Plan Section	Policy	Policy Name	Summary of Representation	Rep ID	Organisation (if applicable)	Changes to Plan	Officer Response
	Whole Plan		In general, approve the plan and especially pleased to see the commitment to sustainable development and climate change adaptation / mitigation.	SDWLP-13	N/A	No	Support is noted
	Whole Plan Whole Plan		In general a good plan and I welcome the emphasis on sustainability and climate change adaptation. Plan is sound and that all appropriate opportunities for consultation have taken place.	SDWLP-14 SDWLP-15	N/A Goring Residents	No No	Support is noted Support is noted
	Whole Plan		Fully support the emphasis in the plan on climate change and sustainability	SDWLP-18	Association Transition Town Worthing	No	Support is noted
	Whole Plan		We find the plan to be sound. The Council has worked hard, over a long period, with its excellent professional planning staff, commissioning research from consultants and consulting with its residents and neighbours, to produce a plan which meets, as far as it can, the housing needs of the borough while protecting, as far as it can, its few remaining green fields and stretches of open coastline.	SDWLP-27	Ferring Conservation Group	No	Support is noted
	Whole Plan		Supports the Local Plan	SDWLP-30	N/A	No	Support is noted
	Whole Plan		Represents nearly 2000 members. Would like to confirm that the Plan: - Complies with legal requirements - Consulted with local residents - The plan is a sound document	SDWLP-39	Goring Residents Association	No	Support is noted
	Whole Plan		Having reviewed the document, National Grid has no comments to make	SDWLP-41	Avison Young (on behalf of National Grid)	No	Comments noted
	Whole Plan		We are pleased to see Climate Change been recognised more significantly in this iteration of the plan.	SDWLP-57	Sussex Wildlife Trust	No	Support is noted
	Whole Plan		Recommend that some work to assess impact of Covid-19 on retail and office space is carried out before the examination.	SDWLP-63	CPRE	No	As explained within WLP 1.54 the Covic acknowledged that, in these uncertain t extent of many of these implications are policies now. However, all policies will All implications, along with any significa of the WLP (to take place within 5 years
	Whole Plan		Plan is not justified because reasonable alternatives have not been considered. It is not deliverable because of the inherent contradiction between different imperatives in the Plan which cannot be satisfactorily reconciled. Plan must look at alternative ways (suggested) to bring about qualitative improvement and development across the town, while responding to the Climate Change. The long term societal impact of Covid-19 should be considered.	SDWLP-67	Cllr Helen Silman & Cllr Jim Deen (Labour Group)	& No	It is considered that the Plan provides a acknowledged that added emphasis ha aligned policy framework that seeks to I alternative approaches to development Plan and supporting evidence (particula As explained within WLP 1.54 the Covid acknowledged that, in these uncertain t extent of many of these implications are policies now. However, all policies will All implications, along with any significa
1		Whole Chapter	Support	SDWLP-01	N/A	No	Support is noted
1		Paragraph 1.52	Fully support this overarching requirement	SDWLP-18	Transition Town Worthing	No	Support is noted
2	SO	Strategic Objectives	Section 2 of the plan strikes the right balance between economic, social and environmental needs. We commend the commitment to a sustainable environment in para 2.6	SDWLP-27	Ferring Conservation Group	No	Support is noted
2	SO	Strategic Objectives	SO1 - Does this take account of changes to types of housing required following the pandemic? Recent studies have indicated less desire for flats and increased requirement for houses - possibly driven by needing space to work from home.	SDWLP-13	N/A	No	Although the Plan suggests the most ap be a need for some flexibility and this n highlighted in WLP para 1.54)
2	SO	Strategic Objectives	SO1 - Does this take account of changes to types of housing required following the pandemic? Recent studies have indicated less desire for flats and increased requirement for houses - possibly driven by needing space to work from home.	SDWLP-67	N/A	No	Although the Plan suggests the most ap be a need for some flexibility and this no highlighted in WLP para 1.54)
2	SO	Strategic Objectives	SO9 and SO10 should include an emphasis on independent retailers	SDWLP-18	Transition Town Worthing	Yes	It would not be appropriate to provide th Centre policy (SS3) should make refere Amend Para 3.35 after first line add: range of uses. In addition, there h now a stronger demand for smaller, I friendly and ethical products. The tow Amend first bullet point just below para mix of uses. Encourage and supp
2	SO	Strategic Objectives		SDWLP-57	Sussex Wildlife Trust	No	Support is noted
2	SO	Strategic Objectives	Strategic Objective 15 - welcomes this objective	SDWLP-64	Sussex Ornithological Society	No	Support is noted
2	SO	Strategic Objectives	Strategic Objective 17 - Concerned that the use of the word 'maximise' here does not encourage excessive density.	SDWLP-13	N/A	No	A key aim of the Plan is make the most checks and balances in the Plan (and s would impact negatively on the character
2	SP1	Presumption in favour of sustainable development	Is there a need for this policy as it repeats NPPF?	SDWLP-63	CPRE	No	The inclusion of this policy helps to inte this within their Local Plan (as is the cas adopted Adur Local Plan).

ovid-19 pandemic has had a significant impact on all sections of society and it is ain times, there may be an even greater requirement for adaptability and flexibility. The are still largely unknown all policies so it is not considered to be appropriate to revise will be monitored on a regular basis to ensure that they remain effective and deliverable. ficant changes to the planning system at the national level will help to inform the review ears of adoption).

es a clear and consistent strategy for development in the Borough. Whilst it is thas been placed on mitigating climate change the Plan still provides a consistent and to balance the requirements of all land uses and community needs. It is unclear what ent have been proposed that have not already been consulted on and tested within the cularly the Sustainability Appraisal).

ovid-19 pandemic has had a significant impact on all sections of society and it is ain times, there may be an even greater requirement for adaptability and flexibility. The are still largely unknown all policies so it is not considered to be appropriate to revise will be monitored on a regular basis to ensure that they remain effective and deliverable. ficant changes to the planning system at the national level will help to inform the review.

st appropriate housing mix for new development this is not prescriptive. There will always is need is even greater as we respond to and recover from the Covid-19 pandemic (as

st appropriate housing mix for new development this is not prescriptive. There will always is need is even greater as we respond to and recover from the Covid-19 pandemic (as

le this level of detail within the Strategic Objectives. However, it is agreed that the Town ference to the support for independent retailers.

re has been a change in the type of retailer that shoppers are choosing. There is er, local independent businesses and particularly those that offer environmentally town centre......

ara 3.36 to add the following text:

upport new forms of retail, particularly small local independent retailers

nost efficient use of available land - however, it is considered that there are enough and supporting documents) that will ensure that sites aren't over developed in a way that acter of an area or on the amenities of neighbouring occupiers. Integrate the NPPF at the local level and local planning authorities are advised to include a case within the recently

2	SP1	Presumption in favour of sustainable development	Presumption of Sustainable Development wording should mirror national policy. Currently inconsistent.	SDWLP-66	Pegasus Group (On behalf of Persimmon	Yes	Although the existing wording set out in are some very minor variations. For co
					Homes)		 c) where there are no relevant develop application are out-of-date, the Council
							 i. the application of policies in the Natio provides a clear reason for refusing the
							ii. any adverse impacts of doing so wo policies in the National Planning Policy
2	SP2	Climate change	Strongly support together with the inclusion of SO19, 20 and 21	SDWLP-13	N/A	No	Support is noted
2	SP2	Climate change	Fully support	SDWLP-18	Transition Town Worthing	No	Support is noted
2	SP2	Climate change	Recommend additional bullet points added to 2.20 to refer to the Borough's natural capital and biodiversity assets. Recommend additional bullet point to SP2 to refer to nature recovery networks.	SDWLP-57	Sussex Wildlife Trust	Yes	Noted. Recommended amendments wi Add bullet point to 2.20 -'demonstrate t and biodiversity assets'
							Add bullet point to SP2 - 'k) Developme recovery network.'
2	SP2	Climate change	Recommend strengthening the policy with a specific target for tree canopy and woodland cover.	SDWLP-58	Woodland Trust	No	It is considered that SP2 e) and g) adeo Infrastructure)
2	SP2	Climate change	It should be made clear that site-specific circumstances and viability will be taken into consideration in the application of this policy.	SDWLP-60	Rapleys On behalf of EM Goring Ltd	No	The Whole Plan Viability Assessment h WLP - this has concluded that, viewed a prospects of viability and should therefor guidance within the PPG in viability term Council will continue to promote and su environment.
2	SP2	Climate Change	Clarification needed on climate change especially the Government response to the Future Homes Standard consultation.	SDWLP-63	CPRE	No	Comment is noted. It is considered that change. The addition of Strategic Polic and published their response to The Fu WLP has certainly taken the Governme standards will be taken into full account
2	SP2	Climate change	Support V3 & V4. Welcome Policy SP2 and Policy SP3.	SDWLP-103	Natural England	No	Support is noted
2	SP3	Healthy Communities	 Good to see recognition of local food production under SP3 vi Pleased to see noise pollution included here under 2.30 but would seek clarification as to what noise is included. Town centre events can cause distress to residents. 	SDWLP-13	N/A	No	Comments noted. This policy needs to I
2	SP3	Healthy Communities	Pleased to note environmental considerations included.	SDWLP-18	Transition Town Worthing	No	Support is noted
2	SP3	Healthy Communities	Support V3 & V4. Welcome Policy SP2 and Policy SP3.	SDWLP-103	Natural England	No	Support is noted
2	SP3	Healthy Communities	 requirement for HIA should be removed for commercial development and residential threshold increased to 50 it should also be confirmed that individual site-specific circumstances and viability shall also be considerations. 	SDWLP-60	Rapleys On behalf of EM Goring Ltd	No	It is considered that major commercial of therefore the Council maintains the requivery few major residential schemes con forward, should be assessed for impact application of the HIA will be covered in
2	SP3	Healthy Communities	Healthy Communities Policy should address housing provision as a key determinant of health by an additional clause that aims to take advantage of additional opportunities for housing	SDWLP-66	Pegasus Group (On behalf of Persimmon Homes)	No	Comments noted. This is a strategic pol SP3 criterion b) iii refers to the provisior
2	Vision	Vision	Support emphasis in V1-V6 on climate change.	SDWLP-18	Transition Town	No	Support is noted
2	Vision	Vision	Support inclusion of natural capital in V3 and biodiversity net gain in v6.	SDWLP-57	Worthing Sussex Wildlife	No	Support is noted
2	Vision	Vision	Overall the Plan demonstrates a proper balance between development objectives, environmental objectives and the importance of	SDWLP-102	Trust Worthing Society	No	Support is noted
2			recognising the value of our heritage assets to the character and identity of Worthing. Vision and Strategic Objectives' cannot be reasonably rejected. The objectives are all desirable. The flaw is that only one way to delive				
<u> </u>	Vision	Vision	Vision and Strategic Objectives' cannot be reasonably rejected. The objectives are all desirable. The flaw is that only one way to delive the vision is offered. The vision in Part 2 is not compatible with the delivery of the goals set out in Parts 3 and 4.		Cllr Helen Silman & Cllr Jim Deen (Labour Group)		There have been previous opportunities has been widely support. The Plan has other.
3 3	SS1 SS1	Spatial strategy Spatial strategy	Fully support your arguments Supports protection of green spaces and the emphasis on developing brownfield sites.	SDWLP-01 SDWLP-16	N/A Goring Residents Association	No No	Support is noted Support is noted
3	SS1	Spatial strategy	Pleased to see protection of gaps but do not feel developing greenfield sites is the right way forward.	SDWLP-18	Transition Town Worthing	No	Noted. Given the level of housing need greenfield sites can be delivered sustain
3	SS1	Spatial strategy	Policies are unsound. The Plan is not meeting the minimum housing needs calculated using the standard method. The plan does not specify in policy the level of unmet needs to be addressed in neighbouring areas and fails to reconsider rejected sites and the relative benefits of allocation against adverse impacts.	SDWLP-45	House Builders Federation	No	Although a very positive approach has the term of term of the term of term
3	SS1	Spatial strategy	Remove sentence in d) i) referring to density.	SDWLP-49	ECE (on behalf of St Williams)	No	The approach is considered to be justifi important that the Plan also establishes
3	SS1	Spatial strategy	In assessing the results of the various consultations, balancing housing provision against the wellbeing of the residents and maintenance of Worthing as being an inspiring location to live, we believe that the Local Plan is sound and for purpose.	SDWLP-52	Goring & Ilex Way Conservation Group		appropriate to each site / opportunity. Support is noted
3	SS1	Spatial strategy	Encourages WBC to provide more information in the Plan about how proposals for development of small sites will be encouraged and implemented	SDWLP-53	Mid Sussex District Council	No	The updated Housing Implementation S and sustainable small scale residential the Borough this form of development n

It in the WLP clearly reflects the position established in the NPPF it is agreed that there consistency it is proposed that c) is amended as follows:

lopment plan policies, or the policies which are most important for determining the ncil will grant permission unless:

ational Planning Policy Framework that protect areas or assets of particular importance the development proposed; or

would significantly and demonstrably outweigh the benefits, when assessed against the icy Framework taken as a whole.

will be made.

te that the development will protect and enhance the borough's natural capital

pment must not compromise land that is required to deliver towards a nature

dequately address this - particularly when then supported by Policy DM19 (Green

nt has assessed the policy requirements and related financial contributions set out in the ed as a whole, the emerging Local Plan proposals are considered to have reasonable refore be able to meet the criteria of the NPPF and be consistent with the national terms. Whilst there will always need to be some degree of flexibility in these matters the I support development that helps to mitigate the potential negative impacts on the

that the WLP provides a clear policy framework to help mitigate the impacts of climate olicy 2 (Climate Change) strengthens this further. The Government have consulted on Future Homes Standard which they state will be implemented from 2025. Whilst the ment's 'direction of travel' into consideration the implications of these proposed new out when the WLP is reviewed (within 5 years of adoption).

to be read in conjunction with Policy 22 (Pollution) which covers noise.

ial development have the potential to positively influence health and well-being and requirement for a HIA. No specific triggers have been set for residential development as come forward and it is considered that any major residential scheme that does come bacts on the wider determinants of health. Further clarity on the policy approach and the d in a Supplementary Planning Document.

policy that needs to be read alongside other relevant housing policies in the Plan. Policy sion of high quality and energy efficient homes.

ities to comment on the proposed Vision and the wording now established in the WLP has been designed to be read as a whole and all sections are compatible with each

eeds a robust review of all opportunities was required. Where evidence indicates that stainably then those sites have been allocated.

as been taken to development the Plan is clear that all needs will not be met and that 0,488 dwellings). This is very clearly explained in policy 3.27 and there is no need or policy. All potential sites have been robustly and positively assessed and there is clear taren't allocated for residential development are either protected or allocated for

stified. Whilst the Plan aims to make the most efficient use of available land it is hes appropriate checks and balances to ensure that the level of development is

on Strategy provides further detail on how the Council will support the delivery of suitable tial developments. Given the lack of strategic / major development sites in and around nt makes a vital contribution to the overall supply of housing in Worthing.

3	SS1	Spatial strategy	Insufficient focus on housing delivery - wording suggested that would refer to the Council engaging positively with landowners and developers to ensure all potential development sites are supported where they make efficient use of land and are in compliance with the rest of the development plan. The Plan fails to consider Montague Shopping Centre as a potential development site - add to the SHLAA Suggests amendments to remove wording relating to density	SDWLP-54	WSP (on behalf of NewRiver)	No	The Plan, when read as a whole, place regeneration and redevelopment of tow development as part of these schemes already made clear that the Council wo that comply with other relevant policies.
							encourage landowners and developers the Montague Centre has been consider residential development on the upper fl (identified and / or promoted) opportuni during the annual review of the SHLAA of land in suitable and sustainable local
							With regards to the suggested deletion land it is important that the Plan also es appropriate to each site / opportunity.
3	SS1	Spatial strategy	Supports point d)iii) of SS1 Recommend in 3.7 considering a call for sites to help deliver a Nature Recovery Network	SDWLP-57	Sussex Wildlife Trust	No	Support is noted. Whilst the Council wi is not considered that a change to para progressed, will help to identify appropr
3	SS1	Spatial strategy	Support policy and criteria C and D	SDWLP-60	Rapleys On behalf of EM Goring Ltd	No	Support is noted
3	SS1	Spatial strategy	No objection to the Submission Draft Local Plan and accepts that Worthing has undertaken a thorough assessment of all opportunities to meet its future housing needs by maximising densities within the urban area and allocating 6 of its 9 greenfield sites. Adur District is unable to assist by meeting any of Worthing's housing shortfall but we will continue to work proactively with neighbouring authorities.		Adur District Council	No	Support is noted
3	SS1	Spatial strategy	Lewes District will not be in a position to be able to assist in meeting any of Worthing's unmet housing need.	SDWLP-99	Lewes District Council	No	Comments noted
3	SS1	Spatial strategy	Support the commitment to maximise capacity within the existing urban boundaries and the allocation of several edge of centre sites. We recognise the constraints faced by Worthing faces and the significant difficulties posed by limited land availability. City Council is not in a position to help meet any of Worthing's unmet housing development needs.	SDWLP-100	Brighton & Hove City Council	No	Comments noted
3	SS1	Spatial strategy	Is unable to meet any unmet housing needs arising from Worthing borough within Crawley borough's boundaries. The need to progres the West Sussex and Greater Brighton Statement of Common Ground for preparing the update to the Local Strategic Statement (LSS3) is crucial and CBC will strongly support this process.	SDWLP-101	Crawley Borough Council	No	Comments noted
3	SS1	Spatial strategy	Support this policy and specifically d) iii) Policy SS6 - welcome the designations.	SDWLP-64	Sussex Ornithological Society	No	Support is noted
3	SS1	Spatial strategy	Supports the Council's greenfield housing sites through the Regulation 19 Local Plan. Council are not meeting their housing requirement. More greenfield sites are needed in the Plan and, as part of that re-structuring of the Plan, the affordable housing burden on greenfield sites should be shifted to 30%. Unmet need is unsound.	SDWLP-65	Persimmon Homes	No	All potential edge of town development clear evidence exists to demonstrate will been prepared and published to suppor that greenfield sites are more able to de sites) to help meet a significant affordat
3	SS1	Spatial strategy	Spatial Strategy Policy and Para 2.4 state the Plan is meeting the needs of local communities but given housing shortfall this isn't justified.	SDWLP-66	Pegasus Group (On behalf of Persimmon Homes)	No	Although a very positive approach has all needs will not be met and the reasor It is acknowledged that there will be a s
3	SS2	Development sites	Some allocation densities may result in properties that are too small or can only be achieved through flats	SDWLP-18		No	Whilst there is a clear aim to make the appropriate checks and balances to ens includes the need to meet appropriate s
3	SS2	Development sites	Strongly support the assertion that there is no way in which the Council could deliver much more than a quarter of the sites that would be required to meet its housing need.	SDWLP-27	Ferring Conservation Group	No	Support is noted
3	SS2	Development sites	Support inclusion of site A13 for residential development.	SDWLP-33	Tetra Tech Planning (on behalf of Clem Somerset)	No	Support is noted
3	SS2	Development sites	Worthing United Football Ground should be identified as an additional site within Policy SS2 with an approximate capacity of around 60 dwellings.	SDWLP-34	RHPC (on behalf of Hargreaves Management Ltd)	No	The inclusion of Worthing Utd as an allo has not yet happened and the Council o (para 4.12) makes it very clear that an a future.
3	SS2	Development sites	Policies are unsound. The Plan is not meeting the minimum housing needs calculated using the standard method. The plan does not specify in policy the level of unmet needs to be addressed in neighbouring areas and fails to reconsider rejected sites and the relative benefits of allocation against adverse impacts.	SDWLP-45	House Builders Federation	No	Although a very positive approach has l reasons for this are clearly explained ar be a significant shortfall (10,488 dwellin this out within a policy. All potential site to why sites that aren't allocated for resi
3	SS2	Development sites	Further justification is needed re site capacities especially where allocations are below numbers permitted or capacities should be revised. This should also be informed by density evidence.	SDWLP-47	Horsham DC	No	The approach taken to allocating sites w capacity figures will be kept under revie Plan and subsequent related monitoring Housing Implementation Strategy.
3	SS2	Development sites	Capacity figures for permissions should be updated and a further review of housing capacity for other allocated sites undertaken with a view to increasing capacity.	SDWLP-49	ECE (on behalf of St Williams)	No	The approach taken to allocating sites v capacity figures will be kept under revie Plan and subsequent related monitoring Housing Implementation Strategy.
3	SS2	Development sites	Concerns relating to overdevelopment, tall buildings and loss of sunlight.	SDWLP-51	N/A	No	Whilst there is a clear aim to make the r appropriate checks and balances to ens help to protect the character of surround

aces a very strong emphasis on housing delivery. There is also a key aim to support the town centre sites and it is made clear that the delivery of appropriate residential hes is supported. The additional wording suggestion would not enhance the Plan as it is would support any options for sustainable development that make efficient use of and eles. The 'call for sites' to inform the SHLAA is always open and the Council continues to ers to put forward any opportunities that could help meet development needs. Some of sidered and reported within the SHLAA and permission has since been granted for er floors of part of this area (above the former Beales Department Store). Other specific tunities that may be available in this area and the wider town centre will be considered AA and the Council will continue to welcome appropriate proposals to make efficient use cations.

ion of density wording - whilst the Plan aims to make the most efficient use of available o establishes appropriate checks and balances to ensure that the level of development is

I will continue to support and promote sites to help deliver a Nature Recovery Network it aragraph 3.7 is necessary. The Green Infrastructure Strategy, currently being opriate opportunities.

ent sites were robustly and positively reviewed during the preparation of the Plan - unless e why they shouldn't be developed they have been allocated. Clear viability evidence has port the Council's policies, including the affordable housing policy. This demonstrates b deliver a higher proportion affordable housing (when compared to previously developed rdable housing need.

as been taken to development the Plan ('no stone has been left unturned') it is clear that sons for this are clearly explained and justified within the Plan and supporting evidence. a significant shortfall (10,488 dwellings) and this is clearly explained in policy 3.27.

he most efficient use of available land, when read as a whole, the Plan also establishes ensure that the level of development is appropriate to each site / opportunity (this te space standards).

allocation would be dependent on the successful relocation of the football club. This cil does not currently have the confidence that this can be achieved. However, the Plan an alternative use would be considered positively if this issue can be overcome in the

as been taken to development the Plan is clear that all needs will not be met and the d and justified within the Plan and supporting evidence. It is acknowledged that there will ellings) and this is clearly explained in policy 3.27 - there is no need or requirement to set sites have been robustly and positively assessed and there is clear evidence provided as residential development are either protected or allocated for alternative uses.

es with Planning Permission is clearly explained in paragraphs 4.3 to 4.5. All potential eview as schemes progress and, if appropriate, modifications will be proposed for the ring reports. Further work is also being progressed to update and strengthen the

es with Planning Permission is clearly explained in paragraphs 4.3 to 4.5. All potential eview as schemes progress and, if appropriate, modifications will be proposed for the ring reports. Further work is also being progressed to update and strengthen the

he most efficient use of available land, when read as a whole, the Plan also establishes ensure that the level of development is appropriate to each site / opportunity. This will bunding areas and the amenities of neighbouring occupiers.

