

COMMITTEE: Overview and Scrutiny
DATE: 25th November 2008
COMMITTEE: Cabinet
DATE: 25th November 2008

AGENDA ITEM NO:

WARD: All

TITLE: Capital Investment Programme 2009/10 – 2011/12

REPORT BY: Sarah Gobey, Executive Head (Financial Services)

1. **SUMMARY**

- 1.1 The report recommends the schemes for inclusion in the overall Capital Investment Programme for the three years 2009/2010 2011/2012.
- 1.2 The report informs the Cabinet of the resources available for future capital investment, and updates Members about the financing of the proposed three-year programme.
- 1.3 The following appendices have been attached to the report:
 - (i) **Appendix 2** The approved prioritisation system;
 - (ii) **Appendix 3** The proposed programme of new General Fund schemes which is recommended for approval;
 - (iii) **Appendix 4** Details of the schemes not recommended for inclusion in the three-year programme;
 - (iv) **Appendix 5** The proposed Housing Renovation Programme;
 - (v) **Appendix 6** The listing of all partnership schemes;
 - (vi) **Appendix 7** The reserve list
- 1.4 The draft programmes will be considered first by the Overview and Scrutiny Committee. Any comments or proposals for amending the programmes will be referred on to the Cabinet at its meeting later on the same day, prior to final recommendations then being made to the Council on the 11th December, 2008.

2. BACKGROUND

2.1 The Council agreed an updated Capital Strategy on 24th July, 2008. The strategy set out the financing policy for the proposed Capital Investment Programme as follows:

2. **BACKGROUND** (continued)

- (a) The financing strategy for the General Fund assumes that the Council continues with its policy of an overall addition to the general fund programme each year of £1,000,000 funded from a mix of Prudential Borrowing and capital receipts supplemented by S106 receipts and other grants and contributions receipts.
- (b) This total will be supplemented in 2009/10 by the addition of the following items which will be funded from reserves:
 - £100,000 for a capitalised planned maintenance programme;
 - £200,000 for Adur and Worthing partnership schemes;
- (c) The financing strategy for the HRA capital programme assumes an annual investment of £3.9m funded from the major repairs allowance £1.9m, revenue contribution of £200,000, a mix of supported and prudential borrowing, and grants. The programme is to be sustained at this level until the decent homes standard has been met subject to overall affordability.

This strategy was agreed in light of what was deemed affordable to the Council within the context of a difficult 3-year forecast. The revenue impact of this level of investment has been factored in to the Outline 3-year forecast and the overall funding strategy was agreed as part of the budget strategy agreed on 24th July 2008. Consequently, Members are faced with difficult choices this year about which schemes should be supported at a time when many of our services are in need of investment.

2.2 In addition the strategy contained changes to the Capital Scheme Approval Process to align the Adur and Worthing processes. These changes sought to use the best elements of both Councils capital processes and in effect recommended the use of Adur District Council's prioritisation model (with minor amendment) combined with the documentation and approach to option appraisal adopted by Worthing Borough Council. The new prioritisation scoring system is attached at Appendix 2. The scoring system offers Cabinet the opportunity to add an extra 5 points to schemes which are important for community or political reasons following consultation. The use of this prioritisation model ensures that proposed schemes contribute to the Council's overall objectives.

3. RESOURCES

- 3.1 There are two influences on the overall size of the capital programme, namely:
 - (i) the level of available resources to fund the programme;
 - (ii) the revenue consequences of the programme in terms of the cost of borrowing and any associated running costs.

Due to affordability considerations, there is little change in the method of financing the Capital Investment Programme planned over the next 3 years. However, Council has approved an invest-to-save scheme and so specific schemes which meet the criteria will be funded through prudential borrowing.

3.2 The proposed programme assumes a phased use of the available prudential borrowing, major repairs allowance, capital receipts and reserves over the next three years and investment will be financed using a mix of the following types of resources:

3.3 **Prudential borrowing**

The Prudential Code ability to borrow provides an authority for almost unlimited borrowing, provided it is 'affordable, sustainable and prudent'. In practical terms the amount of the borrowing is inhibited by the revenue consequences and is therefore severely restricted by the impact such borrowing would have on council tax and rent levels.

The Code includes Supported Capital Expenditure (Revenue) [SCE(R)]. This is the equivalent of borrowing approval granted by Central Government and is an allocation from the Single Pot usually for housing capital investment that results in the continuation of revenue support to the resultant capital charges through either the revenue grant in the General Fund or housing subsidy in the Housing Revenue Account.

The draft Housing Revenue Account Subsidy Determination gives a preliminary allocation for 2009/10 of just £236,000 and has to be used to fund housing capital expenditure in order to obtain the housing subsidy. There is no allocation for General Fund capital expenditure.

The annual revenue costs of Prudential Code borrowing, are as follows:-

General Fund - £1,000,000 of Prudential Code borrowing

	Year 1 £	Year 2 £
Principal repayment – MRP (4% average) Interest at say 4.00%	0 20,000	40,000 40,000
Total costs	20,000	80,000

Housing Revenue Account - £1,000,000 of Prudential Code borrowing

	Year 1 £	Year 2 £
Principal repayment – No MRP Interest at say 4.00%	0 20,000	0 40,000
Total costs	20,000	40,000

Year one costs assume the equivalent of a half-year spend and there is a full commitment into year 2.

3.1 MRP = Minimum Revenue Provision – the amount of 'capital' that has to be repaid each year, notionally this is the amount set aside to repay debts which have accumulated to finance schemes.

3.4 **Major repairs allowance**

This is the major funding resource for capital expenditure on Council dwellings. Although this cash allowance can only be spent on HRA properties, there is some flexibility as it can be carried forward from one year to the next if required. For 2009/10 it is estimated that the allowance will be £1,900,000, which it is intended to use in full.

