.4%	357 7.9%	444 10.0%	478 10.8%	559 12.7%	399 9.1%	559 12.8%	474 11.2%	459 10.9%	531 12.6%	347 8.7%	497 13.0%	482 12.6%
<b>75 to 84</b>	604 10.6%	236 5.1%	271 6.0%	283 6.4%	340 7.7%	454 10.3%	307 7.0%	323 7.4%	301 7.1%	338 8.0%	312 7.4%	330 8.3%	325 8.5%	260 6.8%
<b>84 to 89</b>	167 2.9%	76 1.6%	78 1.7%	73 1.6%	88 2.0%	138 3.1%	110 2.5%	89 2.0%	68 1.6%	97 2.3%	80 1.9%	98 2.5%	119 3.1%	67 1.8%
<b>90+</b>	123 2.2%	38 0.8%	33 0.7%	31 0.7%	66 1.5%	62 1.4%	66 1.5%	45 1.0%	42 1.0%	33 0.8%	22 0.5%	27 0.7%	68 1.8%	31 0.8%

## Worthing – Population on a ward level

10% highest numbers for an age group in Worthing
  10% highest %'s for an age group in Worthing

Age	Central	Gaisford	Broadwater	Salvington	Tarring	Selden	Castle	Marine	Goring	Heene	Offington	Durrington	Northbrook
<b>Total Pop</b>	<b>9890</b>	<b>9373</b>	<b>9373</b>	<b>8893</b>	<b>8646</b>	<b>8377</b>	<b>8020</b>	<b>7999</b>	<b>7990</b>	<b>7859</b>	<b>7719</b>	<b>5528</b>	<b>4973</b>
<b>0 to 4</b>	737 7.5%	658 7.0%	721 7.7%	460 5.2%	590 6.8%	514 6.1%	484 6%	443 5.5%	308 3.9%	401 5.1%	314 4.1%	352 6.4%	364 7.3%
<b>5 to 7</b>	292 3.0%	386 4.1%	375 4.0%	289 3.2%	318 3.7%	264 3.2%	250 3.1%	235 2.9%	209 2.6%	158 2.0%	257 3.3%	176 3.2%	229 4.6%
<b>8 to 9</b>	148 1.5%	246 2.6%	261 2.8%	156 1.8%	202 2.3%	147 1.8%	179 2.2%	131 1.6%	149 1.9%	88 1.1%	171 2.2%	99 1.8%	144 2.9%
<b>10 to 14</b>	336 3.4%	610 6.5%	619 6.6%	469 5.3%	512 5.9%	430 5.1%	499 6.2%	376 4.7%	407 5.1%	212 2.7%	483 6.3%	262 4.7%	369 7.4%
<b>15</b>	73 0.7%	134 1.4%	150 1.60%	97 1.1%	83 1.0%	101 1.2%	92 1.1%	70 0.9%	74 0.9%	61 0.8%	100 1.30%	52 0.9%	93 1.9%
<b>16 to 17</b>	142 1.4%	234 2.5%	243 2.6%	188 2.1%	193 2.2%	160 1.9%	190 2.4%	169 2.1%	157 2.0%	101 1.3%	195 2.5%	114 2.1%	184 3.7%
<b>18 to 19</b>	175 1.8%	235 2.5%	238 2.5%	179 2.0%	174 2.0%	148 1.8%	191 2.4%	181 2.3%	163 2.0%	129 1.6%	143 1.9%	91 1.6%	162 3.3%
<b>20 to 24</b>	736 7.4%	553 5.9%	514 5.5%	382 4.3%	445 5.1%	511 6.1%	425 5.3%	382 4.8%	241 3.0%	511 6.5%	249 3.2%	253 4.6%	295 5.9%
<b>25 to 29</b>	967 9.8%	528 5.6%	578 6.2%	320 3.6%	493 5.7%	555 6.6%	418 5.2%	385 4.8%	243 3.0%	723 9.20%	220 2.9%	266 4.8%	317 6.4%
<b>30 to 44</b>	2582 26.1%	2130 22.7%	2114 22.6%	1554 17.5%	1918 22.2%	1894 22.6%	1473 18.4%	1436 18.0%	1101 13.8%	1699 21.6%	1318 17.1%	991 17.9%	1076 21.6%
<b>45 to 59</b>	1554 15.7%	1944 20.7%	1826 19.5%	1866 21.0%	1755 20.3%	1654 19.7%	1583 19.7%	1624 20.3%	1570 19.6%	1328 16.9%	1627 21.1%	1143 20.7%	1072 21.6%
<b>60 to 64</b>	411 4.2%	435 4.6%	493 5.3%	718 8.1%	527 6.1%	502 6.0%	536 6.7%	562 7.0%	677 8.5%	432 5.5%	624 8.1%	404 7.3%	255 5.1%
<b>65 to 74</b>	692 7.0%	611 6.5%	637 6.8%	1134 12.8%	711 8.2%	739 8.8%	816 10.2%	865 10.8%	1156 14.5%	610 7.8%	1041 13.5%	645 11.7%	284 5.7%
<b>75 to 84</b>	579 5.9%	462 4.9%	428 4.6%	750 8.4%	492 5.7%	473 5.6%	630 7.9%	733 9.2%	1036 13.0%	709 9.0%	675 8.7%	488 8.8%	100 2.0%
<b>84 to 89</b>	269 2.7%	129 1.4%	121 1.3%	196 2.2%	154 1.8%	167 2.0%	184 2.3%	243 3.0%	340 4.3%	348 4.4%	198 2.6%	134 2.4%	21 0.4%
<b>90+</b>	197 2.0%	78 0.8%	55 0.6%	135 1.5%	79 0.9%	118 1.4%	70 0.9%	164 2.1%	159 2.0%	349 4.4%	104 1.3%	58 1.0%	8 0.2%

**LOWER SUPER OUTPUT LEVEL**

Electoral wards are further divided into smaller areas of between 1,000 – 2,500 population called lower super output areas. Population data for these smaller areas can be found at:

<http://www.neighbourhood.statistics.gov.uk/dissemination>

Or by contacting Lynne Ward below.

**REPORT WRITTEN BY**

Lynne Ward  
Neighbourhood Manager  
[Lynne.ward@adur-worthing.gov.uk](mailto:Lynne.ward@adur-worthing.gov.uk)

With assistance from Aaron Christie, Work Experience Placement