3	SS2	Development sites	Fails to consider Montague Shopping Centre as a potential development site.	SDWLP-54	WSP (on behalf of NewRiver)	No	The Plan provides clear encouragemen in appropriate locations. In recent year development - including development i development helps to make more effici such, the Council will continue to suppo
3	SS2	Development sites	Plan target of 3,672 has the potential to have some adverse impacts on biodiversity.	SDWLP-57	Sussex Wildlife	No	Montague Shopping Centre as there is Although a significant level of developm
					Trust		Borough. In part, this is due to the nee WLP requires and encourages biodiver
3	SS2	Development sites	Support policy but suggest site name for A10 is amended to Land off Martlets Way including SGN and the Nib Land	SDWLP-60	Rapleys On behalf of EM Goring Ltd	No	It is not considered appropriate to ame
3	SS2	Development sites	Although it is noted that there is a planning application has recently been refused at Land North of Goring station which is not being taken forward in the local plan as an allocation. Should both the local plan development and the Goring station site come forward it would be anticipated that there would be a severe impact on the highway network	SDWLP-61	On behalf of WSCC	No	Comments noted. The WLP Transport Chatsmore Farm). An appropriate and come forward.
3	SS2	Development sites	Development Sites - Local Plans should meet full local housing need unless the adverse impacts of doing so would significantly and demonstrably outweigh the benefits - the evidence base doesn't justify this. The impact of possible sites such as Chatsmore Farm have not properly been assessed in relation to the NPPF The SA does not consider the effects of policy SS2 in terms of the impacts of not meeting the full housing need and the findings are not robust. Should have a 20% buffer - Additional clause in policy is needed to recognise need for housing and ensure that the Council will approach additional residential proposals positively.	SDWLP-66	Pegasus Group (On behalf of Persimmon Homes)	No	In line with the requirements of the NPF development sites have been positively been allocated for development. See T The SA has assessed the impact of Po be found in Appendix D. Whilst the app significantly below the levels of housing despite the shortfall the Plan is still con not meeting the identified housing need local housing need as far as possible. It is agreed that, in line with recent guid Housing Land Supply) set out in the Ho
3	SS2	Development sites	SGN support the inclusion of the former gasholder site as part of Allocation A10. Worthing's housing need is in a more precarious position to its employment land need. Council should offer greater balance between the two through a mixed-use scheme. Council to reconsider the development potential of draft allocation A10.	SDWLP-68	Carter Jonas (On behalf of Southern Gas Networks)	No	It is not considered appropriate to ame
3	SS2	Development sites	Unsound, as it fails to deliver an appropriate strategy to meet forecasted needs. Council has not proportionately considered the available evidence to reach its conclusion on a suitable balance. Council has not maximised the effectiveness if the draft WLP and its potential to deliver a greater target within the plan period.	SDWLP-68	Carter Jonas (On behalf of Southern Gas Networks)	No	Clear and robust evidence has been us sites for development and protecting th ensure that, for development sites, the uses). In part, this has been influenced
3	SS2	Development sites	The site selection process is entirely opaque, unjustified, ineffective and meeting housing needs and not positively prepared. The SA relies on the Landscape and Ecology Study which does not consider the correct portion of Chatsmore Farm. The SA is not clear in how sites have been assessed and these findings incorporated.	SDWLP-66	Pegasus Group (On behalf of Persimmon Homes)	No	Given the need to comply with national been allocated. The decision of whethe and clear justification has been provide Ecology Report (2015) positively tested the site which recognised the western p and the need for a positive, 'no stone u requested further review of three sites (suitability. Our Consultants (HDA) were also subs Farm (AWDM/1264/20) and concluded and landscape setting to the National P views from the National Park; and subs separate identities of the settlements. In summary, it is clear that the whole si
3	SS2	Development sites	Council need to explore all available sites in the Borough and allocate more land for housing: there are sites that are suitable, available and deliverable that are capable of contributing to the Councils unmet housing need. It is in this context, that the allocation of part of th land at Sea Lane, Worthing is put forward as a deliverable site for either (a) housing and open space or (b) the relocation site for		Persimmon Homes	No	the partial and incremental developmer of the application for development mad The Council has presented clear evide and there are no sites that are suitable the Plan and supporting evidence. Top
3	SS3	Town centre	Worthing Rugby Club, alongside open space. The precursor to these objectives is removal of the restrictions of both Policy SS5 and SS6. Should respond to uncertainty and be more flexible with greater emphasis on para 85a of NPPF	SDWLP-54	WSP (on behalf of NewRiver)	No	these areas and related policies SS4 to It is considered that the WLP establishe adaptation of the town centre. Careful
					New (Ner)		they are flexible enough to respond to o change for town centres.
3	SS3	Town centre	Policy fails to acknowledge importance of biodiversity, additional bullet point suggested.	SDWLP-57	Sussex Wildlife Trust	Yes	Proposals should comply with all releva DM19 Green Infrastructure). However, centre policy given the likely intensifica environment and biodiversity net gain. g) As part of the development of the integrate biodiversity within the town
3	SS3	Town centre	Town Centre - in not meeting local housing need, it will not be possible to maintain the competitiveness of Worthing Town Centre	SDWLP-66	Pegasus Group (On behalf of Persimmon Homes)	No	A very positive approach has been take is simply not possible to meet all needs economy of the Town Centre this absol sensitive areas. The Council will contir
3	SS4	Countryside and	Support	SDWLP-57	Sussex Wildlife Trust	No	Support is noted
3	SS4	undeveloped coast Countryside and undeveloped coast	Support	SDWLP-103		No	Support is noted
L				1	1	1	

nent for the diversification of the town centre and supports the delivery of residential uses ears the Council has approved a number of applications for town centre residential nt in the Montague Centre (above the former Beales Department Store). This form of ficient use of land, helps to diversify uses in the town centre and helps to add vitality - as pport appropriate developments of this nature. It would not be appropriate to allocate the e is not a specific site area or identified opportunity.

opment is planned this is still much lower than the level of identified needs for the need / desire to protect valued and environmentally important areas, Furthermore, the versity enhancements.

mend the name of this allocation / site (see more detailed response to Allocation A10)

ort Study did assess the potential impact of all potential developments (including and significant package of mitigation measures would be required as and when sites

NPPF, the Council has presented clear evidence to demonstrate that all potential rely tested. There are no sites that are suitable, available and deliverable that haven't re Topic Paper 2 (Land Outside the Built Up Area) for reference to Chatsmore Farm.

Policy SS2 as part of the likely significant effects of the Plan. The appraisal findings can appraisal acknowledges that the level of development set out by this policy falls sing need identified, it is considering the effects of the Plan from the baseline. Therefore considered to have some positive effect as a result of the allocations made. The option of eed was not tested as the only reasonable option to comply with the NPPF is to meet the e.

uidance and advice, the Council should apply a 20% buffer. The figures (and related Housing Implementation Strategy will be updated accordingly.

nend the proposed mix of uses (see more detailed response to Allocation A10)

a used to inform the WLP and a careful balance has then been struck between allocating the most environmentally sensitive areas. A further balance has then been stuck to he plan delivers the appropriate mix of uses (particularly residential and employment ced by the character and location of the specific sites / opportunities available.

nal policy in meeting local housing need all available sites suitable for development have ether or not a site is considered suitable has been informed by robust evidence studies rided (and reported) at every appropriate stage of Plan preparation. The Landscape and sted the potential development of all the site and also the partial development of some of rn parcel of the site was less sensitive. Following representations from site promoters e unturned' approach to identifying suitable development sites the Council then es (including part of Chatsmore Farm) which the original 2015 study judged to be 'low'

ubsequently commissioned to assess the application for development at Chatsmore led it would result in substantial adverse landscape and visual effects on the local area al Park, Highdown Hill scheduled Monument and the conservation area and the sea ubstantially close the gap between Goring by Sea and Ferring adversely affecting the

e site has been carefully considered through evidence (including the SA). Subsequently, ment of parts of the site have also been assessed, including the area which is the subject nade in 2020.

dence to demonstrate that all potential development sites have been positively tested ole, available and deliverable that haven't been allocated for development. In addition, Fopic Paper 2 (Land Outside the Built Up Area) robustly justifies the approach taken to 4 to SS6.

ishes clear policies that take a positive approach to the growth, management and ful consideration has been given to the drafting of the relevant policies to ensure that to changing demands and circumstances. This is particularly important at a time of great

evant policies and requirements set out in the Plan (including CM18 Biodiversity and er, it is considered appropriate to highlight this requirement within this strategic town ication of development in this area alongside the need to deliver a high quality in. As such, add a new policy criterion at the end of Policy SS3:

he Green Infrastructure Strategy the Council will consider opportunities to own centre to address climate adaptation and ecological connectivity

aken to development but, as clearly explained within the Plan and supporting evidence, it eds. Whilst it could be argued that a higher level of growth would better support the solutely does not override the very clear and evidenced need to protect environmentally ntinue to support and promote and the town centre to ensure that it remains competitive.

Indeveloped coast Indeveloped coast (On behalf of Porsimion Porsimi Porseconda Porsimion Porsimi Porseconte Porsimi	No The policy approach is considered jus needs) the Council has positively teste sites tested in these areas have been ecological evidence demonstrates clear respondents during Local Plan consult compliant with the NPPF and related F Yes Comments noted. To reflect the propo- additional wording will be added to the f)and landowners. Any developmendesigned to avoid adverse impacts No Support is noted No Support is noted
Indeveloped coast National Park Autority S55 Local green gaps Areas appear correctly identified SDWLP-01 NAtionity S55 Local green gaps It is importative that protection is given to Worthings green gaps as identified in the plan. SDWLP-01 SDWLP-01 SOM Participation S55 Local green gaps Preservation of Local Gaps and Local Green Space is vitaly important to the balance struck between housing and environmental protection - the eastern and western gaps are essential breaks in the otherwise continuous built-up area. SDWLP-01 SOWLP-07 Frenting S55 Local green gaps The boundary to (C) Brooklands Recreation Area and abutting allotments should be amended to exclude land within in UD Conservation Group SDWLP-04 Southern Water S55 Local green gaps The boundary to (C) Brooklands Recreation Area and abutting allotments should be amended to exclude land within Auto Data SDWLP-04 Sylvetica Homes 1 S55 Local green gaps Objects to designation for the southern part of Coning Gap: - Council states no buildings but there is a large water pumping station and large raised manholes. - The fields have protously achieved in weight field there is no cases. - The fields have protously achieved in weight or quality score - Disagree with description of undeveloped coastine SDWLP-45 House Builders Federation S55	Yes Comments noted. To reflect the propo additional wording will be added to the f)and landowners. Any developme designed to avoid adverse impacts No Support is noted
SS5 Local green gaps It is imperative that protection is given to Worthings green gaps as identified in the plan. SDVLP-15 Goring Residents Association SS5 Local green gaps Preservation of Local Gaps and Local Green Space is vitally important to the balance struck between housing and environmental protection - the eastern and western gaps are essential breaks in the otherwise continuous built-up area. SDVLP-27 Ferring Conservation Group SS5 Local green gaps The boundary to (c) Brooklands Recreation Area and abutting allotments should be amended to exclude land within Southern Water's - The nain house structure and abutting allotments should be amended to exclude land within Southern Water's SDWLP-43 Southern Water SS5 Local green gaps Objects to designation for the southern part of Goring Gap: - The nain house no high of way. Apart from the playing field there is no recreational use or access - The fields make up a large extract of land - The fields make up a large extract of land - The fields make up a large extract of land - The fields make up a large extract of land - The fields make up a large extract of land - The fields make up a large extract of land - The fields make up a large extract of land - The fields make up a large extract of land - The fields make up a large extract of land - Stag ere with description of undeveloped coastiline - Disagree structure and the space of the town providing a narrow but important gap between settimenents to avoid coalascence and ensure the separate	
Image: Construction Construction Construction Association SS5 Local green gaps Preservation and Local Green Space is vitally important to the balance struck between housing and environmental protection - the eastern and western gaps are essential breaks in the otherwise continuous built-up area. SWLP-27 Ferring in Conservation Group on the eastern and western gaps are essential breaks in the otherwise continuous built-up area. SWLP-43 SUWLP-43 Southern Water SS5 Local green gaps The boundary to (c) Brooklands Recreation Area and abutting allotments should be amended to exclude land within Southern Waters' SUWLP-43 Southern Water SOWLP-43 Southern Water SS5 Local green gaps Objects to designation for the southern part of Goring Gap: - The map includes land within Arun DC who concluded the Gap does not fulfill the requirements for LGS - Council states no buildings but there is a large water pumping station and large raised manholes. - The fields have up atarge extra of land - The fields have previously achieved a low quality score - Disagree with description of undeveloped coastline SDWLP-45 House Builders federation SS5 Local green gaps Supports the protection of Green Gaps particularly on the eastern side of the town providing a narrow but important gap between settlements to avoid coalescence and ensure the separate identity of Worthing and SomptingLancing within Adur Ditrict. SDWLP-57 Susseex Wildlife 1 Trust SS5	No Support is noted
SS5 Local green gaps Preservation of Local Gaps and Local Green Space is vially important to the balance struck between housing and environmental protection - the eastern and western gaps are essential breaks in the otherwise continuous built-up area. SUMLP-27 Ferring Conservation Group SS5 Local green gaps The boundary to (c) Brooklands Recreation Area and abutting allotments should be amended to exclude land within Southern Water's ownership. SUMLP-43 Southern Water's SS5 Local green gaps Objects to designation for the southern part of Goring Gap: - The main functions is not pind vay. Apart from the playing field there is no recreational use or access - The fields make up a large extrat of land - The fields make up a large extrat of land - The fields make up a large extrat of land - The fields make up a large extrat of land - The fields make up a large extrat of land - The fields make up a large extrat of land - The fields make up a large extrat of land - The fields make up a large extrat of land - The fields make up a large extrat of land - The fields make up a large extrat of land - The fields make up a large extrat of land - The fields make up a large extrat of land - The fields make up a large extrat of land - The fields make up a large extrat of land - The fields make up a large extration where development needs are met SDWLP-45 House Builders Federation SS5 Local green gaps Supports these policies but both should be strengthened and made clearer that development will be resisted in these areas. SDWLP-47 Sussex Wildlife 1 Trust SS5 Local green gaps	
SS5 Local green gaps The boundary to (c) Brooklands Recreation Area and abutting allotments should be amended to exclude land within Southern Water's ownership. SDWLP-43 Southern Water's ownership. SS5 Local green gaps Objects to designation for the southern part of Goring Gap: - The map includes land within Arun DC who concluded the Gap does not fulfill the requirements for LGS - Council states no buildings but there is a large water pumping station and large raised marholes. - The fields make up a large extract of land - The fields make up a large extract of land - The fields have previously achieved a low quality score - Disagree with description of undeveloped coastline SDWLP-45 House Builders House Builders SS5 Local green gaps Not sound . Green gaps and undeveloped coastline SDWLP-45 House Builders Federation SS5 Local green gaps Supports these policies but both should be strengthened and made clearer that development will be resisted in these areas. SDWLP-45 Sussex Wildlife Trust SS5 Local green gaps Supports the protection of Green Gaps particularly on the eastern side of the town providing a narrow but important gap between settlements to avoid coalescence and ensure the separate identity of Worthing and Sompting/Lancing within Adur District. SDWLP-40 NA SS5 Local green gaps Supports the protection of Green Gaps and you have correctly identified at-risk areas which need protection. SDWLP-40 NA	No Support is noted
SS5 Local green gaps Supports the protection of Green Gaps particularly on the eastern side of the town providing an and row but important gap between settlements to avoid coalescence and ensure the separate identity of Worthing and Sompting/Lancing within Adur District. SDWLP-45 Federation for LGS SS5 Local green gaps Supports the protection of Green Gaps particularly on the eastern side of the town providing an and sompting/Lancing within Adur District. SDWLP-45 House Builders for LGS SS5 Local green gaps Supports the protection of Green Gaps particularly on the eastern side of the town providing an anor but important gap between settlements to avoid coalescence and ensure the separate identity of Worthing and Sompting/Lancing within Adur District. SDWLP-45 SDWLP-45 SDWLP-45 SS5 Local green gaps Supports the protection of Green Gaps particularly on the eastern side of the town providing an narrow but important gap between settlements to avoid coalescence and ensure the separate identity of Worthing and Sompting/Lancing within Adur District. SDWLP-45 SDWLP-103 Natural England in Council Co	Yes Boundary will be amended (see separ
SS5 Local green gaps Not sound . Green gaps should only be a consideration where development needs are met SDWLP-45 House Builders Federation SS5 Local green gaps Supports these policies but both should be strengthened and made clearer that development will be resisted in these areas. SDWLP-57 Sussex Wildlife SS5 Local green gaps Supports the protection of Green Gaps particularly on the eastern side of the town providing a narrow but important gap between settlements to avoid coalescence and ensure the separate identity of Worthing and Sompting/Lancing within Adur District. SDWLP-68 Adur District Council SS5 Local green gaps Support Support SDWLP-103 Natural England N/A SS5 Local Green Gaps Support - Delighted to see strong intention to protect the Green Gaps and you have correctly identified at-risk areas which need SDWLP-101 N/A SS5 Local green gaps Attached as Appendix 1 is a paper outlining the ecological features which make Goring Gap so important for birds. SDWLP-64 Sussex onthological Society Society SS5 Local green gaps Green Gaps - The requirements of this Policy currently conflict with SS4 (which should be deleted) - Policy should be modified to supporting development proposals that reinforce the separation of settlements and development proposals that reinforce the separation of settlements and development proposa	No Objection noted. The policy approach justification and rationale for the Local
SS5Local green gapsSupports the protection of Green Gaps particularly on the eastern side of the town providing a narrow but important gap between settlements to avoid coalescence and ensure the separate identity of Worthing and Sompting/Lancing within Adur District.SDWLP-98Adur District CouncilSS5Local green gapsSupportSupportSDWLP-103Natural EnglandISS5Local Green GapsSupport - Delighted to see strong intention to protect the Green Gaps and you have correctly identified at-risk areas which needSDWLP-01N/AISS5Local green gapsAttached as Appendix 1 is a paper outlining the ecological features which make Goring Gap so important for birds.SDWLP-64Sussex Ornithological SocietySussexISS5Local green gapsGreen Gaps - The requirements of this Policy currently conflict with SS4 (which should be deleted) - Policy should be modified to remove reference to exceptional circumstances, the second criterion should be deleted, reference should be made to supporting development proposals that reinforce the separation of settlements and development proposals that increase the opportunities forSDWLP-66Pegasus Group (On behalf of Persimmon	No The policy approach is considered jus needs) the Council has positively teste sites tested in these areas have been ecological evidence demonstrates clea respondents during Local Plan consult compliant with the NPPF and related F
one settlements to avoid coalescence and ensure the separate identity of Worthing and Sompting/Lancing within Adur District. Council SS5 Local green gaps Support SDWLP-103 Natural England I SS5 Local Green Gaps Support - Delighted to see strong intention to protect the Green Gaps and you have correctly identified at-risk areas which need SDWLP-01 N/A N/A SS5 Local green gaps Attached as Appendix 1 is a paper outlining the ecological features which make Goring Gap so important for birds. SDWLP-64 Sussex Omithological Society SS5 Local green gaps Green Gaps - The requirements of this Policy currently conflict with SS4 (which should be deleted) - Policy should be modified to remove reference to exceptional circumstances, the second criterion should be deleted, reference should be made to supporting (On behalf of Persimmon) SDWLP-66 Persimmon	No Although the key aim is to protect thes could be exceptional circumstances w recreational use of the land or enhanc in the policy(ies) would provide strong
SS5 Local green gaps Support SDWLP-103 Natural England I SS5 Local Green Gaps Support - Delighted to see strong intention to protect the Green Gaps and you have correctly identified at-risk areas which need protection. SDWLP-01 N/A N/A N/A SS5 Local green gaps Attached as Appendix 1 is a paper outlining the ecological features which make Goring Gap so important for birds. SDWLP-64 Sussex Ornithological Society Ornithological Society SS5 Local green gaps Green Gaps - The requirements of this Policy currently conflict with SS4 (which should be deleted) - Policy should be modified to remove reference to exceptional circumstances, the second criterion should be deleted, reference should be made to supporting development proposals that reinforce the separation of settlements and development proposals that increase the opportunities for SDWLP-66 Persimmon	No Support is noted
Image: state of the second state of	No Support is noted
SS5 Local green gaps Attached as Appendix 1 is a paper outlining the ecological features which make Goring Gap so important for birds. SDWLP-64 Sussex Ornithological Society SS5 Local green gaps Green Gaps - The requirements of this Policy currently conflict with SS4 (which should be deleted) - Policy should be modified to remove reference to exceptional circumstances, the second criterion should be deleted, reference should be made to supporting development proposals that reinforce the separation of settlements and development proposals that increase the opportunities for SDWLP-66 Pegasus Group (On behalf of Persimmon	No Support is noted
SS5 Local green gaps Green Gaps - The requirements of this Policy currently conflict with SS4 (which should be deleted) - Policy should be modified to remove reference to exceptional circumstances, the second criterion should be deleted, reference should be made to supporting development proposals that reinforce the separation of settlements and development proposals that increase the opportunities for SDWLP-66 Pegasus Group I	No Information is noted and will be used t
	No The policy approach is considered jus identities of different settlements by pr exceptional circumstances where som the land or enhanced leisure provision development pressure (particularly to edge of town development sites. Six o remaining sites, strong landscape, bio position is supported by the vast majo Outside the Built Up Area). This appro-
SS5 Local green gaps Objection to Policy SS5 and Policy SS6. Object to the designation of Manor Farm (the Goring-Ferring Gap) in this Draft Plan as a Local SDWLP-70 On behalf of Gap/ Local Green Space. The overhanging deficit of 10,488 homes makes it profoundly unsound to introduce local greenspace/ local gap designations at this stage. On behalf of Persimmon Homes	No The policy approach is considered jus needs) the Council has positively teste sites tested in these areas have been ecological evidence demonstrates clea respondents during Local Plan consult compliant with the NPPF and related F
SS5 Local green gaps SS5 & SS6 - reiterates comments made at the Regulation 18 - Landscape Character Assessment SDWLP-73 On behalf of South Downs National Park Authority	
	No Comments noted. Although there is a allocated for residential development v existing sports and recreation building Recreation & Leisure. The request for consideration of this s very different character than the three request to designate the 2 areas to the Preparation process and Brooklands v request and the nature of the area in o WLP. However, this area will be asse which will help to inform the considera
3 SS6 Local green spaces It is imperative that protection is given to Worthing's green spaces as identified in the plan. SDWLP-15 Goring Residents Association	No Support is noted
SS6 Local green spaces Fully support designation of these areas as local green space SDWLP-18 Transition Town Worthing	· I

ustified. In the context of significant development pressure (particularly to meet housing sted the development potential of all edge of town development sites. Six of the nine en allocated for development. Of the remaining sites, strong landscape, biodiversity and learly the need for protection and this position is supported by the vast majority of sultation stages (see Topic Paper 2 - Land Outside the Built Up Area). This approach is d Planning Practice Guidance.

posed revised para 175 of the NPPF, future proof the WLP and provide additional clarity he end of SS4 f) Amendment:

ment within the setting of the National Park should be sensitively located and ts on the designated landscape.

arate Mapping Extract)

h is considered justified. See Topic Paper 2 - Land Outside the Built Up Area for al Green Gap designation.

ustified. In the context of significant development pressure (particularly to meet housing sted the development potential of all edge of town development sites. Six of the nine en allocated for development. Of the remaining sites, strong landscape, biodiversity and learly the need for protection and this position is supported by the vast majority of sultation stages (see Topic Paper 2 - Land Outside the Built Up Area). This approach is d Planning Practice Guidance.

ese areas as open spaces it is considered that a degree of flexibility is required as there where some limited development could be supported, for example, to support need leisure provision. However, even in these examples the robust criteria embedded ng and appropriate safeguards and ensure that the policy objectives are met.

to inform future SPDs and work on Biodiversity Net Gain

ustified. Whilst the primary aim of the policy is to safeguard the separate characters and providing and physical breaks, the policy provides a degree of flexibility as there could be ome limited development could be supported, for example, to support recreational use of on. It is considered that this provides a positive approach. In the context of significant to meet housing needs) the Council has positively tested the development potential of all of the nine sites tested in these areas have been allocated for development. Of the piodiversity and ecological evidence demonstrates clearly the need for protection and this jority of respondents during Local Plan consultation stages (see Topic Paper 2 - Land proach is compliant with the NPPF and related Planning Practice Guidance.

ustified. In the context of significant development pressure (particularly to meet housing sted the development potential of all edge of town development sites. Six of the nine en allocated for development. Of the remaining sites, strong landscape, biodiversity and learly the need for protection and this position is supported by the vast majority of ultation stages (see Topic Paper 2 - Land Outside the Built Up Area). This approach is d Planning Practice Guidance.