3.5 Usable Capital Receipts derived from the sale of assets

Usable capital receipts are generated through the sale of Council owned assets, mainly council housing. Under current legislation the Council can use 25% of the receipts generated from the sale of council houses.

The 75% balance is paid over to Central Government under the pooling arrangements for 'redistribution'.

Whilst, the revenue implication of using any capital receipts is by no means as much as those incurred by borrowing, this is by no means a 'free' source of funding. The annual revenue costs of using £1m of capital receipts are as follows:-

	Year 1 £	Year 2 £
Interest at say 4.00%	20,000	40,000

Whilst in the past this has been a major source of funding for the capital programme, Members will be aware that the Council now has only limited access to capital receipts as:

- The Council does not own large tracts of land that can be disposed of when capital receipts are needed. There are some disposals which are currently taking place and which could be made available in time, but these are unlikely to meet all the investment needs of the Council in the immediate future;
- There are very few options for future disposals of operational assets in our ownership without service provision implications;

3.5 Usable Capital Receipts derived from the sale of assets

- Any benefits that might accrue from the sale of non-operational assets, such as the commercial properties, will be largely negated by the loss of significant rental and lease income. Consequently, the disposal of such assets can only be undertaken when there is a clear business case to justify such an action;
- Market conditions will make any potential sales difficult at the current time.

In light of the budget strategy and the limitations on generating additional capital receipts, the estimated balance of capital receipts to fund the draft Capital Investment Programme will be:

		Balance at 1 st April £'000	Receipts Generated £'000	Planned Use £'000	Balance at 31 st March £'000
2008/09	General	35	1,057	0	1,092
	Ring-fenced	644	0	190	454
	Total	679	1,057	190	1,546
2009/10	General	1,092	57	*1,000	149
	Ring-fenced	454	0	73	381
	Total	1,546	57	1,073	530
2010/11	General	149	57	200	6
	Ring-fenced	381	0	0	381
	Total	530	57	1,000	387

^{*} Maximum agreed funding. The final amount will depend on the schemes agreed. Currently £958,900 of this would be required to fund the proposed programme at Appendix 3.

The recent sale of the second part of Golden Sands Caravan Park affords the Council the opportunity to finance the General Fund capital programme from capital receipts in 2009/10 and thereby reduce the full year revenue cost by an estimated £40,000 per annum.

3.6 Revenue Contributions and Reserves

The Housing Revenue Account has traditionally made a revenue contribution towards the capital programme and for 2008/09, £200,000 has been provisionally allocated out of the Housing Revenue Account. The Cabinet will be considering the Housing Revenue Account budget on 23rd January 2009, and any changes to the £200,000 will be reported verbally.

3.7 Capital Grants and other external funding

The following capital grants and other contributions are expected and have been taken into account within the overall resources for the 2009/10 capital programme:

	£
Disabled Facilities Grant	210,000
Affordable Housing - S106 receipts	500,000

4. KEY ISSUE FOR THE CAPITAL INVESTMENT PROGRAMME

4.1 The following paragraphs update Members on the key issues associated with the Capital Investment Programme:

4.2 Adur Community Leisure

Adur Community Leisure Trust Limited has recently approached the Council for funding for the roof at Lancing Leisure Centre (£300,000) and the refurbishing of the Sports Hall floor also at Lancing (£30,000). These are outstanding items from the legal agreement with the Trust and should have been funded last year. The Trust has now requested that the Council meets this outstanding obligation, but has requested that the Council refurbish the Sports Hall at Southwick rather than Lancing as this is in greater need of refurbishment and repair. Depending upon the funding being made available this detail can be negotiated. However, inclusion of the schemes in the programme would mean that many other much needed schemes would not be able to be progressed in 2009/10.

The Council has three choices:

- (i) Fund the schemes from within the existing resources. The implication of this is that only schemes with 35 points or more would be funded;
- (ii) Delay the schemes until 2010/11, the Council has already delayed these schemes several times and is at risk of dispute with the Trust;
- (iii) Increase the amount of borrowing that the Council is prepared to undertake.

Elsewhere on the agenda is the report on the outline 3-year forecast, from the latest forecast for the revenue budget, it is likely that the Council can afford some additional borrowing. Given that this would both enable the Council to meet its outstanding legal obligations and maintain much needed investment in the Council's assets, it is recommended that Cabinet approved additional borrowing to fund the investment in the Leisure Centres as outlined above.

4. **KEY ISSUE FOR THE CAPITAL INVESTMENT PROGRAMME** (continued)

4.3 **Partnership Schemes**

When the capital programme was set for 2008/09, no provision was built in for schemes emerging in the current year. However, a reserve was set aside to meet the costs arising from partnership initiatives and the funding for the purchase of the IKEN software (£25,000) as outlined in the Legal and Democratic Services business plan has recently been approved from this reserve. During the consideration of the business cases it has become clear that there is a need to provide for the costs associated with the IT infrastructure and accommodation needs. Consequently, it is recommended that the Cabinet approve the release of a further £25,000 to fund these items. This will enable the Council to provide funding to match that already set aside by Worthing Borough Council.

In addition, during the compilation of the capital programme, it became clear that the resources allocated for partnership from the reserves (£200,000) would not be sufficient to fund the key schemes put forward. However, as these schemes would be of relative importance to the Council using the priority scoring system, some of the general resources allocated to the programme have been set aside to ensure that the schemes progress.

Members should also be aware that funding has yet to be identified for the 2010/11 onwards programme. This will be considered next July when the capital strategy is refreshed.

The full partnership programme is at Appendix 5.

5. **DRAFT PROGRAMMES**

- 5.1 Total bids of £1,351,900 for support from general resources were received against an agreed funding allocation of £1,000,000. The draft General Fund programme is attached at Appendix 3. The indicative programme has been prepared on the basis of the agreed guidelines and the estimated resources. Each scheme has been scored using the priority scoring system devised under the Capital Strategy, producing a balanced programme in accordance with the overall available funding. The cut-off point for schemes is at approximately 31 points.
- 5.2 The schemes which fail to meet the indicative cut-off are attached at Appendix 4. Members have the option to awarding up to 5 additional priority point to individual schemes if they would like them to feature in the final programme. Obviously, this would have to be matched by the removal of schemes from within the programme to ensure that the overall total general resources used stays within the £1,000,000 allocated.
- 5.3 Whilst the Prudential Code gives the Council freedom to fund the entire programme, if it wishes, by setting its own borrowing limit to match the bids received, there is also a requirement for any such decision to be "affordable, sustainable, and prudent". The full revenue implications of funding £1,000,000 has been built into the outline 3-year forecast and at the time of writing this report it would be difficult to find additional resources within the revenue budget to fund the revenue consequences of any further expenditure commitments.

5. **DRAFT PROGRAMMES** (continued)

- 5.4 The estimated resources available to fund the 2009/10 HRA renovation programme of £3,900,000 is sufficient to fund all the proposed schemes. By the end of 2009/10 the decent homes standard will be largely met and, in future, the overall programme will reduce.
- 5.5 In addition the following assumptions have been used in preparing the draft programmes:
 - (a) Maximise use of external funding where possible, i.e. National Lottery, Specific Grants
 - (b) Continuation of Specific Grant Aided Funding of 60% of Mandatory Disabled Facilities Grants up to Government allocation.
 - (c) The proposed Capital Programme and Reserve Lists include a number of the larger planned maintenance schemes. Only schemes which meet the criteria for capital funding are included.
- 5.6 The following table is a summary of total resources used to fund the new schemes included in the draft programme.

Programme Year	Revenue Contribu- tions and Reserves £	Major Repairs Allowanc e £	Capital Grants and Contributions	Usable Capital Receipts £	Borrowin g £	TOTAL £
2009/2010						
General Fund	300,000	0	710,000	958,900	0	1,968,900
HRA	200,000	1,962,600	0	0	1,694,400	3,857,000
Total	500,000	1,962,600	710,000	958,900	1,694,400	5,825,900
2010/2011						
General Fund	100,000	0	650,000	241,100	818,000	1,809,100
HRA	200,000	1,957,000	0	0	236,000	2,393,000
Total	300,000	1,957,000	650,000	240,000	1,054,000	4,202,100
2011/2012	-					
General Fund	100,000	0	710,000	0	985,300	1,795,300
HRA	200,000	1,952,000	0	0	236,000	2,388,000
Total	300,000	1,952,000	710,000	0	1,221,300	4,183,300
TOTAL						
General Fund	500,000	0	2,070,000	1,200,000	1,803,300	5,573,300
HRA	600,000	5,871,600	0	0	2,166,400	8,638,000
GRAND TOTAL	1,100,000	5,871,600	2,070,000	1,200,000	3,969,700	14,211,300

5. **DRAFT PROGRAMMES** (continued)

- 5.6 Members will that the total planned new spending over the next three years, totals £14,211,300. The table in 5.6 indicates that how this proposed programme will be financed.
- 5.7 Appendix 4 lists bids submitted for inclusion in the capital investment programme but, due to the overall resource constraints, it was not possible to accommodate these projects in the draft programme. These schemes will be included in the Reserve Lists of desirable schemes.

6. **REVENUE IMPLICATIONS**

- 6.1 The revenue implications (excluding the revenue impact of financing the Capital Investment Programme) of all the capital projects in the draft programmes are shown in last column of appendix 3,4 and 5. An assessment of the revenue implications of the planned programme has already been built into the Medium Term Financial Plan, this has already been recognised as a genuine "hit" on the overall revenue budget. There is, of course, no obligation to spend merely because resources are available. In considering the merits of any capital investment proposal, the opportunity cost of using the resources, the revenue cost associated with any borrowing and the interest earnings foregone by utilising capital receipts and reserves require full consideration.
- 6.2 The full year revenue impact of financing the capital programme is shown below:-

Programme Year	Revenue Impact		Cumu	ılative	Full-Year Impact in
	General Fund	HRA	General Fund	HRA	
2009/2010 2010/2011 2011/2011	62,900 990,700 93,700	152,500 21,200 21,200	62,900 153,600 247,300	152,500 173,700 194,900	2010/2011 2011/2012 2012/2013

The above figures do not include any other direct revenue implications, which could be either positive or negative, depending on the particular schemes. The draft programme shows the other net on-going annual running costs of servicing and maintaining the proposed schemes .

7. PRUDENTIAL INDICATORS

7.1 Part of the core process for the new Prudential Code is for Members to set Prudential Indicators against which the performance of the Capital Investment Programme and Treasury Management can be measured and monitored throughout the year. These indicators will be calculated once the programme has been fixed and reported to the Cabinet as part of the Revenue Budget report.

8. **EQUALITIES IMPACT ASSESSMENT**

- 8.1 The overall programme has been reviewed for equalities impact. The following schemes will have a positive impact on:
 - Disability Discrimination Act works;
 - Affordable Housing schemes Schemes are targeted at the most vulnerable;
 - Disability Facilities Grant Grants which enable those in the need to stay in their homes;
- 8.2 A number of other schemes will improve accessibility of services and so contribute to equality issues.

9. **CONCLUSION**

- 9.1 The Council has only limited resources with which to fund the capital programme. In addition, it is becoming increasingly difficult to accommodate the revenue consequences of the proposed Capital Investment Programme. The Council cannot afford the revenue consequences of more than £1.0 million per year of capital investment. Consequently, the Council has insufficient capital resources available to meet all the demands for capital investment over the next three years, with many schemes still remaining on the Reserve List
- 9.2 The implication of this restriction in capital investment is that many major maintenance needs are not currently being met. The Council will need to critically review its asset base over the coming years with a view to retaining a sustainable level of assets to support service delivery. This will be reflected in the Council's revised Asset Management Plan.
- 9.3 The continuing constraints on the availability of capital resources in the medium to long term and the direct impact on the revenue budget leaves little room for manoeuvre. Work needs to commence now to ensure sufficient resources are available to the Council to provide adequate funds for financing the Capital Investment Programme from 2011/2012. In any event, the revenue consequences of spending scarce resources must always be borne in mind in judging the merits of any capital investment proposal.