Iscape Character Assessment will be referred to in Topic Paper 2 (Land Outside of the ner relevant guidance.

s a long term aspiration to redevelop and enhance the Leisure Centre it is not being nt within the emerging Local Plan. Any future development which may affect the loss ngs / facilities will have to be considered against criterion c) of Policy DM7 Open Space,

e site as a Local Green Space (LGS) is acknowledged. However, this parcel of land is of the edge of town sites that are being designated as LGS within the WLP. A formal the west of the Borough was made by local residents group at the start of the Local Plan is was promoted by an active 'Friends of' Group. Therefore, due to the timing of this in question it is not considered appropriate to designate this area as a LGS within this sessed through both the Leisure Strategy and the Green Infrastructure Strategy - both of rration of this site during the formal review of the WLP (Within 5 years of adoption).

3	SS6	Local green spaces	Two of the Local Green Gaps are shared with Ferring - strongly support the analysis and protection of these areas. They have intrinsic value as green spaces and are much valued for their peaceful tranquility and wildlife. Goring-Ferring Gap has recently been designated a Local Wildlife Site. Chatsmore Farm has particular 'green ' value because of the Ferring Rife.		Ferring Conservation Group	No	Support is noted
3	SS6	Local green spaces	Policy unsound as inconsistent with national policy and should be deleted.	SDWLP-45	House Builders Federation	No	The policy approach is considered justi needs) the Council has positively tested sites tested in these areas have been a ecological evidence demonstrates clea respondents during Local Plan consulta compliant with the NPPF and related P
3	SS6	Local green spaces	Support	SDWLP-103	Natural England	No	Support is noted
3		Local green spaces	Welcome the designations.	SDWLP-64	Sussex Ornithological Society	No	Support is noted
3	SS6	Local green spaces	SS6 Local Green Space - Contrary to national policy to further limit options given housing shortfall - Chatsmore Farm does not meet criteria	SDWLP-66	Pegasus Group (On behalf of Persimmon Homes)	No	The policy approach is considered justi needs) the Council has positively tested sites tested in these areas have been a ecological evidence demonstrates clear respondents during Local Plan consulta compliant with the NPPF and related Pl
3	SS6	Local green spaces	Objection to Policy SS5 and Policy SS6. Object to the designation of Manor Farm (the Goring-Ferring Gap) in this Draft Plan as a Local Gap/ Local Green Space. The overhanging deficit of 10,488 homes makes it profoundly unsound to introduce local greenspace/ local gap designations at this stage.	SDWLP-70	On behalf of Persimmon Homes	No	The policy approach is considered justiin needs) the Council has positively tested sites tested in these areas have been a ecological evidence demonstrates clean respondents during Local Plan consulta compliant with the NPPF and related Pl
3	SS6	Local green spaces	SS5 & SS6 - reiterates comments made at the Regulation 18 - Landscape Character Assessment	SDWLP-73	South Downs National Park Authority	No	Reference to the South Downs Landsca Built Up Area Boundary) and any other
3		Whole Chapter	Desperately need housing	SDWLP-03	N/A	No	Noted
4		Whole Chapter	development, especially for brownfield sites.	SDWLP-57	Sussex Wildlife Trust	No	Policy DM18 (Biodiversity) clearly sets felt necessary to amend the wording re- parts of the Plan.
4		Whole Chapter	Whilst we acknowledge the supporting Flood Risk Sequential and Exceptions test paper, it is not clear in the emerging local plan as submitted how these sites have been through the process of sequential testing with regard to flood risk, and then ultimately taken forward within this plan.	SDWLP-59	Environment Agency	Yes	See SOCG. To clearly signpost the pro sequential test has been passed within Plan: 4.1 All sites included in this section Availability Assessment (SHLAA) and, t Exception Test. Supported by these do allocated for development. The sequent these are the most sequentially prefe every effort has been made to meet V suitably available sites are required i capacity to meet Worthing's full loca sequential test, as required by the N
4	A1	Beeches Avenue		SDWLP-03	N/A	No	Noted
4	A1	Beeches Avenue		SDWLP-03	N/A	No	The Council has sought to prepare a Pl deliverable and realistic. Any future de particularly Policy DM17: Energy.
4	A1	Beeches Avenue	Access via Lyons Farm is impractical as often gridlocked. This and Upper Brighton Road should only be considered if a bypass for Worthing is achieved.	SDWLP-06	N/A	No	Objection noted. Evidence demonstrate mitigated. There are currently no realis noted that Highways England have bee improvements to the A27. This will help (RIS2): 2020 to 2025, to improve the ca Highways England aim to identify option
4	A1	Beeches Avenue	Development would lead to more pollution in this area and infringe on the SDNP	SDWLP-07	N/A	No	Objection noted. Given the level of hous evidence studies (including a Landscap Beeches Avenue. While it is acknowled the development requirements provide development.
4	A1	Beeches Avenue	 Unacceptable level of traffic in and out of the site Car repairers and storage of portable toilets unsuitable near to residential area. 	SDWLP-09	N/A	No	Objection noted. Given the level of hour evidence studies (including a Landscap Beeches Avenue. While it is acknowled the development requirements provide development. It is understood that the o
4	A1	Beeches Avenue	Welcomes exclusion of the football ground unless/until a suitable replacement site is identified. If included Sport England would object to the loss of playing field unless one of the exceptions was met.		Sports England	No	Noted
4	A1	Beeches Avenue		SDWLP-18	Transition Town Worthing	No	Objection noted. Given the level of hou evidence studies (including a Landscap Beeches Avenue. While it is acknowled the development requirements provide development.
4	A1	Beeches Avenue	Development would have a negative impact on already congested Lyons Farm junction and A27 in general. Concerns re Impact on local community if football club relocated, drinking water supplies, loss of green areas.	SDWLP-19	N/A	No	Objection noted. Given the level of hous evidence studies (including a Landscap Beeches Avenue. While it is acknowled the development requirements provide development.

ustified. In the context of significant development pressure (particularly to meet housing sted the development potential of all edge of town development sites. Six of the nine an allocated for development. Of the remaining sites, strong landscape, biodiversity and learly the need for protection and this position is supported by the vast majority of ultation stages (see Topic Paper 2 - Land Outside the Built Up Area). This approach is d Planning Practice Guidance.

ustified. In the context of significant development pressure (particularly to meet housing sted the development potential of all edge of town development sites. Six of the nine in allocated for development. Of the remaining sites, strong landscape, biodiversity and learly the need for protection and this position is supported by the vast majority of ultation stages (see Topic Paper 2 - Land Outside the Built Up Area). This approach is a Planning Practice Guidance.

Istified. In the context of significant development pressure (particularly to meet housing sted the development potential of all edge of town development sites. Six of the nine an allocated for development. Of the remaining sites, strong landscape, biodiversity and learly the need for protection and this position is supported by the vast majority of ultation stages (see Topic Paper 2 - Land Outside the Built Up Area). This approach is d Planning Practice Guidance.

Iscape Character Assessment will be referred to in Topic Paper 2 (Land Outside of the her relevant guidance.

ets out the Council's position. The Plan should be read as a whole so, as such, it is not relating to biodiversity within individual site allocations as this would duplicate other

process sequential testing with regard to flood risk and to demonstrate that the hin the Local Plan the following modification is proposed to paragraph 4.1 of the Local

ion have been assessed in detail through the Council's Strategic Housing Land ed, the Local Plan Sustainability Appraisal, and the SDWLP Flood Risk Sequential and e documents and other evidence, a conclusion has been reached that they should be uential test concluded that the majority of sites are located in Flood Zone 1 and referable. However due to the limited number of sites available, to ensure that et Worthing's full local housing need as far as is practicable and reasonable, all ed including those at risk of flooding. Even with these there is still insufficient ocal housing need. Therefore it is considered that all the above sites pass the a NPPF.

a Plan that responds to the Climate Change emergency (whilst also ensuring policies are development proposals would need to comply with the requirements of the Plan -

rates that the previously identified constraints relating to access can be overcome / alistic or deliverable for any by-pass for Worthing. However, in this regard, it should be been working closely with key stakeholders to identify a package of potential help to meet the revised objectives in the government's Road Investment Strategy 2 e capacity and flow of traffic on the A27 from Worthing to Lancing. In the coming months, bitons for an improvement scheme, before holding a public consultation in spring/summer housing need, brownfield sites alone are not sufficient. The SA together with associated cape Study and a Transport Study) were used to assess all potential sources including redged there are some site constraints (as documented on page 73) it is considered that de the necessary safeguards to address and mitigate any potential negative impacts of

nousing need, brownfield sites alone are not sufficient. The SA together with associated cape Study and a Transport Study) were used to assess all potential sources including redged there are some site constraints (as documented on page 73) it is considered that de the necessary safeguards to address and mitigate any potential negative impacts of ne car repairers and storage facility will be relocated prior to the development of this site.

nousing need, brownfield sites alone are not sufficient. The SA together with associated cape Study and a Transport Study) were used to assess all potential sources including redged there are some site constraints (as documented on page 73) it is considered that de the necessary safeguards to address and mitigate any potential negative impacts of

nousing need, brownfield sites alone are not sufficient. The SA together with associated cape Study and a Transport Study) were used to assess all potential sources including rledged there are some site constraints (as documented on page 73) it is considered that de the necessary safeguards to address and mitigate any potential negative impacts of

	Deach A	A07 in this location summaths has delayed as we start would have attracted a start of ""		N1/A	No	Objection noted Others that a last
A1	Beeches Avenue	 A27 in this location currently has delays, development would bring this to a standstill would exacerbate current flooding that occurs after rain. would have a negative impact on our countryside and habitat Consideration should instead be given to brownfield sites 	SDWLP-21	N/A	No	Objection noted. Given the level of hou evidence studies (including a Landscap Beeches Avenue. While it is acknowled the development requirements provide
		- conserve and protect green spaces such as this"		N1/A	N	development.
A1	Beeches Avenue	 Using Beeches Avenue and the Football Club as an access road would be a disaster. The extra traffic will cause chaos along the A27 and A24 Pedestrians will find it impossible to access local amenities south of the A27 safely Building on this elevated site will have adverse impacts on views in and out of the AONB 	SDWLP-40	N/A	No	Objection noted. Given the level of hou evidence studies (including a Landscap Beeches Avenue. While it is acknowled the development requirements provide
			00144 0 57	0	N	development.
A1	Beeches Avenue	Concerned to see this site proceed to the Regulation 19 consultation as an allocation. We seek clarity on what evidence has been brought forward that has seen this biodiversity reasoning dismissed.	SDWLP-57	Sussex Wildlife Trust	Νο	In 2018 the Beeches Avenue opportuni in principle, a level of development mig a conclusion that, at that stage, sufficie identified constraints could be overcom been demonstrated that suitable vehicu show that the previously identified cons allocation.
A1	Beeches Avenue	Policy does not go far enough to protect the integrity of ground water. The policy should ensure the risks are consider upfront and can demonstrate that development will not impact groundwater quality in line with Groundwater Protection: Principles and Practice (GP3)	SDWLP-59	Environment Agency	Yes	See SOCG. To ensure the protection of following modification is proposed to de f) Provide a Sustainable Urban Drainag hydrogeological risk assessment to groundwater quality for drinking water in Practice (GP3).
A1	Beeches Avenue	Sites must be designed to conserve and enhance the character of National Park and its setting and ensure consistency with the special character and importance of the protected landscape as set out in the SDNP Management Plan.	SDWLP-103	Natural England	No	Comments noted. it is considered that t provide adequate protection against po
A1	Beeches Avenue	Beeches Avenue - supports the Development Requirements, in particular points a), b), d) and e). The comments about the design being led by landscape character are reiterated	SDWLP-73	South Downs National Park Authority	No	this site. Reference to the South Downs Landscz Built Up Area Boundary) and any other state that development within the settin impacts on the designated landscape. wording changes in the NPPF. This, al provide adequate and robust guidance
A1	Beeches Avenue	A1 Beeches Avenue - Would exacerbate existing drainage issues and lead to more flooding - Degrade dark skies status of SDNP and impact bats and owls - Access onto A27 is unsustainable and Lyons Farm is often at gridlock.	SDWLP-08	N/A	No	Objection noted. Given the level of hou evidence studies (including a Landscap Beeches Avenue. While it is acknowled the development requirements provide
A2	Caravan Club, Titnore Way	Concerns about this allocation particularly the cumulative impact on the Titnore and Gorring Woods Local Wildlife Site (LWS) complex leading to unacceptable impacts contrary to policy DM18 Biodiversity and the NPPF.	SDWLP-57	Sussex Wildlife Trust	No	Objection noted. Given the level of hou evidence studies were used to assess are some site constraints and sensitivit provide the necessary safeguards to ac considered that the allocation is in conf
A2	Caravan Club, Titnore Way	Further clarity is needed around the sequential test process, if relevant the exception test and the addition of the measures identified in the SFRA level 2 to be included as part of a site specific flood risk assessment.	SDWLP-59	Environment Agency	Yes	See SOCG. To clearly signpost the exc which inserts an additional bullet point
						wapilestreadile the Source of Source
						To more clearly highlight the outputs of criteria b), and replace criteria c) as foll b) adopt the sequential approach so th maintain a suitable buffer to the lake
						c) A site specific Flood Risk Assess taking account of the vulnerability of reduce flood risk overall. This should scheme to provide mitigation and op
A2	Caravan Club, Titnore Way	Sites must be designed to conserve and enhance the character of National Park and its setting and ensure consistency with the special character and importance of the protected landscape as set out in the SDNP Management Plan.	SDWLP-103	Natural England	No	Comments noted. it is considered that provide adequate protection against po this site.
A2	Caravan Club, Titnore Way	Caravan Club, Titnore Way believe the extent of degradation and eventual loss of ancient woodland in the LWS would be significant, unacceptable and in direct conflict with Policy DM18, d) and e).	SDWLP-64	Sussex Ornithological Society	No	Inis site. Objection noted. Given the level of hou evidence studies were used to assess are some site constraints and sensitivit provide the necessary safeguards to ac considered that the allocation is in configuration.
A2	Caravan Club, Titnore Way	Comments at Reg 18 are reiterated regarding the supply of visitor accommodation and the need to ensure that support is given to the visitor economy in and around the National Park	SDWLP-73	South Downs National Park Authority	No	The current proposals (see criterion g) support and enhance the continued us
A3	Centenary House	We do not feel that the development requirement section uses its potential to recognise the role this site could have in enhancing Green Infrastructure.	SDWLP-57	Sussex Wildlife Trust	No	Paragraph 4.8 explains that proposals Policies DM18 (Biodiversity) and DM19 read as a whole so, as such, it is not fe
A5	Decoy Farm	Allocation A5 Decoy Farm should be amended to recognise the constraint that the GSK pipelines present to future development.	SDWLP-42	Lichfields (on behalf of GSK)	Yes	Comments are noted and it is agreed th pipelines. Amend criterion j) to say: j) ensure layout is planned to ensure fu upsizing purposes (this includes the th

nousing need, brownfield sites alone are not sufficient. The SA together with associated cape Study and a Transport Study) were used to assess all potential sources including redged there are some site constraints (as documented on page 73) it is considered that de the necessary safeguards to address and mitigate any potential negative impacts of

nousing need, brownfield sites alone are not sufficient. The SA together with associated cape Study and a Transport Study) were used to assess all potential sources including redged there are some site constraints (as documented on page 73) it is considered that de the necessary safeguards to address and mitigate any potential negative impacts of

unity was included in the Draft Local Plan as an Omission site - these were sites where, night be acceptable. However, for the reasons set out for each site, the Council reached cient and robust evidence had not been submitted that would provide confidence that the ome. For this site, the Draft Plan was clear in that the key constraint was that it not not nicular access arrangements could be achieved. Evidence prepared since 2018 now onstraints can be overcome / mitigated - and as such the site is now proposed as an

on of groundwater and ensure any necessary risk assessments are undertaken the o development requirement f):

nage System (SuDS) that includes measures to protect has been informed by a to ensure and demonstrate the system does not pose an unacceptable risk to ef in line with Position Statement G10 of Groundwater Protection: Principles and

at the Development Requirements as drafted (alongside other policies in the Plan) potential harm to the National Park and other environmental assets in close proximity to

Iscape Character Assessment will be referred to in Topic Paper 2 (Land Outside of the ner relevant guidance. Furthermore, an amendment is proposed to WLP policy SS4 to tting of the National Park should be sensitively located and designed to avoid adverse e. This change will provide additional clarity and bring the Plan in line with the proposed , along with the Development Requirements set out in policy A1, are considered to uce / protection.

nousing need, brownfield sites alone are not sufficient. The SA together with associated cape Study and a Transport Study) were used to assess all potential sources including redged there are some site constraints (as documented on page 73) it is considered that de the necessary safeguards to address any potential negative impacts of development.

nousing need, brownfield sites alone are not sufficient. The SA together with associated ss all potential sources including the Caravan Club site. While it is acknowledged there ivities (as documented on page 75), it is considered that the development requirements o address any potential negative impacts of development on the environment. It is not onflict with DM18 or the NPPF.

exception test work that has been undertaken the following modification is proposed int under the site constraints list:

I Biskishourentieliands Excantions Tagh which was informed by the large value STBA

s of the Level 2 SFRA for this site, the following modification is proposed to amend follows:

the most vulnerable uses are located in the areas at lowest risk of flooding and ake.

ssment should demonstrate that the development will be safe for it's lifetime y of its uses, without increasing flood risk elsewhere, and, where possible, will buld include the measures identified in the Level 2 SFRA (2020) and a SuDS opportunities to achieve a reduction in overal flood risk.

at the Development Requirements as drafted (alongside other policies in the Plan) potential harm to the National Park and other environmental assets in close proximity to

nousing need, brownfield sites alone are not sufficient. The SA together with associated ss all potential sources including the Caravan Club site. While it is acknowledged there ivities (as documented on page 75), it is considered that the development requirements o address any potential negative impacts of development on the environment. It is not onflict with DM18.

g) would help to ensure that the redevelopment of part of this site will help to protect, use of the land to the north as a caravan site.

als to develop the allocated sites will need to comply with all relevant policies in the Plan. 119 (Green Infrastructure) are particularly relevant to this request. The Plan should be t felt necessary to amend the wording relating to green infrastructure. d that the development requirements should be amended to refer to the GSK effluent

e future access to existing water and/or wastewater infrastructure for maintenance and e two effluent pipelines which run along the northern boundary) Phase occupation