10. RECOMMENDATIONS

- 10.1 The Overview and Scrutiny Committee is asked to:
 - (a) consider the draft proposals for the capital programme and to refer any comments, or proposed changes to the draft programme, to the Cabinet for consideration at its meeting which follows, prior to the Cabinet then making their final recommendations on the 3 Year Programme for approval by the Council on the 11th December, 2008.

10. RECOMMENDATIONS (continued)

10.2 The Cabinet is recommended to:

- (a) consider any comments or proposed changes to the draft programme submitted by the Overview and Scrutiny Committee;
- (b) Approve additional borrowing of £330,000 in 2009/10 to fund schemes requested by Adur Community Leisure as outlined in paragraph 4.2 above;
- (c) Approve funding of £25,000 in 2008/09 for partnership schemes as outlined in paragraph 4.3 above to be funded from the Partnership Initiatives Reserve;
- (d) Consider the General Fund Capital Investment Programme for the threeyear period 2009/2010 to 2011/2012 and confirm the schemes to be included;
- (e) Agree the Housing Renovation Programme totalling £3,900,000 as detailed at Appendix 5;
- (f) confirm the reserve lists;
- (g) recommend the 3 Year Programme for approval by the Council on the 11th December 2008.

SARAH GOBEY

ANDREW GARDINER

Executive Head (Financial Services) & Section 151 Officer

Strategic Director

Local Government Act 1972

Background Papers:

Draft Housing Revenue Account Subsidy Determination 2009/10 CIPFA Prudential Code for Capital Finance in Local Government 2003 Capital Estimates 2009/10 – Working papers

Contact Officer:

Ms Sarah Gobey Executive Head of Financial Services Telephone 01903 221221 e-mail: sarah.gobey@worthing.gov.uk

SCHEDULE OF OTHER MATTERS

- 1. COUNCIL PRIORITY
- 1.1 The budget supports the Council's achievement of all its priorities.
- 2. SPECIFIC ACTION PLANS
- 2.1 Matters considered and no issues identified.
- 3. **SUSTAINABILITY ISSUES**
- 3.1 Matter considered and no issues identified
- 4. EQUALITY ISSUES
- 4.1 Matter considered and no issues identified
- 5. **COMMUNITY SAFETY ISSUES (SECTION 17)**
- 5.1 Matter considered and no issues identified
- 6. **HUMAN RIGHTS ISSUES**
- 6.1 Matter considered and no issues identified
- 7. **REPUTATION**
- 7.1 Matter considered and no issues identified
- 8. **CONSULTATIONS**
- 8.1 Matter considered and no issues identified
- 9. RISK ASSESSMENT
- 9.1 Matter considered and no issues identified
- 10. HEALTH and SAFETY ISSUES
- 10.1 Matter considered and no issues identified
- 11. PROCUREMENT STRATEGY
- 11.1 Matter considered and no issues identified
- 12. **PARTNERSHIP WORKING**
- 12.1 The report considers the impact that partnership working has on the overall budget.

STAGE 1: INITIAL PRIORITISATION

(Choose ONLY 1 of the following criteria A - D - which your scheme most closely fits).

Category	Criteria	Points
Α	Minimum works required to prevent the Council failing in its statutory duty (e.g. DDA)	20
Α	Or There is a mandatory legal requirement to provide the service, the proposed scheme enables the service to be provided and that obligation cannot be met in any other way	20
В	Essential works are required to avoid serious long-term financial, operational or service consequences	15
	Or	
В	There is a demonstrable, priority need to replace the asset/ service on an essentially like for like basis (save for improvements in technology) as the existing asset is at the end of its useful life	15
С	There is an expectation by the Government that the Council should undertake a particular course although it may not be currently statutory and there is a likelihood of some form of sanction being applied against the Council if that expectation is not met.	5
D	Other schemes, which meet the Councils priorities as laid out in Service Plans, Local Area Agreements, Community Strategy Priority Action Plans or the Councils' plans.	10

Stage 2: CRITERIA FOR ADDING / DEDUCTING ADDITIONAL POINTS

Category	Criteria
E	Council Priorities: Add 1 point for each bullet point achieved from each priority (max. 18)

Stage 2: CRITERIA FOR ADDING / DEDUCTING ADDITIONAL POINTS

Category	Criteria
P1	P1 To provide improved customer services 1. Provide quality, efficient, cost effective, customer focused, accessible services 2. Consult with and listen to your community 3. Create opportunities for people to participate in the Council's decision making processes 4. Explore and participate in partnership opportunities
P2	P2 To promote a clean and green environment 1. Improve the appearance and cleanliness of the district 2. Promote new investment in the street scene 3. Improve refuse and recycling service provision 4. Enhance and protect the environment
P3	 P3 To revitalise, regenerate and create lively economies 1. Seek to identify sites and opportunities to increase housing provision of different types to meet the needs of our community 2. Maximise inward investment to create businesses and job opportunities 3. Regenerate neighbourhoods, town centres and business areas 4. Seek to influence educational attainment levels and opportunities for training in the area 5. Seek to influence sustainable transport and infrastructure
P4	 P4 To support and contribute to the health, safety and wellbeing of the area 1. Work in partnership to reduce crime, fear of crime and antisocial behaviour 2. Encourage a healthy lifestyle 3. Develop a co-ordinated approach to delivering sports, leisure, recreational and cultural activities 4. Target inequalities 5. Help educate, support and empower people to improve their quality of life. Work with communities, the voluntary sector and others to deliver general wellbeing