4	A5	Decoy Farm	Capacity should be amended to a minimum of 14,000 sqm. Supporting text should be amended to update latest position.	SDWLP-55	WSP (on behalf of Worthing Borough	Yes	Further more detailed work has been u site as a consequence amend 'Indication
					Council)		Minimum of 18,000 14,000 sqm employ (Note - this will result in the correspond
							To update latest position update the fo
							Para 4.20 - third sentence - Remediation end of March 2021 and this included
							Para 4.21 - third sentence - Current ac Way.
4	A5	Decoy Farm	Indicative capacity states a minimum for the commercial space, this term has not been used in the other allocations and we seek clarity on its use here. Policy wording should be amended to make clear the need to deliver net gains for biodiversity and follow mitigation hierarchy.	ySDWLP-57	Sussex Wildlife Trust	No	Paragraph 4.8 explains development re capacity will be amended to remove the this site has been reduced from 18,000 The Plan should be read as a whole so
4	A5	Decoy Farm	Further clarity is needed around the sequential test process, if relevant the exception test and the addition of the measures identified in the SFRA level 2 to be included as part of a site specific flood risk assessment.	SDWLP-59	Environment Agency	Yes	See SOCG. To more clearly highlight the to the fourth site constraint and develop
							• The Teville Stream (partially culv identifies areas of Flood Zone 3 associ groundwater flood risk. This site wa: informed by the Level 2 SFRA (2020)
							d) demonstrate how flood risk will be sa account, and not increased elsewhere; development will be safe for it's lifet elsewhere, and, where possible, will Level 2 SFRA (2020) and a SuDS sch flood risk.
4	A5	Decoy Farm	We would welcome discussion as to how the HWRS can be ensured that no safeguarding issues arise through the allocation and future development of Decoy Farm, and that future site reorganisation or expansion, if required, would not be prohibited.	SDWLP-61	On behalf of WSCC	Yes	The current Waste Plan relevant to Wo concluded that the plan remains releva and plans for extensions at existing fac is not considered appropriate or necess purpose, particularly as plans to develo case is being developed and it is expect
							It is proposed that the following amend Farm should not prevent or prejudice th
							Amend 5th bullet point of 'Site Constrai
							* Directly adjoins the boundary of the H Plan. The West Sussex Waste Loca development which may prevent or
							Amend criterion f) of the Development
							f) minimise impacts on nearby resident intensification) of the adjacent house
4	A5	Decoy Farm	Decoy Farm - bullet point k) replaced by the following: k) Deliver biodiversity net gain on site and if this is not possible deliver an off site solution instead.	eSDWLP-64	Sussex Ornithological Society	No	Policy DM18 (Biodiversity) clearly sets felt necessary to amend the wording re
4	A6	Fulbeck Avenue	Object due to loss of greenfield site, effects on flood protection and proximity to Local Wildlife Site	SDWLP-18	Transition Town Worthing	No	Objection noted. Given the level of hou evidence studies (including a Flood Ris potential sources including Fulbeck Av page 83, it is considered that the devel potential negative impacts of developm
4	A6	Fulbeck Avenue	Concerns about this allocation particularly the cumulative impact on the Titnore and Gorring Woods Local Wildlife Site (LWS) complex leading to unacceptable impacts contrary to policy DM18 Biodiversity and the NPPF.	SDWLP-57	Sussex Wildlife Trust	No	Concerns noted. It is acknowledged the development requirements provide the development proposal would also need Infrastructure.

n undertaken to understand the site constraints and related development potential of this ative Capacity' to:

ployment land

onding change needing to be made to the site allocations table (SS2)

following:

ation of the site is due to commence at the end of 2020 including was completed at the led removal of.....

access for the site is from Decon Way Dominion Way, which is accessed via Dominion-

It requirements as usually a 'minimum'. Therefore, for consistency, the indicative the words 'Minimum of'. In this context, it should be noted that the indicative capacity for 000 sqm to 14,000 sqm employment land. (See response above). It so, as such, it is not felt necessary to amend the wording to refer to biodivery net gains on the outputs of the Level 2 SFRA for this site, the following modifications are proposed elopment requirement d):

ulverted) and a number of watercourses run along the site boundaries. There The SFRA sociated with these and that small parts of the site are at a high risk of surface and was included in the SDWLP Flood Risk Sequential and Exception Test which was 20).

a safely managed across the lifetime of the development, taking climate change into are; A site specific Flood Risk Assessment should demonstrate that the ifetime taking account of the vulnerability of its uses, without increasing flood risk will reduce flood risk overall. This should include the measures identified in the scheme to provide mitigation and opportunities to achieve a reduction in overall

Worthing is the West Sussex Waste Plan 2014 - this was reviewed in 2019 when it was evant and effective. To meet identified needs the Waste Local Plan allocates new sites facilities. The Decoy Farm site is not identified in this Plan for any extension. As such, is essary to safeguard any additional area of land within the A5 allocated area for this relop the site are well advanced (remediation works have been completed, a business pected that an outline planning application will be submitted by the end of 2021).

endments are made to provide additional clarity that that development doesn't Decoy e the operation of the household waste recycling site:

traints' as follows:

e Household Waste Recycling Sitewhich is safeguarded through the West Sussex Local ocal Plan requires the safeguarding of existing waste sites from other non-waste or prejudice their continued operation for such purposes.

ent Requirements as follows:

ential properties; protect the continued operation(and possible future reconfiguration / sehold waste recycling site;

ets out the Council's position. The Plan should be read as a whole so, as such, it is not g relating to biodiversity as this would duplicate other parts of the Plan.

nousing need, brownfield sites alone are not sufficient. The SA together with associated Risk Assessment and Landscape & Biodiversity Study) were used to robustly assess all Avenue. While it is acknowledged there are some site constraints as documented on velopment requirements provide the necessary safeguards to address and mitigate any pment.

there are some site constraints as documented on page 83, it is considered that the the necessary safeguards to address any negative impacts of development. Any future eed to comply with the requirements of Policy DM18: Biodiversity and DM19: Green

4	A6	Fulbeck Avenue	Further clarity is needed around the sequential test process, if relevant the exception test and the addition of the measures identified in the SFRA level 2 to be included as part of a site specific flood risk assessment.	SDWLP-59	Environment Agency	Yes	See SOCG. To provide clarity around the point under the site constraints list and
							• The site would be at risk from a breach. Party within an area with a high chan have previously caused flooding in the located within Flood Zone 3b. A furth the site are at a high risk of surface w Lake posed a risk to the site in event up to 1.4m and on a wet day over hal location would place additional peop
							• This site was included in the S Level 2 SFRA (2020). This concluded allocated. At the planning application account more detailed information a site specific Flood Risk Assessment.
							To more clearly highlight the outputs of criteria c) of the development requirement
							c) adopt the sequential approach so the specific Flood Risk Assessment sho be safe for it's lifetime taking accoun where possible, will reduce flood risl and a SuDS scheme to provide mitig
4	A6	Fulbeck Avenue	Sites must be designed to conserve and enhance the character of National Park and its setting and ensure consistency with the special character and importance of the protected landscape as set out in the SDNP Management Plan.	ISDWLP-103	Natural England	No	Comments noted. it is considered that t provide adequate protection against po this site.
4	A6	Fulbeck Avenue	Fulbeck Avenue - believe the extent of degradation and eventual loss of ancient woodland in the LWS would be significant, unacceptable and in direct conflict with Policy DM18, d) and e).	SDWLP-64	Sussex Ornithological Society	No	Concerns noted. It is acknowledged the development requirements provide the development proposal would also need Infrastructure.
i	Α7	Grafton	Further clarity is needed around the sequential test process, if relevant the exception test and the addition of the measures identified in the SFRA level 2 to be included as part of a site specific flood risk assessment.	SDWLP-59	Environment Agency	Yes	See SOCG. To provide clarity around the point of the site constraints list: The majority of the site is in Flood states that climate change will have a future. Therefore development in this included in the SDWLP Flood Risk S. This concluded that both parts of the application stage Part b) of the Except information about the proposed deve Risk Assessment. To more clearly highlight the outputs of criteria c) of the development requiremet c) A site specific Flood Risk Assess development will be safe for it's lifeti elsewhere, and, where possible, will Level 2 SFRA (2020) and a SuDS sch flood risk.
4	A8	HMRC Offices	Support Criterion F to ensure that future proposals coming forward in this allocation do not prevent (or negatively impact), potential development on land adjacent to west. For clarity and consistency (see commentary on Policy A10), the following re-wording is suggested: f) not prevent (or negatively impact) the potential for development on land lying adjacent to the west of the site (Policy A10	SDWLP-60	Rapleys on behalf of EM Goring LTD	No	It is not considered appropriate to amer more detailed response to Allocation A ²
4	A9	Lyndhurst Road	Land off Martlets Way including SGN and the Nib Land) - Allocation will not meet policy requirements for family homes - Site can't deliver the number of units and necessary levels of parking - The indicative number of units should be greatly reduced - Development requirements should include character of surrounding area and nearby conservation areas"	SDWLP-05	N/A	No	See separate response
	A9	Lyndhurst Road	Welcomes development - it has been a post industrial site for too long	SDWLP-10	N/A	No	Support is noted

d the exception test the following modification is proposed which amends the third bullet nd inserts an additional bullet point:

ach scenario at Somerset Lake and failure of the flood storage facility to the north. These hance of flooding from surface water and at medium risk of droundwater flooding the local area. The SFRA shows a small section of the site in the north and centre is urther northern section of the site is also located within Flood Zone 3a and parts of ce water flooding and groundwater flooding. The SFRA also found that Somerset rent of breach resulting in 38% of the site being affected on a dry day with depths half the site affected with depths up to 1.6m. Therefore development in this eople at risk of flooding.

e SDWLP Flood Risk Sequential and Exception Test which was informed by the ded that both parts of the Exception Test had been satisfied for the site to be tion stage Part b) of the Exception Test will need to be reapplied to take into n about the proposed development and the specific mitigation proposed through a ent.

s of the Level 2 SFRA for this site, the following modification is proposed to amend ements as follows:

the most vulnerable uses are located in the areas at lowest risk of floodingA site should consider all sources of flooding and demonstrate that the development will ount of the vulnerability of its uses, without increasing flood risk elsewhere, and, risk overall. This should include the measures identified in the Level 2 SFRA (2020 itigation and opportunities to achieve a reduction in overall flood risk.

at the Development Requirements as drafted (alongside other policies in the Plan) potential harm to the National Park and other environmental assets in close proximity to

there are some site constraints as documented on page 83, it is considered that the he necessary safeguards to address any negative impacts of development. Any future eed to comply with the requirements of Policy DM18: Biodiversity and DM19: Green

d the exception test the following modification is proposed which amends the first bullet

lood Zone 3. The site is therefore at a high risk of coastal flooding and the SFRA ve a significant impact on this site with Flood Zone 3 covering the whole site in the this location would place additional people at risk of flooding. This site was k Sequential and Exception Test which was informed by the Level 2 SFRA (2020). the Exception Test had been satisfied for the site to be allocated. At the planning kception Test will need to be reapplied to take into account more detailed levelopment and the specific mitigation proposed through a site specific Flood

s of the Level 2 SFRA for this site, the following modification is proposed to replace ements with thefollowing:

essment should consider all sources of flooding and demonstrate that the ifetime taking account of the vulnerability of its uses, without increasing flood risk will reduce flood risk overall. This should include the measures identified in the scheme to provide mitigation and opportunities to achieve a reduction in overall

mend the name of allocation 10 therefore there is no need to make a revision here (see n A10)

4 A9	Lyndhurst Road	- Overdevelopment of site - Lack of family housing - Contamination - Impact on conservation areas - Lack of packing provision	SDWLP-11	N/A	No	See separate response
4 A9	Lyndhurst Road	 Overdevelopment of site - number of dwellings should be reduced Lack of family housing - doesn't deliver housing mix Photo in WLP is out of date Impact on conservation areas / heritage 	SDWLP-12	N/A	No	See separate response
4 A9	Lyndhurst Road	- Lack of packing provision / impact on local road network" - Support development for family housing but do not think that the density can be achieved whilst still meeting other policy requirements. - Indicative capacity should be reduced from 150 - Site should provide better mix of housing - Site constraints should be recognised - taking CA and heritage into account	SDWLP-13	N/A	No	See separate response
4 A9	Lyndhurst Road	 Proposals should provide sufficient parking and other measures to encourage sustainable transport. Support development for family housing but do not think that the density can be achieved whilst still meeting other policy requirements. Indicative capacity should be reduced from 150 Site should provide better mix of housing Site constraints should be recognised - taking CA and heritage into account 	SDWLP-14	N/A	No	See separate response
4 A9	Lyndhurst Road	Proposals should provide sufficient parking and other measures to encourage sustainable transport. Overdevelopment of site - number of dwellings should be reduced Lack of family housing - doesn't deliver housing mix Photo in WLP is out of date Impact on conservation areas / heritage legislation conservation areas / heritage	SDWLP-22	N/A	No	See separate response
4 A9	Lyndhurst Road	- Lack of packing provision / impact on local road network - Decontaminating the site must not compromise the health and safety of local residents - Impact on local road network - Lack of parking will place pressure on the CPZ - Proposed densities will be unable to meet housing mix policy Historica densities incrementiate for the structure of the second	SDWLP-23	N/A	No	See separate response
4 A9	Lyndhurst Road	 High rise development is inappropriate for character of area. Support development of site but at lower capacity Should provide greater housing mix (inc family housing) Site constraints (e.g. heritage) should be referred to consistently and should influence capacity Impact on local road network Lack of parking will place more pressure on the CPZ High rise development is inappropriate for character of area. Contamination works should not impact on local residents 	SDWLP-25	N/A	No	See separate response
4 A9	Lyndhurst Road	 Picture in Plan needs to be updated Support development of site but at lower capacity Should provide greater housing mix (inc family housing) Site constraints (e.g. heritage) should be referred to consistently and should influence capacity Impact on local road network Lack of parking will place more pressure on the CPZ High rise development is inappropriate for character of area. Contamination works should not impact on local residents Picture in Plan needs to be updated 	SDWLP-26	N/A	Yes	See separate response
4 A9	Lyndhurst Road	 Protection Plan heads to be updated Proposed density can only be met by a flatted scheme which is contrary to Policy DM1. Indicative number of units should be reduced Site description needs to be clearer about heritage and local character constraints. There is insufficient parking proposed. Out of date photo should be replaced. 	. SDWLP-28	N/A	No	See separate response
4 A9	Lyndhurst Road	 Proposed density can only be met by a flatted scheme which is contrary to Policy DM1. Indicative number of units should be reduced Site description needs to be clearer about heritage and local character constraints. There is insufficient parking proposed. Out of date photo should be replaced." 	. SDWLP-29	N/A	No	See separate response
4 A9	Lyndhurst Road	 Proposed density can only be met by a flatted scheme which is contrary to Policy DM1. Indicative number of units should be reduced Site description needs to be clearer about heritage and local character constraints. There is insufficient parking proposed. Out of date photo should be replaced. 	. SDWLP-31	N/A	No	See separate response
4 A9	Lyndhurst Road	 Proposed density can only be met by a flatted scheme which is contrary to Policy DM1. Indicative number of units should be reduced Site description needs to be clearer about heritage and local character constraints. There is insufficient parking proposed. Out of date photo should be replaced. 	. SDWLP-32	N/A	No	See separate response
4 A9	Lyndhurst Road	 Number of units should be reduced or full impact on local roads and parking needs to be considered A9 must take into consideration local character and heritage constraints Insufficient parking Impact on the amenity of neighbouring residents. A mix of housing to meet local need must be considered as the right way forward. 	SDWLP-35	N/A	No	See separate response



4	A9	Lyndhurst Road	 Proposed density can only be met by a flatted scheme which is contrary to Policy DM1. Indicative number of units should be reduced. Site description needs to be clearer about heritage and local character constraints. There is insufficient parking proposed. Out of date photo should be replaced. 	SDWLP-36	N/A	No	See separate response
4	A9	Lyndhurst Road	 Proposed density can only be met by a flatted scheme which is contrary to Policy DM1. Indicative number of units should be reduced. Site description needs to be clearer about heritage and local character constraints. There is insufficient parking proposed. Out of date photo should be replaced. 	SDWLP-37	N/A	No	See separate response
4	A9	Lyndhurst Road	 One bed flats are not designed for families A 9 storey development is not in keeping with the area Park Road and Lyndhurst Road are narrow Parking is already at a premium, the levels of parking proposed are inadequate. 	SDWLP-38	N/A	No	See separate response
4	A9	Lyndhurst Road	 - Parking is already at a premium, the reversion parking proposed are inadequate. - Capacity should be increased and opportunities for tall buildings considered to maximise use of this brownfield site. - Reduce level of affordable housing subject to viability assessment - Amend the mix of dwelling with a greater proportion of smaller 1 and 2 bed properties. 	SDWLP-49	ECE (on behalf of St Williams)	No	It is considered that the current indicativ land in this sustainable location. Howev downwards) as the scheme progresses massing issues and the potential impact There is a significant housing need for a
							range of housing types to best meet the on most sites it is not necessarily the ca housing mix expected will be largely de figures provided for each allocation resp well served by facilities and infrastructur (which could include tall buildings) than sites come forward for development the the most appropriate development to m approach is also outlined in para 5.8 an
							The Council's approach to viability and associated Developer Contributions SP additional and significant costs associat allocations, the firm expectation is that the Council is satisfied that robust financial make the scheme unviable. As such, it to amend this text.
4	A9	Lyndhurst Road	Allocation requirements does not stipulate the need to integrate biodiversity.	SDWLP-57	Sussex Wildlife Trust	No	Paragraph 4.8 explains that proposals to Policies DM18 (Biodiversity) and DM19 read as a whole so, as such, it is not fel
4	A9	Lyndhurst Road	 Should provide greater housing mix (inc family housing) Site constraints (e.g. heritage) should be referred to consistently and should influence capacity Impact on local road network Lack of parking will place more pressure on the CPZ High rise development is inappropriate for character of area. Contamination works should not impact on local residents Picture in Plan needs to be updated 	SDWLP-74	N/A	No	See separate response
4	A9	Lyndhurst Road	 Proposals should provide sufficient parking and other measures to encourage sustainable transport. Support development of site but at lower capacity Should provide greater housing mix (inc family housing) Site constraints (e.g. heritage) should be referred to consistently and should influence capacity Impact on local road network Lack of parking will place more pressure on the CPZ High rise development is inappropriate for character of area. Contamination works should not impact on local residents Picture in Plan needs to be updated Proposals should provide sufficient parking and other measures to encourage sustainable transport. 	SDWLP-75	N/A	No	See separate response
4	A9	Lyndhurst Road	 Froposals should provide sufficient parking and other measures to encourage sustainable transport. Support development of site but at lower capacity Should provide greater housing mix (inc family housing) Site constraints (e.g. heritage) should be referred to consistently and should influence capacity Impact on local road network Lack of parking will place more pressure on the CPZ High rise development is inappropriate for character of area. Contamination works should not impact on local residents Picture in Plan needs to be updated Proposals should provide sufficient parking and other measures to encourage sustainable transport. 	SDWLP-76	N/A	No	See separate response
4	A9	Lyndhurst Road	 Proposals should provide sufficient parking and other measures to encourage sustainable transport. Support development of site but at lower capacity Should provide greater housing mix (inc family housing) Site constraints (e.g. heritage) should be referred to consistently and should influence capacity Impact on local road network Lack of parking will place more pressure on the CPZ High rise development is inappropriate for character of area. Contamination works should not impact on local residents Picture in Plan needs to be updated Proposals should provide sufficient parking and other measures to encourage sustainable transport. 	SDWLP-77	N/A	No	See separate response
	A9	Lyndhurst Road	Proposals should provide sufficient parking and other measures to encourage sustainable transport. Development will block light	SDWLP-78	N/A	No	See separate response

cative capacity figure is appropriate as it would make very efficient use of the available wever, as with all sites, it may be that the capacity figure may change (upwards or ses and the Council is better able to understand any constraints and related design and pact that this will have on the surrounding area.

for all types and sizes in the borough. As such, the Plan (as a whole) seeks to deliver a the identified needs. However, whilst the Council will encourage a mix of housing types e case that each development site will deliver housing types to meet all needs. The dependent on the location and character of the individual site and the indicative capacity respond to this. For example, town centre sites (such as Lyndhurst Rod) and other sites incure are more suited to higher density (predominantly 1 and 2 bed) flatted developments han the edge of town sites which are more likely to deliver family housing. As allocated the Council will use all relevant policies within the WLP to ensure that the site delivers o meet identified needs whilst respecting the character of the surrounding area. This and a modification is suggested to the policy DM1 criteria a) to make this clearer.

and affordable housing is clearly set out in the policy and is one that is supported by an SPD (which will be updated after WLP adoption). It is accepted that there may be ociated with the remediation of this former gas holder site. However, as with all nat the developments will fully comply with the provisions of policy DM3 unless the cial evidence (through an open book approach) exists that demonstrates that this would ch, it would be premature to make the suggested modification now and there is no need

als to develop the allocated sites will need to comply with all relevant policies in the Plan. /19 (Green Infrastructure) are particularly relevant to this request. The Plan should be t felt necessary to amend the wording.

4	A9	Lyndhurst Road	- Support development of site but at lower capacity	SDWLP-79	N/A	No	See separate response
			- Should provide greater housing mix (inc family housing)				
			- Site constraints (e.g. heritage) should be referred to consistently and should influence capacity				
			- Impact on local road network				
			 Lack of parking will place more pressure on the CPZ High rise development is inappropriate for character of area. 				
			- Contamination works should not impact on local residents				
			- Picture in Plan needs to be updated				
			- Proposals should provide sufficient parking and other measures to encourage sustainable transport.				
4	A9	Lyndhurst Road	Site promoter will seek a higher density than proposed Support development of site but at lower capacity	SDWLP-80	N/A	No	See separate response
			- Should provide greater housing mix (inc family housing)				
			 Site constraints (e.g. heritage) should be referred to consistently and should influence capacity Impact on local road network 				
			- Lack of parking will place more pressure on the CPZ				
			- High rise development is inappropriate for character of area.				
			- Contamination works should not impact on local residents				
			- Picture in Plan needs to be updated				
			- Proposals should provide sufficient parking and other measures to encourage sustainable transport.	0014/10.01			
4	A9	Lyndhurst Road	- Support development of site but at lower capacity	SDWLP-81	Kingshall Residents	No	See separate response
			 Should provide greater housing mix (inc family housing) Site constraints (e.g. heritage) should be referred to consistently and should influence capacity 		Residents		
			- Impact on local road network				
			- Lack of parking will place more pressure on the CPZ				
			- High rise development is inappropriate for character of area.				
			- Contamination works should not impact on local residents				
			- Picture in Plan needs to be updated				
4	A9	Lyndhurst Road	Proposals should provide sufficient parking and other measures to encourage sustainable transport.	SDWLP-82	L Loggott & T	No	Soo concrete reconcise
4	A9	Lyndhurst Road	- Impact on light and overlooking - Not in keeping with character	SDWLP-82	L Leggatt & T Barlow	INO	See separate response
			- Insufficient parking provision		Darlow		
			- Traffic congestion and impact on health				
			- Contaminated land				
			- Won't be able to move as will not be able to sell				
			- In a lockdown / timing is wrong				
			 Support development of site but at lower capacity Should provide greater housing mix (inc family housing) 				
			- Site constraints (e.g. heritage) should be referred to consistently and should influence capacity				
			- High rise development is inappropriate for character of area				
			- Picture in Plan needs to be updated				
4	A9	Lyndhurst Road	- Support development of site but at lower capacity	SDWLP-83	N/A	No	See separate response
			- Should provide greater housing mix (inc family housing)				
			 Site constraints (e.g. heritage) should be referred to consistently and should influence capacity Impact on local road network 				
			- Lack of parking will place more pressure on the CPZ				
			- High rise development is inappropriate for character of area.				
			- Contamination works should not impact on local residents				
			- Picture in Plan needs to be updated				
4	A9	Lundhumt Daad	Proposals should provide sufficient parking and other measures to encourage sustainable transport.	SDWLP-84	N1/A	NI-	
4	A9	Lyndhurst Road	- Support development of site but at lower capacity	SDVVLP-84	N/A	No	See separate response
			 Should provide greater housing mix (inc family housing) Site constraints (e.g. heritage) should be referred to consistently and should influence capacity 				
			- Impact on local road network				
			- Lack of parking will place more pressure on the CPZ				
			- High rise development is inappropriate for character of area.				
			- Contamination works should not impact on local residents				
			- Picture in Plan needs to be updated				
4	A9	Lyndhurst Road	 Proposals should provide sufficient parking and other measures to encourage sustainable transport. Support development of site but at lower capacity 	SDWLP-85	N/A	No	See separate response
ľ	/ 10	Lynanai St Road	- Should provide greater housing mix (inc family housing)				
			- Site constraints (e.g. heritage) should be referred to consistently and should influence capacity				
			- Impact on local road network				
			- Lack of parking will place more pressure on the CPZ				
			- High rise development is inappropriate for character of area.				
			 Contamination works should not impact on local residents Picture in Plan needs to be updated 				
			- Proposals should provide sufficient parking and other measures to encourage sustainable transport.				
4	A9	Lyndhurst Road	- Support development of site but at lower capacity	SDWLP-86	N/A	No	See separate response
		,	- Should provide greater housing mix (inc family housing)				
			- Site constraints (e.g. heritage) should be referred to consistently and should influence capacity				
			- Impact on local road network				
			- Lack of parking will place more pressure on the CPZ				
			- High rise development is inappropriate for character of area.				
			 Contamination works should not impact on local residents Picture in Plan needs to be updated 				
			 Proposals should provide sufficient parking and other measures to encourage sustainable transport. 				
L	I	1		I	1	I	

See separate response
See separate response
See separate response
See separate response
See separate response
See separate response
See separate response
See separate response
See separate response

4	A9	Lyndhurst Road	 Support development of site but at lower capacity Should provide greater housing mix (inc family housing) Site constraints (e.g. heritage) should be referred to consistently and should influence capacity 	SDWLP-87	N/A	No	See separate response
			 Impact on local road network Lack of parking will place more pressure on the CPZ 				
			- High rise development is inappropriate for character of area.				
			- Contamination works should not impact on local residents				
			 Picture in Plan needs to be updated Proposals should provide sufficient parking and other measures to encourage sustainable transport. 				
	A9	Lyndhurst Road	- Support development of site but at lower capacity	SDWLP-88	N/A	No	See separate response
			 Should provide greater housing mix (inc family housing) Site constraints (e.g. heritage) should be referred to consistently and should influence capacity 				
			- Impact on local road network				
			 Lack of parking will place more pressure on the CPZ High rise development is inappropriate for character of area. 				
			- Contamination works should not impact on local residents				
			- Picture in Plan needs to be updated				
	A9	Lyndhurst Road	 Proposals should provide sufficient parking and other measures to encourage sustainable transport. Support development of site but at lower capacity 	SDWLP-89	N/A	No	See separate response
	-	,	- Should provide greater housing mix (inc family housing)				
			 Site constraints (e.g. heritage) should be referred to consistently and should influence capacity Impact on local road network 				
			- Lack of parking will place more pressure on the CPZ				
			- High rise development is inappropriate for character of area.				
			 Contamination works should not impact on local residents Picture in Plan needs to be updated 				
			- Proposals should provide sufficient parking and other measures to encourage sustainable transport.				
	A9	Lyndhurst Road	 Support development of site but at lower capacity Should provide greater housing mix (inc family housing) 	SDWLP-90	N/A	No	See separate response
			- Site constraints (e.g. heritage) should be referred to consistently and should influence capacity				
			- Impact on local road network - Lack of parking will place more pressure on the CPZ				
			- Lack of parking will place more pressure on the CP2 - High rise development is inappropriate for character of area.				
			- Contamination works should not impact on local residents				
			 Picture in Plan needs to be updated Proposals should provide sufficient parking and other measures to encourage sustainable transport. 				
Ļ	A9	Lyndhurst Road	- Support development of site but at lower capacity	SDWLP-91	N/A	No	See separate response
			 Should provide greater housing mix (inc family housing) Site constraints (e.g. heritage) should be referred to consistently and should influence capacity 				
			- Site constraints (e.g. nemage) should be referred to consistently and should initiative capacity				
			- Lack of parking will place more pressure on the CPZ				
			 High rise development is inappropriate for character of area. Contamination works should not impact on local residents 				
			- Picture in Plan needs to be updated				
			 Proposals should provide sufficient parking and other measures to encourage sustainable transport Development needs to be sensitive to the current buildings and overall character 				
	A9	Lyndhurst Road	- Support development of site but at lower capacity	SDWLP-92	N/A	No	See separate response
			 Should provide greater housing mix (inc family housing) Site constraints (e.g. heritage) should be referred to consistently and should influence capacity 				
			- Site constraints (e.g. nemage) should be referred to consistently and should initiative capacity				
			- Lack of parking will place more pressure on the CPZ				
			 High rise development is inappropriate for character of area. Contamination works should not impact on local residents 				
			- Picture in Plan needs to be updated				
1	A9	Lyndhurst Road	 Proposals should provide sufficient parking and other measures to encourage sustainable transport. Support development of site but at lower capacity 	SDWLP-93	N/A	No	See separate response
	A9	Lynunuist Roau	- Should provide greater housing mix (inc family housing)	3DWLF-93	N/A	INO	See separate response
			- Site constraints (e.g. heritage) should be referred to consistently and should influence capacity				
			 Impact on local road network Lack of parking will place more pressure on the CPZ 				
			- High rise development is inappropriate for character of area.				
			 Contamination works should not impact on local residents Picture in Plan needs to be updated 				
			- Proposals should provide sufficient parking and other measures to encourage sustainable transport.				
ļ	A9	Lyndhurst Road	- Support development of site but at lower capacity	SDWLP-94	N/A	No	See separate response
			 Should provide greater housing mix (inc family housing) Site constraints (e.g. heritage) should be referred to consistently and should influence capacity 				
			- Impact on local road network				
			 Lack of parking will place more pressure on the CPZ High rise development is inappropriate for character of area. 				
			- Contamination works should not impact on local residents				
			- Picture in Plan needs to be updated				
	A9	Lyndhurst Road	 Proposals should provide sufficient parking and other measures to encourage sustainable transport. Support development of site but at lower capacity 	SDWLP-95	N/A	No	See separate response
	-	,	- Should provide greater housing mix (inc family housing)				
			 Site constraints (e.g. heritage) should be referred to consistently and should influence capacity Impact on local road network 				
			- Impact on local road network - Lack of parking will place more pressure on the CPZ				
			- High rise development is inappropriate for character of area.				
			 Contamination works should not impact on local residents Picture in Plan needs to be updated 				
			- Proposals should provide sufficient parking and other measures to encourage sustainable transport.				



4 A9 4 A9		-yndhurst Road -yndhurst Road	Support development of site but at lower capacity - Should provide greater housing mix (inc family housing) - Site constraints (e.g. heritage) should be referred to consistently and should influence capacity - Impact on local road network - Lack of parking will place more pressure on the CPZ - High rise development is inappropriate for character of area Contamination works should not impact on local residents - Picture in Plan needs to be updated - Proposals should provide sufficient parking and other measures to encourage sustainable transport.	SDWLP-96	N/A	No	See separate response
4 A9	Ĺ	yndhurst Road	- Contamination works should not impact on local residents - Picture in Plan needs to be updated			1	
4 A9	L	.yndhurst Road	- Froposals should provide sufficient parking and other measures to encourage sustainable transport.				
			 Support development of site but at lower capacity Should provide greater housing mix (inc family housing) Site constraints (e.g. heritage) should be referred to consistently and should influence capacity Impact on local road network Lack of parking will place more pressure on the CPZ High rise development is inappropriate for character of area. Contamination works should not impact on local residents Picture in Plan needs to be updated Proposals should provide sufficient parking and other measures to encourage sustainable transport. 	SDWLP-97	N/A	No	See separate response
4 A9	L	yndhurst Road	Concerned about the impact on health and safety due to former development use (chemicals). Potentially significant levels of contamination. Inspector should examine the suitability of the site. Question whether an alternative use for this site should be considered.	SDWLP-102	Worthing Society	No	See separate response. Alternative uses have previously been p use residential / commercial / retail deve economy it is no longer appropriate to pl very significant levels of housing need, t appropriate use for this site (particularly
4 A10	0 N	Martlets Way	General principles are supported - the name of the site in Policy A10 should include reference to both SGN's land – i.e., the former gasholder site and the 'Nib land - the policy should confirm that SGN's land should not be accessed over the HMRC and "Nib" sites - there should be a caveat that each proposal's site-specific circumstances (for example any physical constraints, feasibility, and viability) shall be considered where necessary.		Rapleys On behalf of EM Goring Ltd	No	A key aim of this allocation is that develo development potential of neighbouring a as an extension to the Goring Business is still appropriate and these is no need i comprehensive development of this site As explained in the response below, the a) clearly explains that employment deve not considered necessary to provide any When the Council considers proposals fo opportunity in question will be taken into allocation.
4 A10	0 N	Martlets Way	Martlets Way Council should opt to define a fixed housing target for draft Allocation A10, in combination with employment use as part of a mixed-use development. Believe the Council could do more to recalibrate the balance between housing and employment provision or the site, emphasising and delivering mixed-use development on the site. It is not an appropriate or justified approach to deliver solely a defined employment land target for site. Council has not chosen to optimise the potential density of the site.Not taken the appropriate opportunity to promote sustainable transport modes.	r	Carter Jonas (On behalf of Southern Gas Networks)	No	To help meet identified employment nee the existing employment designation. The are located directly to the south and eas this land in mind it is noted that the costs significantly lower for employment uses remains that development of any parcel neighbouring areas. Given the lack of opportunities to deliver to these uses deliver new and enhanced appropriate balance between housing ar The representation argues that there is a should be a recalibration that would deliv Using the Employment Land Review's pr sqm. However, in this regard, it should the Farm. As reported under A5 above, furt related development potential of Decoy I employment land. This therefore means growth scenario. The Council considers introduction of Use Class E and the exter revise the current proposed land uses for
4 A11		Stagecoach, Marine Parade	Capacity should be increased to a minimum of 95 units and commercial floorspace	SDWLP-50	Montagu Evans LLP (On behalf of Stagecoach South Ltd)	No	The site description, site constraints and the potential impact on heritage assets (dwellings (and 2,000 sqm) of commercia indicative capacity figures should usuall favourably at a scheme that delivers a h

n proposed for this site - for example, the Core Strategy identified this site for a mixed evelopment. However, given the changing dynamics of retail and the town centre or plan for a more extensive town centre or enlarge retail offer. As such, and given the d, the Council is now of the view that residential development represents the most rrly with viability considerations in mind).

velopment of any parcel of land within this area does not prevent or prejudice the ig areas. With the main focus of this allocation being the delivery of employment land ess Park (with main access from the west) it is considered that the name of the allocation ed to amend it. The role that that 'nib' land could play in helping to bring forward the site is explained in para 4.32.

the Council is of the view that the SGN site should deliver employment uses. Criterion levelopment should be accessed from the west. As such, with regards to access, it is any reference to the 'nib' or the HMRC site.

Is for any site allocation the physical constraints, feasibility, and viability of the into account. There is no justification for including specific reference to this for this

needs it has been a long held objective to deliver new employment uses that will extend . The most logical areas to extend into, using existing transport links (from the west), east of the existing site. This includes the former gas holder site. With the future use of posts involved in remediating contamination of this former gas holder are likely to be es compared to the cost of the works required to allow for residential use. A key aim cel of land within this area does not prevent or prejudice the development potential of

ver new or extended employment provision it is vital that the sites that are most suited ced employment provision. This in turn will help to ensure that the Plan provides the g and employment growth.

is an overprovision of employment land and that, given the level of housing need, there deliver a mixed use development that better balanced employment and housing need. s preferred job growth scenario the SDWLP does indicate an overprovision of 5,230 lld be noted that this included an allowance for 18,000sqm to be delivered at Decoy further more detailed work has been undertaken to understand the site constraints and oy Farm and as a consequence the indicative capacity has been reduced to 14,000 sqm ans that there is now only a slight overprovision of employment land when using the job ers it important to retain some flexibility given a number of uncertainties including the extension of permitted Development Rights. As such, it is not considered appropriate to s for this allocation.

and development requirements all highlight the sensitive nature of this site in terms if ts (Conservations Areas and List Buildings), As such, an indicative capacity of 60 rcial space is considered to be appropriate for this site. However, as with all sites, the ially be considered as a minimum (see para 4.8). As such, the Council is likely to look a high level of development if it can be shown that it would protect and enhance or relevant policies.

4	A11	Stagecoach, Marine Parade	Further clarity is needed around the sequential test process, if relevant the exception test and the addition of the measures identified in the SFRA level 2 to be included as part of a site specific flood risk assessment.	SDWLP-59	Environment Agency	Yes	See SOCG. To provide clarity around t point of the site constraints list: is therefore at a high risk of coastal Part of the site is with Flood Zone 2 on this site with Flood Zone 3 cover
							place additional people at risk of flo Exception Test which was informed Test had been satisfied for the site t will need to be reapplied to take into specific mitigation proposed throug
							To more clearly highlight the outputs of criteria c) of the development requirem
							c) A site specific Flood Risk Assess development will be safe for it's lifet elsewhere, and, where possible, will Level 2 SFRA (2020) and a SuDS sch flood risk.
4	A12	Teville Gate	 Further clarity is needed around the sequential test process, if relevant the exception test and the addition of the measures identified in the SFRA level 2 to be included as part of a site specific flood risk assessment. Any development around the station area should take into account the culverted watercourse that runs through the site and has historically resulted in flooding. The course and capacity of this should be taken into account. Opportunities where appropriate to de- 	SDWLP-59	Environment Agency	Yes	See SOCG. To clearly signpost the exc which replaces the second bullet point • The SFRA shows 1/3 of the sit
			culvert and create a biodiversity net gain should be sought.				SDWLP Flood Risk Sequential and E
							criteria c) as follows: c) A site specific Flood Risk Assessing taking account of the vulnerability of reduce flood risk overall. This should scheme to provide mitigation and op
							To ensure the opportunity is identified a proposed as an additional developmen
							g) Any development around the stati the site and has historically resulted Opportunities where appropriate to o
4	A13	Titnore Lane	Do not support due to proximity to ancient woodland, impact on landscape character and impact on Local Wildlife Site	SDWLP-18	Transition Town Worthing	No	Objection noted. Given the level of hou evidence studies (including a Landscap While it is acknowledged there are som the development requirements provide on the environment. In particular, it sh lower than for other sites - this reflects buffers to Ancient Woodland / SDNP ar
4	A13	Titnore Lane	 Support inclusion of allocation and consider that the site allocation requirements can be complied with Local Plan has an indicative capacity of 60 (this falls below the minimum density of 35dph). This should be stated as a minimum and i it is demonstrated that a greater number of units could be developed in an acceptable manner this should be considered appropriate. We expect development on this site to commence within a quicker time frame. Suggest Expected Delivery is changed to 0-5 years. Criteria should be renumbered to correct typo 	SDWLP-33	Tetra Tech Planning (on behalt of Clem Somerset)	Yes (in part)	It is acknowledged that the proposed de- lower than the proposed development of cautious approach for this site and the need to provide significant and appropri- considered appropriate to retain the exi- higher level of housing delivery may be development requirements for this sensi- welcome proposals that could increase
							The Council note the request to bring the environmental work required to bring the However, providing all development reaction for development in advance of this. The Land Supply Assumptions.
							The typo is acknowledged and the crite to j) rather than from a) to i) as currently
4	A13	Titnore Lane	SWT object to the allocation of this greenfield site. The allocation and development of this site would inevitably result in deterioration of the ancient woodland contrary to national policy.	SDWLP-57	Sussex Wildlife Trust	No	Objection noted. Given the level of hou evidence studies (including a Landscap While it is acknowledged there are som the development requirements provide on the environment. In particular, it sh lower than for other sites - this reflects buffers to Ancient Woodland / SDNP ar 15m to the Ancient Woodland (which is
4	A13	Titnore Lane	Concerns re proximity to Ancient Woodland and recommend a 50m buffer	SDWLP-58	Woodland Trust	No	Development proposals will have to der development requirements established Government's advised 15m buffer set of

nd the exception test the following modification is proposed which amends the first bullet

one 3. Parts of the site lie within Flood Zone 3 the site tal flooding and the SFRA states that climate change will have a significant impact early parts lie in Flood flooding. This site was included in the SDWLP Flood Risk Sequential and ed by the Level 2 SFRA (2020). This concluded that both parts of the Exception is to be allocated. At the planning application stage Part b) of the Exception Test nto account more detailed information about the proposed development and the ugh a site specific Flood Risk Assessment.

s of the Level 2 SFRA for this site, the following modification is proposed to replace ements with the following:

ssment should consider all sources of flooding and demonstrate that the fetime taking account of the vulnerability of its uses, without increasing flood risk vill reduce flood risk overall. This should include the measures identified in the scheme to provide mitigation and opportunities to achieve a reduction in overall

exception test work that has been undertaken the following modification is proposed int under the site constraints list:

site is at a high risk of surface water flooding. This site was included in the d Exception Test which was informed by the Level 2 SFRA (2020).

s of the Level 2 SFRA for this site, the following modification is proposed to replace

ssment should demonstrate that the development will be safe for it's lifetime y of its uses, without increasing flood risk elsewhere, and, where possible, will buld include the measures identified in the Level 2 SFRA (2020) and a SuDS opportunities to achieve a reduction in overall flood risk and protect water quality

ed and considered to de-culvert any onsite watercourses the following modification is nent requirement:

tation area should take into account the culverted watercourse that runs through ted in flooding. The course and capacity of this should be taken into account. to de-culvert and create a biodiversity net gain should be sought.

nousing need, brownfield sites alone are not sufficient. The SA together with associated cape & Ecology Study) were used to assess all potential sources including this site. some site constraints and sensitivities (as documented on page 97), it is considered that ide the necessary safeguards to address any potential negative impacts of development t should be noted the proposed density of development in this location is significantly cts the sensitive of the surrounding areas and the need to provide appropriate ² and Local Wildlife Site.

d density of development in this location is significantly lower than for other sites and is int densities set out in policy DM2. However, it is considered appropriate to take a more he suggested capacity reflects the sensitive nature of the surrounding areas and the opriate buffers to Ancient Woodland / SDNP and Local Wildlife Site. However, whilst it is existing capacity figure it is also acknowledged that as plans for this site are advanced a v be deemed to be acceptable but this will be dependent on fully and robustly meeting the iensitive site. Given the high levels of housing need in the borough the Council will ase supply but that should not be at the expense of environment assets.

g the expected delivery timescale forward (from 6-10 years to 0-5 years). Given the g this site forward the Council still considers the 6-10 year timescale to be appropriate. requirements can be met there is no reason that would prevent the site coming forward This will be kept under review within the Annual Monitoring Report and related Housing

riteria set out in the development requirements will be corrected so that they run from a) ently shown.

nousing need, brownfield sites alone are not sufficient. The SA together with associated cape & Ecology Study) were used to assess all potential sources including this site. some site constraints and sensitivities (as documented on page 97), it is considered that ide the necessary safeguards to address any potential negative impacts of development t should be noted the proposed density of development in this location is significantly cts the sensitive nature of the surrounding areas and the need to provide appropriate ² and Local Wildlife Site. As a minimum, the development will need to provide a buffer of h is in line with the Government's Standing Advice).

demonstrate that they will not have a negative impact on Ancient Woodland. The ned in allocation A13 requires a 20m buffer to Ancient Woodland which exceeds the et out in their standing advice.