Stage 2: CRITERIA FOR ADDING / DEDUCTING ADDITIONAL POINTS

Category	Criteria
F	 Revenue Implications: Add Points – 1 point per £2,000 Additional revenue income as measured over asset life, after payment of running costs and asset rental. OR Projects result in a reduction in the revenue budget from date of completion (after repayment of reserves) Any project whose annual saving exceeds the costs of borrowing over the life of the acquisition by 10% or more will gain automatic approval under invest to save principles, subject to approval by the Executive Head of Financial Services and ratification by Cabinet. (This is a new policy for Adur).
G	Deduct Points – 1 point per £2,000 Additional annual operation costs OR The project results in increased net revenue costs
Н	 Condition Survey – Categories within our Asset Management Plan Good – Performing as intended and operating effectively (add 0 points) Satisfactory – Performing as intended, but exhibiting minor deterioration (add 0 points) Poor – Exhibiting major defects and/or not operating as intended (add 1 point) Bad – Life expired and/or serious risk of imminent failure (add 5 points) (The Condition is to be agreed with Technical Services before adding points). Finance will seek validation of any points awarded here.
I	Improvement/Betterment - Add 1 point Improvement beyond essential requirement to existing services, work to improve the level of service where there is a proven need and demonstrable benefit. This includes results of Best Value Reviews.
J	Health & Safety (non statutory) - Add 5 points Relating to Council property, the project is considered necessary for the health and safety of the Council's employees or the general public and has been identified as such by the Corporate Health & Safety Officer. The project has a positive health or safety benefit. Finance will seek validation of any points awarded here

Stage 2: CRITERIA FOR ADDING / DEDUCTING ADDITIONAL POINTS

Category		Criteria	
K	Risk Register -Add 1 - 5 Points If your schemes risks are on the Corporate Risk Registers. (Scheme must be on Covalent or agreed with Head of Performance, Scrutiny and Communications). The points are to be awarded using the traffic light system, add 1 points for Green, 3 for Yellow, and 5 for red. Finance will seek validation of any points awarded here		
L	Partnership working Projects that enhance the relationship with the Council's partners and in doing so achieve the Council Priorities Add 5points for the existing partnerships between WBC, ADC & CenSus. Or add 1 point for all other partnerships.		
М	Match Funding / External Funding Utilisation of Council resources — the higher the percentage of funding expected from the Local authority, the less points can be awarded: This has an individual ranking Matrix — please see below:		
Exterr	nal Funding % received	Points to be added	
	0% - 24%	1	
	25% - 49%	2	
	50% - 65%	3	
	66% - 75%	5	
	76% - 89%	7	
	90% - 99%	10	
	100%	10 or Automatic Approval **	

Stage 2: CRITERIA FOR ADDING / DEDUCTING ADDITIONAL POINTS

Category	Criteria
----------	----------

** Automatic approval is subject to the scheme contributing to the Council's priorities, and future financial revenue implications being accommodated with the Council's overall revenue budget. All external funding must be confirmed by source before scheme is included in the Capital Investment Programme.

Consultation – points to be determined by Members (up to 5 points in total)

Projects that are important for community/political reasons following consultation

		Priority Score				BUI	OGET PER YI	EAR		
No.	Description	Prior to Member Consid- eration	Lead Officer	Existing Bids in CIP/New Bids	2009-10 General Resources £	2009/10 £	2010/11 £	2011/12 £	Total Budget £	Full Year Revenue Costs £
	Planned Maintenance Programme									
1	AWCS Vehicle Workshop - Replacement Guttering Relacement of the roof guttering to the AWCS vehcile workshops. This cost is shared with Worthing Borough Council.	35 PM/P	НВ	NB	-	9,000	-	-	9,000	-
2	Southwick Square - Garage Re-Roofing Garage roofs are old and leaking and require replacement. They contain asbestos which will need to be removed.	36 PM	P C-J	NB	-	46,500	-	-	46,500	-4,000
3	Civic Centre - Electrical Upgrade The existing wiring requires upgrading to comply with current regulations.	34 PM	НВ	NB	-	29,000	-	-	29,000	-

Key:

PM - Planned Maintenance Programme

P - Partnership Programme

		Priority Score				BUI	OGET PER YI	EAR		
No.	Description	Prior to Member Consid- eration	Lead Officer	Existing Bids in CIP/New Bids	2009-10 General Resources £	2009/10 £	2010/11 £	2011/12 £	Total Budget £	Full Year Revenue Costs £
4	Sompting Community Centre - External Renovation (Phase 1) Phased external refurbishment to include: flat roof, cladding and window replacement.	34 PM	НВ	NB	-	15,500	15,000	0	30,500	-
5	Planned Maintenance Programme of maintenance to corporate property.	PM	НВ	NB	-	-	85,000	100,000	185,000	-
6	Partnership Schemes - Adur District Council Share Refuse & Recycling Safety Cameras									
	Installation of 4 safety cameras to refuse & recycling vehicles, to monitor and record operations.	47 P	DW	NB	26,400	26,400	-	-	26,400	-3,000
7	AWCS Wheeled Bins Programme for replacement of lost and damaged wheeled bins.	38 P	TP	EB	-	-	15,700	31,300	47,000	-

Key:

PM - Planned Maintenance Programme

P - Partnership Programme

		Priority Score				BUI	OGET PER Y	EAR		
No.	Description	Prior to Member Consid- eration	Lead Officer	Existing Bids in CIP/New Bids	2009-10 General Resources £	2009/10 £	2010/11 £	2011/12 £	Total Budget £	Full Year Revenue Costs £
8	Financial Information System Procurement of a new system in partnership with worthing.	38 P	J C-R	NB	20,000	77,500	77,500	-	155,000	-920
9	Street Cleansing & Vehicle Replacement Replacement of vehicles for the joint service, outside of the main refuse & recycling vehicles.	35 P	AN	NB	-	56,700	69,900	54,000	180,600	-
10	Vehicle Digital Radio Communications Digital radio & live GPS vehicle tracking, data transfer system.	31 P	DW	NB	-	19,000	-	-	19,000	-1,320
11	E-Forms Developer Module Enables ICT to develop new E-forms instead of current consultants use.	30 P	RS	NB	-	11,800	-	-	11,800	-
12	Accomodation Alterations for Partnership Working Office accomodation changes as required from business cases.	28 P	SS	NB	-	25,000	-	-	25,000	-