1	A13	Titnore Lane	Further clarity is needed around the sequential test process, if relevant the exception test and the addition of the measures identified in the SFRA level 2 to be included as part of a site specific flood risk assessment.	SDWLP-59	Environment Agency	Yes	See SOCG. To ensure any flood risk is h):
							h) Adopt the sequential approach to sit flood risk first, taking account of all sou Flood Zone 3 the Exception Test mu development will be safe for it's lifet elsewhere, and, where possible, will
1	A13	Titnore Lane	Suggested that there is reference to the importance of Titnore Lane itself as a strategic connection to the wider network.	SDWLP-61	On behalf of WSCC	Yes	Paragraph 4.37 - add sentence (after 1
4	A13	Titnore Lane	Not sound. Object. Significant pressure on Local Wildlife Site. Concerned that buffer is not adequate. Not satisfied that the proposed landscape buffer will adequately protect the 'setting' of the national park. High probability of flooding. More work has to be done on a total catchment basis to validate the drainage sustainability of the Titnore site. Remove allocation.	SDWLP-63	CPRE	No	and the A27. The site is The Development Requirements b), c) Ancient Woodland, that a 20m buffer is habitats associated with the Local Wild Park by enhancing visual screening.
							Very small areas of the site (less than a development is not located in these are (See response to SDWLP-59) to streng requirements for the Exception Test.
4	A13	Titnore Lane	Titnore Lane - object. Such degradation would be contrary to policy DM18, para d) and e). Delete allocation. Note that no compensator mitigation strategy is proposed.	SDWLP-64	Sussex Ornithological Society	No	Objection noted. Given the level of hou evidence studies (including a Landscar While it is acknowledged there are som the development requirements provide on the environment. In particular, it sh lower than for other sites - this reflects buffers to Ancient Woodland / SDNP ar
4	A13	Titnore Lane	Titnore Lane Comments at Reg 18 are reiterated Support the Development Requirements, in particular points b) and e). Suggest that Point a) reference is also made to the document 'Roads in the South Downs'; in point e) additional wording is used to emphasise that any new green corridors "are of sufficient nature and scale to be effective routes for wildlife". Future proposals for the site should use a landscape led approach with reference to the updated South Downs Landscape Character Assessment (LCA) 2020 (Appendix B)	SDWLP-73	South Downs National Park Authority	Yes (in part)	Reference to the South Downs Landsc Built Up Area Boundary) and any other state that development within the settir impacts on the designated landscape. wording changes in the NPPF.
							Whilst the site promoter will be encoura refer specifically to a document titled 'F However, the policy and supporting tex take this environmentally sensitive area
							Amend criterion e) as follows: 'ga scale to be effective routes for wildli
4	A13	Titnore Lane	This site should be left as countryside. Landscape consultant has indicated that a less intensive land use may be appropriate. In our view this leaves the door open for what in our view would constitute inappropriate development.	SDWLP-102	Worthing Society	Νο	Objection noted. Given the level of hou evidence studies (including a Landscap While it is acknowledged there are som the development requirements provide on the environment. In particular, it sh lower than for other sites - this reflects buffers to Ancient Woodland / SDNP ar
4	A13	Titnore Lane	Sites must be designed to conserve and enhance the character of National Park and its setting and ensure consistency with the specia character and importance of the protected landscape as set out in the SDNP Management Plan. Development at this site will need to consider any impacts on the nearby ancient woodland and ancient/veteran trees.	SDWLP-103	Natural England	No	Objection noted. Given the level of hou evidence studies (including a Landscar While it is acknowledged there are som the development requirements provide on the environment. In particular, it she lower than for other sites - this reflects buffers to Ancient Woodland / SDNP are development within the setting of the N the designated landscape. This chang changes in the NPPF. This, along with adequate and robust guidance / protect
4	A15	Upper Brighton Road	Access via Lyons Farm is impractical as often gridlocked. This and Beeches Avenue should only be considered if a bypass for Worthing is achieved.	SDWLP-06	N/A	No	Objection noted. Evidence demonstrate mitigated. There are currently no realis noted that Highways England have bee improvements to the A27. This will hel (RIS2): 2020 to 2025, to improve the ca Highways England aim to identify optio 2022.
4	A15	Upper Brighton Road	Do not support due to proximity to National Park, loss of greenfield/agricultural land, reduction in gap between Worthing and Sompting and impact on Conservation Area.	SDWLP-18	Transition Town Worthing	No	Objection is noted. Given the level of h associated evidence studies (including the SDNP) were used to robustly asset accepted that this is a greenfield site w considered that the development requi negative impacts of development.
4	A15	Upper Brighton Road	We do not support the allocation of this strategically placed Local Green Gap. The allocation will narrow the existing undeveloped gap and we suggest that it would be more beneficial for the site to progressing as part of the Local Green Gap and to resist its development	SDWLP-57	Sussex Wildlife Trust	No	Objection is noted. The land in questic that this is a greenfield site with some of sites alone are not sufficient. The SA to were used to assess all potential sourc considered that the development requi negative impacts of development.

k is properly assessed the following modification is proposed to development requiremen

site layout so the most vulnerable development types are located in the areas of lowest sources. Should any development classified as a more vulnerable use be located in must be applied. A Flood Risk Assessment should demonstrate that the ifetime taking account of the vulnerability of its uses, without increasing flood risk will reduce flood risk overall;

er 1st sentence) as follows:

e itself is a strategic connection to the wider network of the A280 (via Clapham)

c) and d) for site A13 Titnore Lane seek to ensure there is no loss or deterioration of or is provided in line with the Planning Practice Guidance and ensure the integrity of Vildlife Site. Development requirement f) seeks to conserve the setting of the National

an 5%) are affected by flooding. Development requirement h) aims to ensure areas through the sequential approach. In addition a modification has been proposed engthen this requirement, ensure any flood risk is properly assessed and clarify

nousing need, brownfield sites alone are not sufficient. The SA together with associated cape & Ecology Study) were used to assess all potential sources including this site. some site constraints and sensitivities (as documented on page 97), it is considered that ide the necessary safeguards to address any potential negative impacts of development to should be noted the proposed density of development in this location is significantly ts the sensitive of the surrounding areas and the need to provide appropriate P and Local Wildlife Site.

dscape Character Assessment will be referred to in Topic Paper 2 (Land Outside of the her relevant guidance. Furthermore, an amendment is proposed to WLP policy SS4 to etting of the National Park should be sensitively located and designed to avoid adverse be. This change will provide additional clarity and bring the Plan in line with the proposed

buraged to refer and respond to all relevant guidance it would be somewhat misleading to d 'Roads within the South Downs' when this site is not located within the National Park. text clearly acknowledge and highlight the close proximity of the SDNP and the need to area into account.

.gain in biodiversity. **Any new green corridors should be of sufficient nature and Idlife.** Any new trees removed

nousing need, brownfield sites alone are not sufficient. The SA together with associated cape & Ecology Study) were used to assess all potential sources including this site. some site constraints and sensitivities (as documented on page 97), it is considered that ide the necessary safeguards to address any potential negative impacts of development t should be noted the proposed density of development in this location is significantly cts the sensitive anture of the surrounding areas and the need to provide appropriate P and Local Wildlife Site.

nousing need, brownfield sites alone are not sufficient. The SA together with associated cape & Ecology Study) were used to assess all potential sources including this site. some site constraints and sensitivities (as documented on page 97), it is considered that ide the necessary safeguards to address any potential negative impacts of development should be noted the proposed density of development in this location is significantly cts the sensitive nature of the surrounding areas and the need to provide appropriate P and Local Wildlife Site. An amendment is proposed to WLP policy SS4 to state that e National Park should be sensitively located and designed to avoid adverse impacts on ange will provide additional clarity and bring the Plan in line with the proposed wording vith the Development Requirements set out in policy A13, are considered to provide tection.

rates that the previously identified constraints relating to access can be overcome / alistic or deliverable for any by-pass for Worthing. However, in this regard, it should be been working closely with key stakeholders to identify a package of potential help to meet the revised objectives in the government's Road Investment Strategy 2 e capacity and flow of traffic on the A27 from Worthing to Lancing. In the coming months betons for an improvement scheme, before holding a public consultation in spring/summer

of housing need, brownfield sites alone are not sufficient. The SA together with ing a Landscape & Biodiversity Study which assessed potential impacts on the setting of sess all potential sources including land at Upper Brighton Road. However, it is e with some constraints as documented on page 101. Supported by robust evidence it is quirements provide the necessary safeguards to address and mitigate any potential

stion does not currently fall with a designated Local Green Gap. However, it is accepted ne constraints as documented on page 101. Given the level of housing need, brownfield A together with associated evidence studies (including a Landscape & Biodiversity Study) urces including land at Upper Brighton Road. Supported by robust evidence it is quirements provide the necessary safeguards to address and mitigate any potential

4	A15	Upper Brighton Road	Sites must be designed to conserve and enhance the character of National Park and its setting and ensure consistency with the specia character and importance of the protected landscape as set out in the SDNP Management Plan.	ISDWLP-103	Natural England	No	Objection is noted. Given the level of h associated evidence studies (including the SDNP) were used to robustly asses accepted that this is a greenfield site w considered that the development requir negative impacts of development.
4	A15	Upper Brighton Road	Not sound. Development would mean that the important gap between the existing Worthing BUAB and the village of Sompting will virtually cease to exist. This we believe is a failure in terms of Policy SS1. Do not feel that the cumulative traffic impacts of this allocation have been fully addressed. Remove allocation.	SDWLP-63	CPRE	No	Objection is noted. Given the level of h associated evidence studies (including all potential sources including land at L constraints as documented on page 10 provide the necessary safeguards to ac sufficient buffer between the developm
4	A15	Upper Brighton Road	Upper Brighton Road - we believe that all developments in Local Green Gaps should be resisted, and we do not see anything about this particular allocation suggesting that any exceptional circumstances exist to alter our view that it should be deleted.	SDWLP-64	Sussex Ornithological Society	No	Objection is noted. The land in questic that this is a greenfield site with some of sites alone are not sufficient. The SA to were used to assess all potential source considered that the development requi negative impacts of development.
4	A15	Upper Brighton Road	Upper Brighton Road - support. There are no impediments to the site's delivery: it is immediately available for development and capable of implementation within the next 12 months.	SDWLP-65	Persimmon Homes	No	Support is noted
4	A15	Upper Brighton Road	Upper Brighton Road See comments made at Reg 18. Supports, in particular, the Development Requirements point b) and d). Future proposals for the site should use a landscape led approach with reference to the updated South Downs Landscape Character Assessment (LCA) 2020 (Appendix A)	SDWLP-73	South Downs National Park Authority	No	Reference to the South Downs Landsc Built Up Area Boundary) and any other state that development within the settir impacts on the designated landscape. wording changes in the NPPF. This, a provide adequate and robust guidance
5		All DM Policies	Fully agree with your policies	SDWLP-01	N/A	No	Support is noted
5	DM1	Housing mix		SDWLP-49	ECE (on behalf of St Williams)	Yes (in part)	There is a significant housing need for range of housing types to best meet the on most sites it is not necessarily the ca housing mix expected will be largely de figures provided for each allocation res and infrastructure are more suited to hi town sites which are more likely to delin use all relevant policies within the WLP needs whilst respecting the character of modification is suggested below to the a) In order to deliver sustainable. mixed
5	DM1	Housing mix	- Needs to acknowledge the preferred housing mix may not always be appropriate especially town centre or brownfield sites	SDWLP-54	WSP (on behalf of	Ves (in part)	to consider the most up to date evidence based on the character and location There is a significant housing need for
	JWIT		- M4(2) requirement is not justified	UUVVLT -J4	NewRiver)		range of housing types to best meet the on most sites it is not necessarily the ca housing mix expected will be largely de figures provided for each allocation res and infrastructure are more suited to hi deliver family housing. As allocated sit WLP to ensure that the site delivers the character of the surrounding area. This the policy criteria a) to make this clearer a) In order to deliver sustainable. mixed to consider the most up to date evidence mix based on the character and loca
							The Council consider that the M4(2) re- of the current national direction of trave September 2020 which included option response of this consultation has not ye
5	DM1	Housing mix	Live/work units should not be required to comply with the residential space standard requirements and affordable housing requirements.	SDWLP-56	WSP (on behalf of St Clair Developments)	No	The Council can see no justification in thousing for the operation of developme why a different approach should be tak requirements and related financial cont emerging Local Plan proposals are con meet the criteria of the NPPF and be conducted units are not a particularly prevalent for it is not realistic to test every potential of developments (in scale and type) and the development to a degree that would may the WPVA builds in an appropriate buff viability and are able to support develop

of housing need, brownfield sites alone are not sufficient. The SA together with ng a Landscape & Biodiversity Study which assessed potential impacts on the setting of sess all potential sources including land at Upper Brighton Road. However, it is a with some constraints as documented on page 101. Supported by robust evidence it is quirements provide the necessary safeguards to address and mitigate any potential

of housing need, brownfield sites alone are not sufficient. The SA together with ng a Landscape & Biodiversity Study and Transport Study) were used to robustly assess it Upper Brighton Road. However, it is accepted that this is a greenfield site with some 101. Supported by robust evidence it is considered that the development requirements o address and mitigate any potential negative impacts of development - this includes a coment and Sompting to the East.

stion does not currently fall with a designated Local Green Gap. However, it is accepted the constraints as documented on page 101. Given the level of housing need, brownfield A together with associated evidence studies (including a Landscape & Biodiversity Study) urces including land at Upper Brighton Road. Supported by robust evidence it is quirements provide the necessary safeguards to address and mitigate any potential

Iscape Character Assessment will be referred to in Topic Paper 2 (Land Outside of the ner relevant guidance. Furthermore, an amendment is proposed to WLP policy SS4 to tting of the National Park should be sensitively located and designed to avoid adverse e. This change will provide additional clarity and bring the Plan in line with the proposed , along with the Development Requirements set out in policy A1, are considered to ice / protection.

for all types and sizes in the borough. As such, the Plan (as a whole) seeks to deliver a the identified needs. However, whilst the Council will encourage a mix of housing types a case that each development site will deliver housing types to meet all needs. The dependent on the location and character of the individual site and the indicative capacity respond to this. For example, town centre sites and other sites well served by facilities o higher density flatted developments (which could include tall buildings) than the edge of leliver family housing. As allocated sites come forward for development the Council will /LP to ensure that the site delivers the most appropriate development to meet identified er of the surrounding area. This approach is also outlined in para 5.8. However a he policy criteria a) to make this clearer.

xed and balanced communities, the Council will expect all applications for new housing ence of housing needs and demandsto determine the most appropriate housing mix on of the individual site.

for all types and sizes in the borough. As such, the Plan (as a whole) seeks to deliver a the identified needs. However, whilst the Council will encourage a mix of housing types a case that each development site will deliver housing types to meet all needs. The dependent on the location and character of the individual site and the indicative capacity respond to this. For example, town centre sites and other sites well served by facilities o higher density flatted developments than the edge of town sites which are more likely to sites come forward for development to meet identified needs whilst respecting the his approach is also outlined in para 5.8. However a modification is suggested below to arer.

xed and balanced communities, the Council will expect all applications for new housing ence of housing needs and demands to help determine the most appropriate housing ocation of the individual site.

requirement is justified based on the growing older population in Worthing and reflective avel. Government consulted on raising accessibility standards of new homes in ions to make M4(2) mandatory or a minimum standard apart from by exception. The t yet been published.

in not treating the living areas of live / work units in the same way as other forms of ment policies. Furthermore, no robust evidence has been put forward to demonstrate taken. The Whole Plan Viability Assessment (WPVA) has assessed the policy ontributions set out in the WLP - this has concluded that, viewed as a whole, the considered to have reasonable prospects of viability and should therefore be able to e consistent with the national guidance within the PPG in viability terms. As live / work form of development in Worthing these were not specifically tested within the WPVA as al development scenario. However, the WPVA did assess a wide range of housing id this concluded that, in general, the policy requirements would not impact on make developments unviable (that otherwise would have been viable). Furthermore, putfier / margin so that the requirements set out in the WLP are not set at the margins of elopment when economic circumstances adjust.

5	DM1	Housing mix	 - it should be confirmed that individual site-specific circumstances and viability shall also be considerations - There is currently ambiguity between Criterion C) and supporting policy paragraphs 5.12 and 5.13 in terms of accessible housing, and more specifically what minimum building regulation standards 	SDWLP-60	Rapleys On behalf of EM Goring Ltd	Yes (in part)	A modification is suggested to clarify po a) In order to deliver sustainable, mixed to consider the most up to date evidence mix based on the character and loca
							It is considered that criteria c) is clear in standard M4(2) for accessibility. The C population in Worthing and reflective of standards of new homes in September from by exception. The response of this
5	DM1	Housing mix	Housing Mix - evidence is inaccurate as doesn't consider any impact on mix as a result of housing shortfall - Local Plan focuses on urban sites. It is unclear how it can be effective at meeting the need and demand for family housing.	SDWLP-66	Pegasus Group (On behalf of Persimmon Homes)	No	Given the levels of housing need and th housing types. As explained within the the particular site whilst taking the preva of Policy DM1. As with all housing types, the full needs should form a key component of edge of supply. Given that Worthing is a predominantly area. However, all development sites in test and this process is clearly set out in
5	DM1	Housing mix	Understand the difficulties in reconciling nationally set housing targets with what can actually be provided and appreciate the stance taken in the plan, but have some concerns about the housing mix.	SDWLP-13	N/A	No	Given the levels of housing need and the housing types. As explained within the the particular site whilst taking the prevent of Policy DM1.
5	DM2	Density	Understand the difficulties in reconciling nationally set housing targets with what can actually be provided and appreciate the stance taken in the plan, but have some concerns about the housing density proposed.	SDWLP-13	N/A	No	Whilst there is a clear aim to make the r appropriate checks and balances to ens help to protect the character of surround modification to criterion a) of Policy DM
5	DM2	Density	Minimum densities will encourage loss of urban green space and is contrary to policies to increase biodiversity and encourage local food production.	SDWLP-18	Transition Town Worthing	No	The NPPF requires plans to contain pol where there is good public transport. It i there are strong reasons otherwise. Wh and valued urban green spaces.
5	DM2	Density	Support the fact that Policy makes clear that the capacity of any site must be based on a design led approach which consider the site context and character.	SDWLP-25	N/A	No	Support is noted
5	DM2	Density	Support the fact that Policy makes clear that the capacity of any site must be based on a design led approach which consider the site context and character.	SDWLP-26	N/A	No	Support is noted
5	DM2	Density	NPPF requires the Council to have evidence that the optional standard such as the Nationally Described Space Standards are needed. There does not appear to be any evidence to support adoption of these standards.	SDWLP-45	House Builders Federation	No	National planning policy and guidance of the adoption and use of the Nationally I need for space standards is to demonst Strategy provides this evidence and exp address this local issue / concern before the Whole Plan Viability Assessment will not impact on development to a degree Furthermore, the WPVA builds in an ap the margins of viability and are able to s
5	DM2	Density	There is a significant gap in the evidence, especially relating to for densities appropriate to an urban area with good sustainable travel opportunities.	SDWLP-47	Horsham DC	No	There is clear evidence relating to dens undertaken to strengthen this. The out
5	DM2	Density	Amend policies (mods proposed) to allow flexibility for schemes on sustainably located sites to be built at higher densities. These include alternative housing mix and consideration of tall and very tall buildings.	SDWLP-49	ECE (on behalf of St Williams)	No	There is a significant housing need for a range of housing types to best meet the on most sites it is not necessarily the ca housing mix expected will be largely de figures provided for each allocation resp and infrastructure are more suited to hig town sites which are more likely to deliv use all relevant policies within the WLP needs whilst respecting the character o
5	DM2	Density	It is noted that there is further work being carried out to explore mechanisms to further increase density and this is welcomed.	SDWLP-53	Mid Sussex District Council	No	Comments noted. Additional work has clearly supports the position taken in the
5	DM2	Density	The policy as drafted is not strong enough in promoting higher density developments on suitable sites.	SDWLP-54	WSP (on behalf of NewRiver)	No	The WLP is clear in that it seeks to deli expectation is that there will be an uplift WLP and the Housing Implementation significantly in recent years and that this clear and positive support for (appropria
5	DM2	Density	Live/work units should not be required to comply with the residential space standard requirements and affordable housing requirements.	SDWLP-56	WSP (on behalf of St Clair Developments)	No	The Council can see no justification in r housing for the operation of developme demonstrate why a different approach s policy requirements and related financia emerging Local Plan proposals are con meet the criteria of the NPPF and be cc units are not a particularly prevalent for it is not realistic to test every potential d developments (in scale and type) and th development to a degree that would ma the WPVA builds in some contingency of
5	DM2	Density	 It should be confirmed that viability and the application of the planning balance shall be considerations The threshold of 3 or more bedrooms appears set out in Policy DM1 should be referenced for the threshold that would trigger minimim density of 35 dph in Criterion B) 	SDWLP-60	Rapleys On behalf of EM Goring Ltd	No	Local Plans must be read as a whole an covered elsewhere. It is considered that there is no need to amend this.

/ policy criteria a):

xed and balanced communities, the Council will expect all applications for new housing ence of housing needs and demands to help determine the most appropriate housing ocation of the individual site.

ar in that it sets out a requirement for all new build housing to meet the higher optional e Council consider that the M4(2) requirement is justified based on the growing older e of the current national direction of travel. Government consulted on raising accessibility per 2020 which included options to make M4(2) mandatory or a minimum standard apart this consultation has not yet been published

d the limited opportunities to meet them there will inevitably be high demand for all the WLP the key will be to ensure that each opportunity delivers housing best suited to revailing housing needs into account. See also the proposed modification to criterion a)

eds for family housing will not be met. However, the Plan is clear that family housing ge of town sites and this will help to provide a proportional contribution of the overall

ntly area it is somewhat inevitable that a key focus will be opportunities within the urban as including greenfield sites around the edge of the borough have also been positively ut in the Council's evidence.

d the limited opportunities to meet them there will inevitably be high demand for all the WLP the key will be to ensure that each opportunity delivers housing best suited to revailing housing needs into account. See also the proposed modification to criterion a)

he most efficient use of available land, when read as a whole, the Plan also establishes ensure that the level of development is appropriate to each site / opportunity. This will ounding areas and the amenities of neighbouring occupiers. See also the proposed DM1.

policies to optimise the use of land in the area, including minimum densities for areas. It is expected that these will seek an uplift on existing densities in those areas, unless Whilst the Council will support this objective this won't be at the expense of important

ce does not offer detailed criteria on what would constitute evidence of need to support Ily Described Space Standards (NDSS). However, the starting point for justifying the onstrate that there is a need. A section within the Council's Housing Implementation explains that the Council already had justified local standards in place (within an SPD) to fore the NDSS were published. The requirement to meet the NDSS was factored into t which concluded that and this concluded that, in general, the policy requirements would ree that would make developments unviable (that otherwise would have been viable). a appropriate buffer / margin so that the requirements set out in the WLP are not set at to support development when economic circumstances adjust.

ensities set out in the Housing Implementation Strategy and further work has been outcomes support the approach outlined in the WLP.

for all types and sizes in the borough. As such, the Plan (as a whole) seeks to deliver a the identified needs. However, whilst the Council will encourage a mix of housing types e case that each development site will deliver housing types to meet all needs. The dependent on the location and character of the individual site and the indicative capacity respond to this. For example, town centre sites and other sites well served by facilities o higher density flatted developments (that could include tall buildings) than the edge of leliver family housing. As allocated sites come forward for development the Council will /LP to ensure that the site delivers the most appropriate development to meet identified er of the surrounding area.

has been undertaken and this is set out in the Housing Implementation Strategy. This in the WLP.

deliver development that makes the most efficient use of available land and the plift on existing densities in all areas unless there are strong reasons otherwise. The on Strategy clearly show how densities within the town centre have increased this is set to continue. This evidence and the proposed policy approach demonstrate priate) high density development in the town centre.

in not treating the living areas of live / work units in the same way as other forms of ment policies (including DM3). Furthermore, no robust evidence has been put forward to ch should be taken. The Whole Plan Viability Assessment (WPVA) has assessed the ncial contributions set out in the WLP - this has concluded that, viewed as a whole, the considered to have reasonable prospects of viability and should therefore be able to e consistent with the national guidance within the PPG in viability terms. As live / work form of development in Worthing these were not specifically tested within the WPVA as al development scenario. However, the WPVA did assess a wide range of housing id this concluded that, in general, the policy requirements would not impact on make developments unviable (that otherwise would have been viable). Furthermore, cy within ach test to provide some flexibility for unforeseen eventualities.

e and there is no need to refer to viability or the planning balance here as it is adequately that the wording set out in the supporting text and policy (criterion b) is clear and that

DM2	Density	Increasing density will impact on other elements necessary to support strong, vibrant and healthy communities. Impact on para 1.24. DM policies 18,19 and 20 will be especially vulnerable to dilution. Increased density should however reflect the DM1 and SHMA policies and ensure a significant number of 2/3 bedroomed homes are provided in all large new developments.	SDWLP-67	Cllr Helen Silman 8 Cllr Jim Deen (Labour Group)	No	To help meet significant housing needs densities in appropriate locations. How infrastructure capacity or the character
DM3	Affordable housing	Differentiate between "Affordable" homes and "Social Housing". Need to have homes built for rent at less than market rents.	SDWLP-03	N/A	No	the appropriate checks and balances ar The WLP Glossary provides the definition housing tenure.
DM3	Affordable housing	Caveats should be included for where onsite provision would make the scheme unviable.	SDWLP-49	ECE (on behalf of St Williams)	No	Policy DM3 (criteria b and f), the suppor Council's approach to affordable housin
DM3	Affordable housing	Live/work units should not be required to comply with the residential space standard requirements and affordable housing requirements.	SDWLP-56	WSP (on behalf of St Clair Developments)	No	The Council can see no justification in m housing for the operation of developmen demonstrate why a different approach s policy requirements and related financia emerging Local Plan proposals are com- meet the criteria of the NPPF and be co- units are not a particularly prevalent form it is not realistic to test every potential d developments (in scale and type) and th development to a degree that would ma the WPVA builds in an appropriate buffer viability and are able to support develop
 DM3	Affordable housing	Policy should make clear that site-specific circumstances (for example its inherent physical /environmental constraints) shall also be taken into account	SDWLP-60	Rapleys On behalf of EM Goring Ltd	No	Policy DM3 (criteria b and f), the suppor Council's approach to affordable housin
DM3	Affordable housing	criterion f) - PPG making quite clear that development viability should be assessed as far as is possible on the basis of generic inputs - so as to ensure that assessment does not become a tax based on the success or efficiencies of an individual developer concerned. See suggested amendments to para 5.4, 5.14 & 5.15. Comments raised on the DSP Viability Testing. Specialised housing for older people be retested for viability and any reduced resulting affordable housing target be set out in policy.		The Planning Bureau (On behalf of McCarthy & Stone)	Yes (in part)	Agree with amendment to 5.4:it is not Agree with amendments to clarify differe 5.14 Options include retirement living care (both classed as C3 dwellings) and provision). 5.15 Provision of housing with care (C3 care/nursing home bed spaces and can Disagree with amendment to 5.15 to rer and C3 uses especially as advice and a residential and nursing homes, towards people in their own home for as long as Disagree with proposed deletion of the n Government in recent years has been to not only to allow public scrutiny of key p negotiations and agreements between of captures this objective and does not new Overall, the viability assumptions used a the Whole Plan Viability Assessment por This concludes that when viewed as a v prospects of viability and should therefor guidance within the PPG in viability term The viability assessment has been under spectrum of market housing offer, but al reflect this within the typologies approar
 DM3	Affordable housing	Object. A more equitable approach should be for the Plan to return to first principles: to meet the obligation to provide for its own assessed housing need of 14,160 new homes, and then to apply to that figure a 30% affordable housing rate. The affordable housing burden on green field sites should be shifted to 30%.	SDWLP-65	Persimmon Homes	No	The viability assessment been undertak of market housing offer, but also genera within the typologies approach, which re – whether for the general market or a m particular assumptions may be appropri
DM3	Affordable housing	Affordable Housing - SHMA projections are unjustified as are based on assumption that full housing needs are met.	SDWLP-66	Pegasus Group (On behalf of Persimmon Homes)	No	The SHMA is considered to provide rob accepted demographic projections the a make realistic assumptions on land sup Borough, the Council will not be able to
DM5	Quality of the built environment	Amend text (mods proposed) to allow flexibility for schemes on sustainably located sites to be built at higher densities. These include alternative housing mix and consideration of tall and very tall buildings.	SDWLP-49	ECE (on behalf of St Williams)	Yes	Amend paragraph 5.64 to be more posit appropriately accommodate buildings of "likely to continue. To ensure that applications for tall buildings on sites

eds the Council will seek to make most efficient use of land and increase residential lowever, this will not be at the expense of the amenities of neighbouring occupiers, ter of the surrounding area. The Plan should be read as a whole and it is considered tha s are in place that will deliver high quality development. inition used by the Council (and the NPPF) for affordable housing and different types of

porting text and associated guidance (Developer Contributions SPD) clearly sets out the using provision and viability. The SPD will be updated following the adoption of the WLP.

in not treating the living areas of live / work units in the same way as other forms of ment policies (including DM3). Furthermore, no robust evidence has been put forward to ch should be taken. The Whole Plan Viability Assessment (WPVA) has assessed the ncial contributions set out in the WLP - this has concluded that, viewed as a whole, the considered to have reasonable prospects of viability and should therefore be able to a consistent with the national guidance within the PPG in viability terms. As live / work form of development in Worthing these were not specifically tested within the WPVA as al development scenario. However, the WPVA did assess a wide range of housing this concluded that, in general, the policy requirements would not impact on make developments unviable (that otherwise would have been viable). Furthermore, puffer / margin so that the requirements set out in the WLP are not set at the margins of elopment when economic circumstances adjust. .

porting text and associated guidance (Developer Contributions SPD) clearly sets out the using provision and viability. The SPD will be updated following the adoption of the WLP.

not specialist housing for one group of people but housing for all

fferent types of housing in 5.14 and 5.15. Modification proposed as follows:

ving or sheltered housing (housing with support), and extra care housing or housing with and residential care homes and nursing homes (care bed spaces classed as C2

(C3 use class) Sheltered and extra care housing are alternatives is an alternative to can help...

premove reference to use class C3 as consider it is important to distinguish between C2 analysis from WSCC suggests we need to alter the balance from C2 provision, rds C3, housing provision, which can deliver better outcomes for longer and keeps g as possible.

he reference to 'an open book approach' set out in criterion f. A key objective of the en to improve transparency in the planning system. Therefore, full disclosure is essential ey planning decisions, but also to remove the often secret status of planning gain en developers and local authorities. The Council considers that the term 'open-book' in need to be revised.

ed are considered to be appropriate for the strategic level review and it is considered tha t provides a robust assessment of realistic development scenarios within the Borough. a whole the emerging Local Plan proposals are considered to have reasonable refore be able to meet the criteria of the NPPF and be consistent with the national terms.

Indertaken bearing in mind that such developments form a wider part of the overall ut also generally have some distinct characteristics. The assumptions are considered to roach, which recognises that developments can vary considerably from one to another in

rtaken bearing in mind that such developments form a wider part of the overall spectrum nerally have some distinct characteristics. The assumptions are considered to reflect this ch recognises that developments can vary considerably from one to another in any event a more targeted occupier group. It is understood and acknowledged therefore that opriate at a site and scheme specific level, over time.

robust evidence that conforms with NPPF requirements and related guidance. Using he assessment considers a range of scenarios (including a dwelling led scenario) that supply. Evidence is clear in that, much like for all housing types and tenures in the to meet the affordable need in full with this in mind, the focus should be provision of positive regarding applications for tall buildings on sites that can is of height. Amendment -

that efficient use is made of available land the Council will positively consider sites that can appropriately accommodate buildings of height.Whilst this form...."

5	DM5	Quality of the built environment	Recommend strengthening the wording of the policy in order to meet the Council's obligations on climate mitigation and biodiversity net gain, as well as the emerging requirements of the National Model Design Code.	SDWLP-58	Woodland Trust	Yes	With regards to the suggestion of insert and g) adequately address this - particu
							To strengthen policy amendments to Po
							ii) enhance the local environment by wa architectural form, height, materials, der of the development;
							ix) respect the existing natural features biodiversity net gain. Where appropria infrastructure into new developmente
5	DM5	Quality of the built	- Criterion a vi) as currently worded is vague in terms of access expectations, and should be amended	SDWLP-60	Rapleys On behalf	Yes (in part)	No change is required to Criterion a) vi)
		environment	 To ensure consistency with the NPPF, Criterion a viii) should be re-worded Criterion c) results in disproportionate, onerous expectations and requirements and should be deleted 		of EM Goring Ltd		Sustainable Transport & Active Travel.
			- Policy DM5 should make clear that site-specific circumstances (for example its inherent physical /environmental constraints and viability) shall also be taken into account.				To ensure consistency with the NPPF, (
							 viii) not have an unacceptable impact o unacceptable loss of privacy, daylight/s adverse impacts, or vehicular moveme important open space of public value (Space, Recreation and Leisure);
							Regarding criterion c) it is important tha the quality of development originally ap
							The Whole Plan Viability Assessment h WLP - this has concluded that, viewed a prospects of viability and should therefor guidance within the PPG in viability terr Council will continue to promote and su environment.
	DM6	Public realm	- 1st sentence of (e) is effective but last sentence should be deleted as unnecessary - the Regs don't permit consideration of the need for an advertisement in illuminated form.	SDWLP-20	British Sign & Graphics Association	Yes	It is agreed that the second part of Polic character and appearance of the surrou
	DM6	Public realm	The policy should confirm that proportionate planning obligations shall only be sought where all the necessary statutory tests set out within the Regulations and NPPF are met.	SDWLP-60	Rapleys On behalf of EM Goring Ltd	No	The Council is obliged to apply the appr appropriate to duplicate national legisla supporting text clearly sets out the Cour agreements). Further detail is also set the adoption of the WLP. It is standard proportionate to the scheme being prop
j	DM6	Public realm	Sets out fine aspirations but WLP needs to be linked inextricably to the 'Platforms for our Places' strategy to be deliverable	SDWLP-67	Cllr Helen Silman & Cllr Jim Deen (Labour Group)	No	The Local Plan does signpost to Platfor content in Platforms for our Places.
	DM7	Open space, recreation and leisure	Allotments - To meet standards Worthing should provide 550 allotments or 137,500 sqm of land The eight allotment sites in Worthing total 1,080 plots, but occupy only 124,284 square metres (as plot sizes have been reduced) significantly less than the 22 hectares required. It is difficult to see where this shortfall will come from Access Standards - the existing sites are currently positioned such that many residents would be further that 720m away and would have a walk time in excess of 15 mins.	SDWLP-04	N/A	No	Comments noted. Given the land consti- land uses - allotment provision is no ex- appropriate, will seek to protect and ent sufficient on-site provision of open space enhancement of existing open space. A which provides justification for the acce
i	DM7	Open space, recreation and leisure	Support	SDWLP-17	Sports England	No	Support is noted
	DM7	Open space, recreation and leisure	Recommends cross reference with revised 'Active Design' guidance and have also included a model policy.	SDWLP-17	Sports England	No	No change is needed as Policy SP3 He
	DM7	Open space, recreation and leisure	Unspecified standards could impact viability	SDWLP-49	ECE (on behalf of St Williams)	Yes	Comments noted. All requirements set is agreed that to provide clarity regardir amended as follows:
							a) Schemes of 10+ dwellings will be rec standards (as set out within The Oper proposed). Where it is not possible to p space off-site within the ward or nearby
	DM7	Open space, recreation and leisure	Recommend adopting policy standards for residential developments that support access to the natural environment and woodland for informal recreation. Suggest amendments to table at 5.100	SDWLP-58	Woodland Trust	Yes	The standard for Accessible Natural Gr amendment will be made to include a n defined by the Open Space Study. The
	DM7	Open space, recreation and leisure	The policy should confirm that proportionate planning obligations shall only be sought where all the necessary statutory tests set out within the Regulations and NPPF are met. Criteria b) should be reworded to refer to future / updated studies.	SDWLP-60	Rapleys On behalf of EM Goring Ltd	Yes (in part)	The Council is obliged to apply the appr appropriate to duplicate national legisla supporting text clearly sets out the Cour agreements). Further detail is also set the adoption of the WLP. It is standard proportionate to the scheme being prop
							Revise Criterion b) as follows: Proposals incorporating leisure/recreati any future updated study to inform th
	DM7	Open space, recreation and leisure	(Para 5.101-5.103) - policy and associated paragraphs be amended to make clear that the standards do not apply to specialised housing for older people, which will be considered on a case by case basis based on the nature of the development proposed and its proximity to local facilities and whether it is likely to place a demand upon those facilities.	SDWLP-62	The Planning Bureau (On behalf of McCarthy & Stone)	No	Comments noted. A guidance note (Op further clarity on the open space standa eligible for on-site provision. This includ

serting an additional criterion regarding tree canopy cover it is considered that SP2 e) ticularly when then supported by Policy DM19 (Green Infrastructure).

Policy DM5 will be made as follows:

way of its appearance and character, with particular attention being paid to the density, scale, orientation, landscaping, **tree canopy**, impact on street scene and layout

res of the site, including landform, trees and biodiversity and contribute positively to priate, this will include the protection and integration of existing trees and green ents;

) vi) needs to be read in conjunction with the requirements set out in Policy DM15: rel.

PF, Criterion a) viii) should be re-worded as follows:

ct on the occupiers of adjacent properties, particularly of residential dwellings, including nt/sunlight, outlook, an unacceptable increase in noisegiving rise in significant ements resulting in severe cumulative impacts on the road network or loss of ue (unless it satisfies any of the exceptions set out under Policy DM7 – Open

that approved developments are not changed over time in a way that would detract from approved. As such, these is no reason to change the existing wording.

nt has assessed the policy requirements and related financial contributions set out in the ed as a whole, the emerging Local Plan proposals are considered to have reasonable refore be able to meet the criteria of the NPPF and be consistent with the national terms. Whilst there will always need to be some degree of flexibility in these matters the d support development that helps to mitigate the potential negative impacts on the

Policy DM6 criterion e) as the first part ensures that advertisements will respect the rrounding area. As such, the 2nd sentence of e) will be deleted.

appropriate and proportionate legal obligations / conditions and it is not considered islation or the NPPF here. Local Plans must be read as a whole and Policy DM9 and council's approach to delivering infrastructure (including the use of CIL and S106 set out with the Council's Developer Contributions SPD which will be updated following ard good practice in Planning that evidence required for any particular application is roposed.

forms for our Places (see paragraph 1.15). It is not considered necessary to duplicate

nstraints in and around Worthing it is a challenge to meet the full needs of many different exception. However, the Council will continue to balance competing needs and, where enhance the provision of allotments. Where a development is unable to provide pace, the Council can collect contributions that could be put towards off-site provision or e. A guidance note (Open Space, Recreation & Leisure - Jan 2021) has been prepared ccess standard for allotments.

Healthy Communities (para 2.32) already refers to the Active Design guidance

set out in the WLP were tested within the Whole Plan Viability Assessment. However, it rding the adopted standards (as informed by the Open Space Study) Criterion a) will be

required to provide open space on site in accordance with the Council's adopted **pen Space Study (2019) applying occupancy levels based on the size of dwellings** to provide open space on site, contributions will be sought to provide or improve open rby ward to which the development is locatedunless surplus provision exists locally.

Green Space (ANGS) has accidentally been omitted from Table 1 (Para 5.100). An a new row to the table which will incorporate the recommended standard for ANGS as The Open Space Study includes woodlands within the definition of ANGS.

appropriate and proportionate legal obligations / conditions and it is not considered islation or the NPPF here. Local Plans must be read as a whole and Policy DM9 and council's approach to delivering infrastructure (including the use of CIL and S106 set out with the Council's Developer Contributions SPD which will be updated following ard good practice in Planning that evidence required for any particular application is iroposed.

eation facilities should use the findings of the Sport, Leisure and Open Space Study(**or** n the types required.

Open Space, Recreation & Leisure - Jan 2021) has been prepared which provides ndards. The guidance note provides information on which types of development are cludes older people's accommodation.

5	DM8	Planning for sustainable	Policy should confirm that proportionate planning obligations shall only be sought where all the necessary statutory tests set out within	SDWLP-60	Rapleys On behalf	No	The Council is obliged to apply the appl
		communities / community facilities	the Regulations and NPPF are met.		of EM Goring Ltd		appropriate to duplicate national legisla supporting text clearly sets out the Cou agreements). Further detail is also set the adoption of the WLP. It is standard
5	DM9	Delivering infrastructure	The Council needs a discretionary CIL relief policy	SDWLP-56	WSP (on behalf of St Clair	No	proportionate to the scheme being prop CIL Regulations are clear in that the po after a charging schedule is approved.
					Developments)		prudent or necessary to make any com
5	DM9	Delivering infrastructure	Policy should confirm that proportionate planning obligations shall only be sought where all the necessary statutory tests set out within the Regulations and NPPF are met.	SDWLP-60	Rapleys On behalf of EM Goring Ltd	No	The Council is obliged to apply the application appropriate to duplicate national legisla supporting text clearly sets out the Coulagreements). Further detail is also set the adoption of the WLP. It is standard proportionate to the scheme being prop
5	DM10		Criterions J) and K) should reference the planning obligations and conditions tests within the Regulations and NPPF	SDWLP-60	Rapleys On behalf of EM Goring Ltd	No	The Council is obliged to apply the appr appropriate to duplicate national legisla supporting text clearly sets out the Coun agreements). Further detail is also set the adoption of the WLP. It is standard proportionate to the scheme being prop
5	DM10	Economic growth and skills	Economic Growth - Policy hasn't considered the effects of housing shortfall on economic growth. More employment land is proposed to be allocated in the WLP than would be required	SDWLP-66	Pegasus Group (On behalf of Persimmon Homes)	No	The Employment Land Review's preferr employment growth that takes the inabi- that seeks to balance competing demar employment land is retained with two n additional space required. The representation argues that there is overprovision of 5,230 sqm when asses However, the figure of 28,000sqm plann Farm. As reported under A5 above, fur related development potential of Decoy employment land. This therefore mean using the job growth scenario. The Cou
5	DM11	Protecting and enhancing	Broadwater Business Park Employment Area Boundary should be modified to include the entire GlaxoSmithKline site.	SDWLP-42	Lichfields	Yes	including the introduction of Use Class the WLP provides the appropriate and s It is agreed that there is no logical reaso
5		employment sites			(on behalf of GSK)		In a squeed that there is no organ reads located within the Broadwater Business designation will have the effect of incluc Park, and better reflect the use of the si DM11 and Strategic Objective 8. As suc operational site (see separate mapping The Council does not however, agree w Whilst it is noted that the pitch is only us should be treated (in planning terms) ar (Development Management Procedure; encompasses at least one playing pitch private or educational ownership (the pi inclusion of the playing pitch within the would be contrary to the Government's There has been no evidence provided t change in designation. As such, it is pr Employment Area. Para 5.153 defines employment uses for
J	DM11	Protecting and enhancing employment sites	Policy should confirm the evidence required will be proportionate	SDWLP-60	Rapleys On behalf of EM Goring Ltd		ever require evidence to be proportiona Sustainable Economy SPD which will b
5	DM11	Protecting and enhancing employment sites	of land is proposed and citing that a flexible approach will be taken in order to aid economic recovery.	SDWLP-62	The Planning Bureau (On behalf of McCarthy & Stone)		In response to the Council's evidence b to ensure that strong policies are in pla- ensure that the policy wording is not ov acknowledgement that other uses migh controlled way using the policy and the approach to balancing the needs of all
5	DM12	The visitor economy	General support, but some concerns that enhancement of the night time economy should not be at the expense of local residents. Additionally noise levels and anti-social behaviour has been increasing to the detriment of town centre residents.	SDWLP-13	N/A	No	The concerns are noted no amendmen supporting text to the policy and in crite

appropriate and proportionate legal obligations / conditions and it is not considered islation or the NPPF here. Local Plans must be read as a whole and Policy DM9 and council's approach to delivering infrastructure (including the use of CIL and S106 set out with the Council's Developer Contributions SPD which will be updated following ard good practice in Planning that evidence required for any particular application is iroposed.

powers that the Council has to offer relief can be activated and deactivated at any point ed. This would be outside of the Local Plan process and, for this reason, it would not be ommitment to this (either way) within the WLP.

appropriate and proportionate legal obligations / conditions and it is not considered islation or the NPPF here. Local Plans must be read as a whole and Policy DM9 and council's approach to delivering infrastructure (including the use of CIL and S106 set out with the Council's Developer Contributions SPD which will be updated following ard good practice in Planning that evidence required for any particular application is roposed.

appropriate and proportionate legal obligations / conditions and it is not considered islation or the NPPF here. Local Plans must be read as a whole and Policy DM9 and council's approach to delivering infrastructure (including the use of CIL and S106 set out with the Council's Developer Contributions SPD which will be updated following ard good practice in Planning that evidence required for any particular application is proposed.

ferred job growth scenario which helps to inform the WLP reflects a realistic level of ability to fully meet housing needs into account. The approach taken in the WLP is one mands for land in the most sustainable way - this will see the mean that viable ro main allocations (Decoy Farm and Martlets Way) helping to provide the bulk of the

e is an overprovision of employment land. In this regard the SDWLP does indicate an isessed against the Employment Land Review's preferred job growth scenario. lanned for in the SDWLP included an allowance for 18,000sqm to be delivered at Decoy further more detailed work has been undertaken to understand the site constraints and coy Farm and as a consequence the indicative capacity has been reduced to 14,000 sqm eans that there is now only a slight overprovision (1,230sqm) of employment land when Council considers it important to retain some flexibility given a number of uncertainties iss E and the extension of permitted Development Rights. As such, it is considered that nd sensible balance between housing and employment growth.

eason why the built area to the east of the site (but still within the GSK Curtilage) are not ess Park Employment Area. It is agreed that the modification of the employment cluding all of GSK's operational area as part of the Key Industrial Estate and Business e site to support the pharmaceutical production function. This is in line with WLP policy such, it is proposed that the designation be modified to include this part of the GSK ing extract).

we with the suggested inclusion of the sports pitch within the employment designation. y used by employees of GSK for well-being purposes this does not in itself mean it) any differently from other publicly accessible pitches. The Town and Country Planning ure) (England) Order 2015 and NPPF define a playing field as 'the whole of a site which itch'. It also does not differentiate between different types of ownership e.g. public, e pitch was considered and included within the 2019 Playing Pitch Strategy). The he wider Employment' designation may prejudice the future use of the land and this it's objective that playing fields should be protected unless certain criteria can be met. ed to indicate that these tests have been met or that Sport England would support a s proposed that the playing field will remain outside the Broadwater Business Park

is for the purpose of the policy? In line with guidance and legislation, the Council will only onate. The type and nature of evidence required is further clarified within the Council's ill be updated following the adoption of the WLP.

the base and the limited opportunities available for new employment land the WLP seeks place to protect existing employment sites. However, great care has been taken to overly restrictive and a suitable degree of flexibility is provided. Whilst there is an hight be acceptable if certain conditions / tests are met this would be determined in a the supporting SPD. The WLP, when read as a whole, explain and clarifies the Council's all land uses.

nents necessary as it is considered that these issues are adequately covered in the riterion a)