Key:

PM - Planned Maintenance Programme

P - Partnership Programme

		Priority Score				BUI	DGET PER Y	EAR		
No.	Description	Prior to Member Consid- eration	Lead Officer	Existing Bids in CIP/New Bids	2009-10 General Resources £	2009/10 £	2010/11 £	2011/12 £	Total Budget £	Full Year Revenue Costs £
13	Joint ICT Partnership To enable provision of Joint ICT services for the partnership.	26 P	MG	NB	-	30,000	-	-	30,000	-
	Committed Schemes from Previous Years									
14	Southwick Cemetery Extension (Phase 2 of 2) Completion of cemetery extension to increase burial space.	38 C	СВ	EB	113,750	113,750	-	-	113,750	-10,000
15	Southwick Square - Housing Decks (Phase 4 of 4) Final phase of replacement of decks which form part of shop roofs to prevent further leaks into shops.	36 C	PC-J	EB	180,000	180,000	-	-	180,000	-2,000
16	EDRMS Roll out to more departments of Open Text solution with further back scanning required.	31 C	PC	EB	50,000	50,000	50,000	30,000	130,000	-

Key:

PM - Planned Maintenance Programme

P - Partnership Programme C - Committed Programme from previous year

		Priority Score				BUI	OGET PER Y	EAR		
No.	Description	Prior to Member Consid- eration	Lead Officer	Existing Bids in CIP/New Bids	2009-10 General Resources £	2009/10 £	2010/11 £	2011/12 £	Total Budget £	Full Year Revenue Costs £
	Other Schemes									
17	Southwick Beach - Septic Tank Remedial Works Replacement of defective septic tanks with storage tanks taking drainage from Carats café and public toilets.	44	PC-J	NB	34,000	34,000	-	-	34,000	-
18	Local Authority Social Housing Grants Use of S106 & ring fenced capital receipts to enable affordable housing developments.	43	PS	EB	-	500,000	440,000	500,000	1,440,000	-
19	CenSus ICT - Year 3 To include CenSus work on data storage, disaster recovery, network & ICT suite improvements.	41	PC	EB	108,000	108,000	103,000	180,000	391,000	-
20	Disabled Discrimination Act Works Alteration to corporate buildings and land to improve disabled access in accordance with the DD Act.	40	НВ	EB	29,000	29,000	29,000	29,000	87,000	-

Key:

PM - Planned Maintenance Programme

P - Partnership Programme

		Priority Score				BUI	OGET PER Y	EAR		
No.	Description	Prior to Member Consid- eration	Lead Officer	Existing Bids in CIP/New Bids	2009-10 General Resources £	2009/10 £	2010/11 £	2011/12 £	Total Budget £	Full Year Revenue Costs £
21	Bank House - Public Toilet Improvements Replacement of the old existing toilet facilities in this commercially let property.	39	НВ	NB	40,500	40,500	-	-	40,500	-
22	Shoreham Town Centre - CCTV Improvement Programme Replacement of town centre CCTV cameras with new & improved ones in partnership with Sussex Police Authority as part of a pre-existing agreement.	38	НВ	NB	16,250	16,250	-	-	16,250	-
23	Southwick Beach Huts Refurbishment Refurbishment of the existing beach huts on Southwick Beach to enable all to be let. Currently 7 are vacant.	37	PC-J	NB	35,000	35,000	35,000	-	70,000	-3,000

Key:

PM - Planned Maintenance Programme

P - Partnership Programme

		Priority Score				BUI	OGET PER Y	EAR		
No.	Description	Prior to Member Consid- eration	Lead Officer	Existing Bids in CIP/New Bids	2009-10 General Resources £	2009/10 £	2010/11 £	2011/12 £	Total Budget £	Full Year Revenue Costs £
24	Civic Centre - Roof Safety Guard To install safety & hand rails to the roof to ensure safe access for maintenance of the roof area in compliance with the Working at Height Regulations.	35	НВ	NB	26,750	26,750	-		26,750	-
25	Disabled Facility Grants Mandatory Grants. 60% funded by DWP Grant.	35	GC	EB	140,000	350,000	350,000	350,000	1,050,000	-
26	Civic Centre - Upgrade of Lift Controls Works to upgrade & overhaul existing lifts controls.	34	НВ	NB	-	-	21,000	-	21,000	-
27	Civic Centre - Renewal of Flat Roofs and Parapets Renewal of flat roofs and rebuilding of defective parapet walls.	33	НВ	NB	-	-	-	70,000	70,000	-
28	Public Convenience Improvement Works Programme of upgrading to Public conveniences in the district.	33	НВ	NB	23,250	23,250	23,250	23,250	69,750	-4,000

		Priority Score				BUD	GET PER YI	EAR		
No.	Description	Prior to Member Consid- eration	Lead Officer	Existing Bids in CIP/New Bids	2009-10 General Resources £	2009/10 £	2010/11 £	2011/12 £	Total Budget £	Full Year Revenue Costs £
29	Asbestos Removal Removal & management of asbestos from corporate Council stock.	32	НВ	NB	11,500	11,500	11,500	11,500	34,500	-
30	Lancing Leisure Centre - Car Park Lighting The existing lighting has been deemed insufficient and this provision is for replacement and improved lighting.	32	НВ	NB	46,500	46,500	-	-	46,500	-
31	Car Park Improvements - Southwick Rec Improvements to the surface of the car park to prevent flooding and decrease risk of accidents.	31	СВ	NB	58,000	58,000	-	-	58,000	- }
32	Other Schemes To be indentified.			NB	-	-	483,250	416,250	899,500	-
	TOTAL GENERAL FUND SCHEMES F	OR INCLU	SION IN TH	IE CIP	947,400	1,957,400	1,797,600	1,783,800	5,538,800	-28,240

Key:

PM - Planned Maintenance Programme

P - Partnership Programme

						BU	DGET PER Y	EAR		
No.	Description	Prior to Member Consid- eration	Lead Officer	Existing Bids in CIP/New Bids	2009-10 General Resources £	2009/10 £	2010/11 £	2011/12 £	Total Budget £	Full Year Revenue Costs £
	FINANCING OF THE GENERAL FUND CIP Funding: Partnership Reserve Planned Maintenance Reserves Prudential Borrowing S106 Receipts External Contributions Usable Capital Receipts - General					200,000 100,000 0 500,000 210,000 958,900	0 100,000 806,500 440,000 210,000 241,100	0 100,000 985,300 500,000 210,000 0	200,000 300,000 1,791,800 1,440,000 630,000 1,200,000	
						1,968,900	1,797,600	1,795,300	5,561,800	

In the 2008 - 2011 Capital Strategy members agreed to a net overall addition to the general fund programme each year of £1million funded from a mix of Prudential Borrowing and capital receipts.

		Priority Score			2009-10	ви	OGET PER Y	EAR		
No.	Description	Prior to Member Consid- eration	Lead Officer	Existing Bids in CIP/New Bids	General Resources Requested £	2009/10 £	2010/11 £	2011/12 £	Total Budget £	Full Year Revenue Costs £
THE E	OLLOWING SCHEMES FELL BELOW	THE CLIT OF	EE EOD IN	CLUSION IN	THE CID AN		ADDED IE I	MEMBEDS C	HOSE TO INC	LUDE THEM
33	Adur Vehicle Replacements Replacement of 3 x Adur Watch vehicles (2 Ford Rangers & Land Rover). 1 x Parks Transit tipper, 1 x Dog Wardens Ford Transit, & 1 x Parks vehicle.	31	AN	EB	126,000	126,000	403,500	70,000	599,500	-2,000
34	Home Repair Grants Discretionary grants to provide means tested assistance in repair of their homes.	28	GC	ЕВ	65,000	65,000	70,000	70,000	205,000	-
35	Allotment Improvements To do security fencing at Middle Rd, gate security fencing at Lancing Manor, & start fencing at Hillview.	28	СВ	NB	17,500	17,500	17,500	23,500	58,500	-
36	Street Scene Installation of 30 Street Benches, 40 litter bins, 3 new Bus Shelters, and grass verge parking prevention	26	DS	NB	110,250	110,250	-	-	110,250	-

		Priority Score			2009-10	BUD	GET PER YI	EAR		
No.	Description	Prior to Member Consid- eration	Lead Officer	Existing Bids in CIP/New Bids	General Resources Requested £		2010/11 £	2011/12 £	Total Budget £	Full Year Revenue Costs £
37	Allotments - New Site, Halewick, North Sompting To convert land which parks rent	17	СВ	NB	74,250	74,250	-	-	74,250	-
	out for horse grazing into a new Allotment site within the district.					·	404.000	400 500	·	
	Total of Schemes to be added to the	Adur Capita	I Reserve	List	393,000	393,000	491,000	163,500	1,047,500	-2,000
	Total of All General Fund Schemes S	ubmitted			1,351,900	2,361,900	2,300,100	1,958,800	6,620,800	-2,000

		Priority Score				ВИ	OGET PER Y			
No.	Description	Prior to Member Consid- eration	Lead Officer	Existing Bids in CIP/New Bids	2009-10 General Resources £	2009/10 £	2010/11 £	2011/12 £	Total Budget £	Full Year Revenue Costs £
	Housing Investment Programme									
1	Disability adaptations for homes Ongoing programme providing access		ΤI	EB		250,000	270,000	-	520,000	-
2	Re-cover flat roofs & associated Continuation of re-covering failing		ΤI	EB		92,000	110,000	-	202,000	-
3	Re-pointing Programme Essential work to keep buildings water tight & maintain the structure.		ΤI	EB		20,000	80,000	-	100,000	-
4	Kitchen & Bathroom Improvements Refurbishment programme to meet government met decency standards.		TI	EB		2,340,000	900,000	-	3,240,000	-
5	Environmental Improvements Provision of off street parking, garage compound lighting, estate lighting, fencing & pathways etc.		TI	EB		32,000	60,000	-	92,000	-
6	Communal way & common areas refurbishment Replacement floor coverings, door entry screens & refuse storage areas.		TI	EB		25,000	50,000	-	75,000	-

		Priority Score				ВИ	BUDGET PER YEAR			
No.	Description	Prior to Member Consid- eration	Lead Officer	Existing Bids in CIP/New Bids	2009-10 General Resources £	2009/10 £	2010/11 £	2011/12 £	Total Budget £	Full Year Revenue Costs £
7	Recovering pitched roofs Renewal of failing or suspect roof coverings & structure timbers.		TI	EB	-	50,000	50,000	-	100,000	-
8	Tests & Upgrade of electrical Electrical testing and upgrade of circuits & fittings to Council homes.		TI	EB	-	210,000	175,000	-	385,000	-
9	Joinery & windows replacement Installation of UPVC double glazed windows & doors to Council homes.		TI	ЕВ	-	20,000	15,000	-	35,000	-
10	Central heating & insulation programme Ongoing programme of installation of central heating & insulation.		TI	ЕВ	-	60,000	50,000	-	110,000	-
11	Boiler & central heating replacement programme Replacement programme with environmentally efficient boilers.		TI	EB	-	225,000	235,000	-	460,000	-
12	Replacement of door entry systems Replacement of failing & obsolete door entry systems.		TI	EB	-	20,000	32,000	-	52,000	-

		Priority Score				BUDGET PER YEAR				
No.	Description	Prior to Member Consid- eration	Lead Officer	Existing Bids in CIP/New Bids	2009-10 General Resources £	2009/10 £	2010/11 £	2011/12 £	Total Budget £	Full Year Revenue Costs £
13	Upgrade & repair of car parking Improvement programme to housing car parking areas.		TI	EB	-	20,000	30,000	0	50,000	-
14	Fishersgate communal areas Replacement floor coverings, door entry screens & refuse storage areas in Fishersgate.		ΤI	ЕВ	-	485,000	13,000	0	498,000	-
15	Communual Aerials Update Communal aerials to digital signals.		ΤI	ЕВ	-	8,000	0	0	8,000	
16	To be confirmed Other schemes as identified & required	J.	TI	NB	-	0	323,000	2,388,000	2,711,000	-
	Total of All Housing Revenue Accou	int Scheme	s Submitte	ed		3,857,000	2,393,000	2,388,000	8,638,000	
	FINANCING OF THE HOUSING REVENUE ACCOUNT CIP Funding: Major Repairs Allowance Revenue Contribution Borrowing Supported Borrowing Prudential Borrowing					1,962,600 200,000 236,000 1,458,400	1,957,000 200,000 236,000 0	1,952,000 200,000 236,000 0	5,871,600 600,000 708,000 1,458,400	
						3,857,000	2,393,000	2,388,000	8,638,000	

		BUDGET REQUIRED															
		Priority	2008/2009			2009/2010				2010/2011			2011/2012			Future Years	uture Years
Description	Split of Costs	Score	ADUR	WORTHING	•	ADUR		ORTHING		ADUR	W	ORTHING	ADUR	WORTHING		JOINT	
			£	£		£		£		£		£	£		£		£
Refuse/Recycling - Safety Cameras	£72,600 Agreed Split: 63.6/36.4	47			£	26,400	£	46,200									
Wheelie Bins	£43k 10/11 £86k 11/12 Agreed Split - 63.6/36.4.	41			£	-	£	-	£	15,700	£	27,300	£ 31,300	£	54,700	£	86,000
Cash Receipting System	£120,000 - Agreed split on the basis of the number of transactions	-	£ 50,000	£ 70,00)												
Street Cleansing Vehicle Replacements	£189k 9/10, £233k 10/11, £180k 11/12. Provisional - Split of costs 70/30	35			£	56,700	£	132,300	£	69,900	£	163,100	£ 54,000	£	126,000	£	250,000
AWS Vehicle Workshops Guttering	£23,000 Agreed Split - 63.6/36.4. Fees 16%	35			£	9,000	£	14,000								£	-
Financial Information System	£387,500 Provisional Split 60/40.	33		£ 10,00	£	77,500	£	106,200	£	77,500	£	116,300					
Digital Radio Communications and Data Transfer System	£54,300 Agreed Split- 63.6/36 4 (AWS) 70/30 (Street Cleansing)	31			£	19,000	£	35,300									
Integrated HR System	£202,500 Provisional split 1/3 ADC, 2/3 WBC, based on split of HR costs.	31			£	: -	£	-	£	68,000	£	134,500					
E-forms	£23,600 Provisional Split 50/50 Bid increased by £10k to include licences	30			£	11,800	£	11,800									
Joint Accommodation Bid	£50k p.a. Provisional Split 50/50.	28	£ 25,000	£ 25,00	£	25,000	£	25,000									

			BUDGET REQUIRED									
		Priority	2008	3/2009	2009	9/2010	2010	0/2011	201	1/2012	Future Years	
Description	Split of Costs	Score	ADUR	WORTHING	ADUR	WORTHING	ADUR	WORTHING	ADUR	WORTHING	JOINT	
			£	£	£	£	£	£	£	£	£	
Joint ICT Partnership	£50k p.a. Provisional Split 60/40	26	£ 10,000	£ 15,000	£ 30,000	£ 45,000						
IKEN Case Management Licences	£25k Adur Funding from Reserves	25	£ 25,000									
BUDGET REQUIRED:			£ 110,000	£ 120,000	£ 255,400	£ 415,800	£ 231,100	£ 441,200	£ 85,300	£ 180,700	£ 336,000	
FUNDING FROM GENERA	AL CAPITAL PROGRAMME:		£ 50,000	£ 80,000	£ 46,400	£ 106,200		£ 314,800		£ 180,700		
FUNDING FROM PARTNERSHIP RESERVES:				£ 40,000	£ 200,000	£ 309,600		£ 126,400				
FUNDING FROM OTHER	RESERVES		£ 20,000		£ 9,000							
FUNDING TO BE IDENTIFIED:				£ -	£ -	£ -	(£231,100)	£ -	(£85,300)	£ -	(£336,000)	

RESERVE LIST : FUTURE CAPITAL INVESTMENT PROGRAMME : ADDITIONS, AMENDMENTS AND DELETIONS

	Guide Price	Reason	Officers
	£		Recommendations
(a) YEARS 4-7			
Childrens Play Area Improvements at Shoreham Beach & Cromleigh Rec Improvements to play sites. Matched funding expected from Community groups.	146,000	Intended for inclusion in Capital Investment Programme once local community groups have been established and raised match funding.	Add to Reserve List.
Southwick Rec - Improving Youth Facilities Improvement to play site. Matched funding expected from Community group.	135,000	Intended for inclusion in Capital Investment Programme once local community groups have been established and raised matched funding.	Add to Reserve List.
Back Up Technology & Disaster Recovery Review of requirements to be underaken.	Not Costed	Review of needs to be undertaken. Patnership bid with Worthing.	Add to Reserve List.