5	DM13	Retail and town centre uses	 Criteria h is overly restrictive and contrary to the NPPF Doesn't acknowledge 2020 changes to the Use Classes Order and introduction of Class E 	SDWLP-54	WSP (on behalf of NewRiver)	No	The WLP (particularly policy SS3) prov
			 Ideally we'd like to see the policy support replacement of retail with other uses as supported by the evidence study The Montague Shopping Centre should be taken out of the Primary Shopping Frontage and reclassified as Secondary. 				focus the policies within the Plan very of with strong encouragement for alternat Whilst the Council still considers it appr (see DM13) it is not considered that thi flexible to allow changes in circumstan- leisure demands, mixed-use communit
							Whilst it is acknowledged that new use taken into consideration and it is not fe be kept under review.
							The Council's evidence would not supp shopping frontage.
							Criterion h) is clear that conditions will contrary to the NPPF as it will allow the the area (which, in turn, reflect the Gov
	DM13	Retail and town centre uses	The new Local Plan should encourage a range of uses in town centres, including residential, to improve their vitality and viability, and avoid overly restrictive policies which would impede this.	SDWLP-56	WSP (on behalf of St Clair Developments)	No	The WLP (particularly policy SS3) prov focus the policies within the Plan very of with strong encouragement for alternat Whilst the Council still considers it app (see DM13) it is not considered that thi flexible to allow changes in circumstan leisure demands, mixed-use communit Whilst it is acknowledged that new use
							taken into consideration and it is not fe be kept under review.
	DM13	Retail and town centre uses	Retail - Policy hasn't considered the effects of housing shortfall.	SDWLP-66	Pegasus Group (On behalf of Persimmon Homes)	No	Housing provision and resulting shortfar reference within this specific policy. The including within the supporting text to the and Para 5.195 also refers to upper floo
5	DM14	Digital infrastructure	Object to this policy as it is onerous and unsound. The requirements will result in delaying determination of applications and impact the	SDWLP-60	Rapleys On behalf	No	Worthing BC works in partnership with
			viability and delivery of developments. Purpose of Policy DM14 should be to assess whether a proposed development for telecommunications infrastructure is an acceptable		of EM Goring Ltd		the requirement to ensure gigabit-capa should remain within the policy. WSCC
			use of land. As such, the requirements relating to non- telecommunications infrastructure proposals under Policy DM14 should be removed.				are of the view that it is likely to becom year DCMS consulted on new build reg response, the Government has stated
							 Amend Building Regs to require all connections Amend Building Regs to create a rebroadband is installed in new build dev Publish supporting statutory guidar Continue to work with network provat the lowest possible price Work with housing developers and
							It is also interesting to note that two mainfrastructure in new build developmen
							The Council consider that the policy co Government. In addition, the policy do circumstances the policy requirements
	DM15	Sustainable transport & active travel	There is a lot of comment on climate aims and low carbon and low pollution yet there are developments being included as possibilities that would add traffic to severely congested, and polluted roads such as the A27. A bypass is needed to allow these to even be considered and to improve the health of residents in this part of Worthing, yet no mention of this is made and there seems to be no joined up strategy to be working with Highways and the Government in this area.	SDWLP-06	N/A	No	There are currently no realistic or delive Highways England have been working potential improvements to the A27. Th Strategy 2 (RIS2): 2020 to 2025, to imp coming months, Highways England ain in spring/summer 2022. A key aim of th modes of transport which, combined with
;	DM15	Sustainable transport & active travel	Support	SDWLP-17	Sports England	No	Support is noted
	DM15	Sustainable transport & active travel	Fully support this policy and emphasis on climate change and the environment.	SDWLP-18	Transition Town Worthing	No	Support is noted
	DM15	Sustainable transport & active travel	The inclusion of equestrians within the Council's Local Plan provision for non motorised users would: • Add to the health and wellbeing of a sector of the county's population who would otherwise be excluded; • Benefit the local economy with the income that the sport attracts to local areas; • Ensure that equality of opportunity is provided for a sport dominated by women; • Need cost no more than the plans which would otherwise exclude them (in many cases, simply a different sign to indicate use by all user groups) Concerned about equestrians being pushed out of A&W due to the high level of development and having to travel further afield adding to numbers of car journeys and the negative impact on the climate. Notes that the A27 forms a significant barrier for equestrians.	SDWLP-24	British Horse Society	No	Comments noted. Reference to bridlev Criterion a) of Policy DM15 states that prioritises active travel by walking, cycl Policy SS4 f) clarifies that opportunities partners.
	DM15	Sustainable transport & active travel	Part iv) is not legally compliant as the standard is set outside of the Local Plan and should be considered in the viability assessment.	SDWLP-45	House Builders Federation	No	All policy requirements (and an additio reference to the County Council Stand- adopted by the Borough Council.
	DM15	Sustainable transport & active travel	- Part b) vii) SWT do not consider this is an evidence based position and therefore is not justified.	SDWLP-57	Sussex Wildlife Trust	No	This improvements highlighted are ider position.

rovides clear strategy and direction for the town centre. Although retail remains a key ry clearly support the diversification of uses (including residential) within the town centre native uses - particularly those that support both the daytime and evening economies. appropriate to provide a clear policy framework for the town centre and other retail areas this is overly restrictive. Care has been taken to ensure that the wording is sufficiently tance (covid-recovery, changing retail habits, changes of Permitted Development Rights, unity hubs etc).

ise Class E is likely to have implications for land uses within retail areas this has been felt that any change is required to the policy framework at this time - however, this will

upport the suggested change of the Montague Centre from Primary to Secondary

vill only be applied where it is relevant and appropriate to do so. This approach is not the Council to ensure that approved changes are in line with the Council's aspirations for <u>Government's objective to support economic growth and vibrancy within town centres</u>). rovides clear strategy and direction for the town centre. Although retail remains a key rry clearly support the diversification of uses (including residential) within the town centre native uses - particularly those that support both the daytime and evening economies. appropriate to provide a clear policy framework for the town centre and other retail areas it his is overly restrictive. Care has been taken to ensure that the wording is sufficiently tance (covid-recovery, changing retail habits, changes of Permitted Development Rights, unity hubs etc).

use Class E is likely to have implications for land uses within retail areas this has been t felt that any change is required to the policy framework at this time - however, this will

rtfall are adequately covered elsewhere in the Plan and there is no need to make specific The need to maximise all development opportunities is made throughout the plan o this policy - Para 5.181 makes reference to new dwellings where opportunities exist floor uses for residential uses.

with West Sussex CC (WSCC) as part of the Gigabit Coast Project. WSCC is keen that apable broadband is available in all new build development at the point of occupation SCC advise that the Government has started talking about its New Build Act again, and ome law. In support of Worthing's proposed policy position it should be noted that last regulations and issued its conclusions in March 2020. Following the publication of the ed it will:

all new build developments to have physical infrastructure to support gigabit-capable

a requirement on housing developers to work with network operators so that gigabit development (up to a cost cap)

dance as soon as possible

providers to ensure that are connecting as many new build developments as possible and

and their representative bodies to raise awareness of these new requirements.

major national stakeholders Openreach and Barratt support the introduction of full- fibre nents.

contains the right approach and it follows the direction of travel being set by the does allow for some level of flexibility where it can be demonstrated that due to special nts cannot be met.

eliverable for any by-pass for Worthing. However, in this regard, it should be noted that ng closely with key stakeholders (including WBC and WSCC) to identify a package of This will help to meet the revised objectives in the government's Road Investment improve the capacity and flow of traffic on the A27 from Worthing to Lancing. In the aim to identify options for an improvement scheme, before holding a public consultation of the Local Plan is to help promote and deliver an enhanced provision for sustainable d with other measures and policies will help to mitigate the impacts of development.

leways and multi-user access have been referred to in paragraphs 5.89, 5.275 & 3.45. hat the Council will promote and support development that

cycling, Non-Motorised User routes.

ties to improve access to the National Park with be sought through joint working with key

tional allowance) were factored in to the Whole Plan Viability Assessment. The ndards is appropriate within this policy as it signposts the requirements that have been

dentified in the Worthing Local Plan Transport Study - this is clearly an evidenced based

5	DM15	Sustainable transport & active travel	Reference should be made to the planning obligations and conditions tests within the Regulations and NPPF, site specific circumstances, The trigger threshold for 'major development' to be confirmed, that information required will be proportionate.	SDWLP-60	Rapleys On behalf of EM Goring Ltd	No	The Council is obliged to apply the appr appropriate to duplicate national legislar supporting text clearly sets out the Coun agreements). Further detail is also set of the adoption of the WLP. It is standard of proportionate to the scheme being prop
5	DM15	Sustainable transport & active travel	There is still further work to be undertake before Highways England can agree that the plan is sound in relation to its potential impacts on the safe and efficient operation of the A27 Truck Road Undertake some additional work on the indicative cost of the Offington Roundabout Mitigation Scheme and to cost the other sustainable transport measures set out in the Transport Study. There will need to be a fall back position which would necessitate highway improvements in the event that the modal shift is not secured. May be more effective to look at a blended approach whereby the council seek contributions to pay for the Offington Roundabout Improvement scheme, together with a package of other sustainable transport measures rather than relying solely on sustainable transport improvements. Necessary for the council to consider how it will deal with windfall development outside of the Local Plan as this adds to the cumulative impacts on the highway network both local and strategic Develop a Statement of Common Ground.		On behalf of Highways England	No	Further work has been undertaken by th agreement are set out with a Statement appropriate this will also explain how fur matters.
5	DM15	Sustainable transport & active travel	Support, especially b) iii)	SDWLP-73	South Downs National Park Authority	No	Support is noted
5	DM16	Sustainable design	With opportunities to consider a new town centre vision it doesn't seem the time to prioritise greenfield sites	SDWLP-09	N/A	No	Greenfield sites are not prioritised. Give deliver development needed to be posit regeneration remains a key objective wi
5	DM16	Sustainable design	Fully supportive of this but think it should also have a section on designed in mitigation measures such as raised electrics and flood resistant floors and walls.	SDWLP-13	N/A	Yes	First sentence of Para 5.282 to be ame Opportunities should be sought to reduc appropriate flood resilience and resis
5	DM16	Sustainable design	Fully support these policies and emphasis on climate change and the environment	SDWLP-18	Transition Town Worthing	No	Support is noted
5	DM16	Sustainable design	Unsound as duplicating national policy in relation to energy efficiency improvements. Reference to the 31% improvement above Part L of Building Regulations should be deleted.	SDWLP-45	Wortning House Builders Federation	No	The Government have consulted on and implemented from 2025. In their respon immediate term, they will not amend the to set local energy efficiency standards including that set out in criteria b) relatir Building Regulations and are not duplic the Government's proposed 2021 interin should this interim uplift be further delay To ensure they remain consistent with t
5	DM16	Sustainable design	Remove parts b) and c) of DM16 to ensure consistency with national policy by removing reference to reduction of CO2 levels and Part L of Building Regulations.	SDWLP-49	ECE (on behalf of St Williams)	Yes	The Government have consulted on The response to The Future Homes Standar consultation the Government explain the and Energy Act 2008, which means tha homes. Criteria a) of Policy DM16 make CO2 reduction will apply unless / until s national policy. The requirements set ou which was delayed from an original 202 not implemented. To ensure they remain consistent with t - Replace Criteria b) with the following b) All new build housing will achieve 2013 standard, through carbon savin non-domestic buildings will achieve Part L 2013 standard. This should be carbon technologies in line with the other carbon technologies in line with the term.
5	DM17	Energy	Fully support these policies and emphasis on climate change and the environment	SDWLP-18	Transition Town	No	(Note - this will result in the need to upo Support is noted
5	DM17	Energy	Requiring connection to combined heat and power would reduce consumer choice.	SDWLP-45	Worthing House Builders Federation	No	The policy seeks to ensure that major d maximise opportunities to connect to ful does restrict consumer choice because heat networks in the Clean Growth Stra deliver significant reductions in greenho Council will expect heat networks to be protection for heat network customers.
5	DM17	Energy	Remove part a) of DM17 to ensure consistency with national policy by removing reference to reduction of CO2 levels and Part L of Building Regulations Suggest changes to part c) of DM17	SDWLP-49	ECE (on behalf of St Williams)	Yes	Agree part a) can be removed as the m improvements and carbon saving techn Delete criteria a) of Policy DM17 We agree with the suggested modificati c) Major development within areas iden to connect to district heating networks v i) they exist at the time of permission ii) where the heat network route lies a iii) where otherwise it is feasible and a future district heating network. Altern adjacent to the planned heat network connection.

appropriate and proportionate legal obligations / conditions and it is not considered islation or the NPPF here. Local Plans must be read as a whole and Policy DM9 and Council's approach to delivering infrastructure (including the use of CIL and S106 set out with the Council's Developer Contributions SPD which will be updated following ard good practice in Planning that evidence required for any particular application is proposed.

by the Council's consultants to address these requests. Progress made and areas of nent of Common Ground which is expected to be signed before Submission. Where v further work will be progressed (and guidance produced) to address any outstanding

Given the levels of housing and employment needs in the Borough all opportunities to ositively reviewed. This included all edge of town greenfield sites. However, town centre e within the Plan and the associated Spatial Strategy. mended as follows:

educe the causes and impacts of floodingwhere appropriate through the use of esistance measures, and natural flood management techniques....

and published their response to The Future Homes Standard which they state will be ponse to the consultation the Government explain that to provide some certainty in the the Planning and Energy Act 2008, which means that local authorities will retain powers rds for new homes. Criteria a) of Policy DM16 makes clear that the requirements lating to CO2 reduction will apply unless / until superseded by national planning policy or plicating national policy. The requirements set out were intended to be consistent with terim uplifs which was delayed from an original 2020 date and therefore provide security elayed or not implemented.

th the emerging standard the following modifications are required (see below response).

The Future Homes Standard and Future Buildings Standard and has published their ndard which they state will be implemented from 2025. In their response to the n that to provide some certainty in the immediate term, they will not amend the Planning that local authorities will retain powers to set local energy efficiency standards for new nakes clear that the requirements including that set out in criteria b) and c) relating to til superseded by national planning policy or Building Regulations and are not duplicating to out were intended to be consistent with the Government's proposed 2021 interim uplifts 2020 date and therefore provide security should this interim uplift be further delayed or

th the emerging standard the following modifications are required:

g

eve a minimum 31% CO2 reduction, compared to the Building Regulations Part L aving technology and fabric improvements in line with the energy hierarchy. New aver a 27% improvement in CO2 on average per building compared to the current be delivered though very high fabric standards, improved services and low he energy hierarchy.

red by the amendments to criteria b) above and other requirements within Policy DM16. update existing references d) to j))

or developments connect to heat networks where they already exist or (if they don't) o future networks (subject to viability). It is acknowledged that being on a heat network use it is not possible to change suppliers. However, the government is strongly promoting Strategy as a means of meeting its obligations in the Climate Change Act as they can nhouse gas emissions when compared with equivalent individual heating systems. The be registered with the Heat Trust, which sets standards and provides consumer

e mod proposed to DM16 now assumes that carbon reduction will be met through fabric chnology. Therefore the following amendments should be made:

cation to criteria c) of Policy DM17 as follows:

dentified as heat network opportunity clusters, will be required

ks where:

on being granted es adiacent to the site

s adjacent to the site

and viable to do so_{or will be expected to maximise opportunities for the development o ^f ernatively, where a heat network route is planned but has not been delivered, sites rork routes should be required to be heat network ready to enable a future}

DM18	Biodiversity	Fully support these policies and emphasis on climate change and the environment	SDWLP-18	Transition Town Worthing	No	Support is noted
DM18	Biodiversity	There is a clear understanding of the South Marine Plan, the subsequent policies (in a whole plan approach), the mitigation hierarchy and how the terrestrial and marine environments overlap within the intertidal area.	SDWLP-48	ммо	No	Comments noted
DM18	Biodiversity	Supportive of development achieving biodiversity net gain	SDWLP-49	ECE (on behalf of St Williams)	No	Support is noted
DM18	Biodiversity	Support - amendments suggested to Biodiversity Net Gain reference.	SDWLP-57	Sussex Wildlife Trust	Yes	Comments noted. Amend first sentence
				Thuse		"Biodiversity net gain delivers measurab mitigating harm as far as possible and i
DM18	Biodiversity	Welcomes the explicit protection given to ancient woodland and veteran trees in section d). However, the policy requires strengthening to deliver such protection in practice. Amendments suggested	SDWLP-58	Woodland Trust	No	Comments noted. It is considered that the Woodland in Worthing. It is acknowledg further protection (and a buffer requirem Titnore Lane)
DM18	Biodiversity	 It would place an onerous requirement on development on previously developed sites that ultimately could affect their viability and delivery. 20% net gain should be encouraged not required Criteria i) should reference the planning obligations and conditions tests within the Regulations and NPPF 	SDWLP-60	Rapleys On behalf of EM Goring Ltd	No	Policy DM18 criterion h) makes it clear t The Council is obliged to apply the appro appropriate to duplicate national legislat supporting text clearly sets out the Cour agreements). Further detail is also set o the adoption of the WLP. It is standard g proportionate to the scheme being proportionate
DM18	Biodiversity	No comments to make concerning SA. Need to consider importance of biosecurity. Need to refer to relevant Marine Plan in WLP.	SDWLP-103	Natural England	No	Comments noted. The relevant Marine
DM18	Biodiversity	Support policy but encourage strengthening wording to promote the maximum uptake of +20% net gain delivery. See suggested wording.	SDWLP-103	Natural England	Yes	will be considered within the emerging G Agree with modification. Amend criterior
						 h) New developments (excluding change biodiversity - where possible this should and is required for development on prev the planning application stage using bior
DM18	Biodiversity	Support. Clarify bullet point (h) where if it is not possible to achieve net gains on site it should be made clearer that there will be a requirement for the gains to be delivered off site. We would also like to see WBC identify where such off site locations are, and for them to be sited so as to improve biodiversity connectivity and Nature Recovery.	SDWLP-64	Sussex Ornithological Society	No	The Council is not able to seek offsite ne recover network. A call for sites for Natu involved in this process (along with WSC necessary will be clarified through a SPI
DM19	Green infrastructure	Fully support these policies and emphasis on climate change and the environment	SDWLP-18	Transition Town Worthing	No	Support is noted
DM19	Green infrastructure	Support, recommend Council consider the use of an Urban Green Factor	SDWLP-57	Sussex Wildlife Trust	No	A key component of the Council's emerg this is an urban greening factor by anoth potential. The main purpose is to ensure provided.
DM19	Green infrastructure	Section c) needs strengthening. Amendments suggested	SDWLP-58	Woodland Trust	Yes	Comments noted. An amendment will be c) In all new developments there should greater than 1:1 basis to support main Additional tree planting is encouraged w appropriate species the canopy cover wi
DM19	Green infrastructure	Reference should be made to the planning obligations and conditions tests within the Regulations and NPPF, site specific circumstances, The trigger threshold for 'major development' to be confirmed, that information required will be proportionate	SDWLP-60	Rapleys On behalf of EM Goring Ltd	No	The Council is obliged to apply the appr appropriate to duplicate national legislat supporting text clearly sets out the Cour agreements). Further detail is also set of the adoption of the WLP. It is standard proportionate to the scheme being proportionate to the scheme being p
DM19		Support	SDWLP-103	Natural England	No	Support is noted Comments noted. Further work is to be
DM19	Green infrastructure	Disappointed that no Green Infrastructure map has been developed.	SDWLP-64	Sussex Ornithological Society	No	Infrastructure Strategy for Adur & Worth
DM20	drainage	Fully support these policies and emphasis on climate change and the environment	SDWLP-18	Transition Town Worthing	No	Support is noted.
DM20	Flood risk and sustainable drainage	Part D should be amended in line with NPPG to allow sufficient flexibility	SDWLP-49	ECE (on behalf of St Williams)	Yes	Agree. Suggest the following modification
DM20	Flood risk and sustainable drainage	Reference should be made to the planning obligations and conditions tests within the Regulations and NPPF, site specific circumstances, that information required will be proportionate	SDWLP-60	Rapleys On behalf of EM Goring Ltd	No	designed to: The Council is obliged to apply the appr appropriate to duplicate national legislat supporting text clearly sets out the Cour agreements). Further detail is also set of the adoption of the WLP. It is standard proportionate to the scheme being proportionate to
DM20	Flood risk and sustainable	Support	SDWLP-103	Natural England	No	Support is noted
DM21	drainage Water quality and	Fully support these policies and emphasis on climate change and the environment	SDWLP-18	Transition Town	No	Support is noted
DM21	sustainable water use Water quality and sustainable water use	Reference should be made to the planning obligations and conditions tests within the Regulations and NPPF, site specific circumstances, that information required will be proportionate	SDWLP-60	Worthing Rapleys On behalf of EM Goring Ltd	No	The Council is obliged to apply the appr appropriate to duplicate national legislat supporting text clearly sets out the Cour agreements). Further detail is also set of the adoption of the WLP. It is standard proportionate to the scheme being proportionate to the scheme being p
DM21	Water quality and	Support however encourage strengthening of requirement e) by setting the water efficiency requirement in line with Southern Water's Target 100's tighter value 100 litres per person per day or tighter where possible.	SDWLP-103	Natural England	No	The wording is already considered to be go beyond it. To strengthen the requiren

nce of para 5.259 as follows:

urable improvements for biodiversity by creating or enhancing habitats after avoiding or **nd is in addition to any compensation identifiec.** The Environment Bill......." at the wording set out within policy DM18 provides strong protection of Ancient ledged that these woodlands provide a highly valued irreplaceable habitat and, as such, irrement) is established within appropriate allocation policies (for example, see A13 -

ear that 20% onsite net gain is encouraged.

appropriate and proportionate legal obligations / conditions and it is not considered islation or the NPPF here. Local Plans must be read as a whole and Policy DM9 and Douncil's approach to delivering infrastructure (including the use of CIL and S106 et out with the Council's Developer Contributions SPD which will be updated following ard good practice in Planning that evidence required for any particular application is roposed.

ine Plan has been referred to (South Marine Plan) at para 3.47. The issue of biosecurity ng Green Infrastructure Strategy.

erion h) as follows:

ange of use and householder)should **must** provide a minimum of 10% net gain for buld be onsite. Where it is achievable, a 20%+ onsite net gain is **strongly** encouraged previously developed sites. Major developments will be expected to demonstrate this at biodiversity metrics. This should be accompanied by a long term management plan. te net gain as there is no credit scheme in place yet which will feed out from the nature Nature Recovery Networks will be undertaken in the future and WBC will be actively WSCC and the Local Nature Partnership). The approach taken where offsite net gain is SPD.

nerging Green Infrastructure Strategy will be the development of a green space factor nother name. This applies a factor between 0 - 1 depending on the GI / biodiversity sure that even where an offsite biodiversity solution is accepted, some onsite GI is still

ill be made to criterion c) to strengthen policy requirement.

build be no net loss of trees and any trees removed should usually be replaced on a maintain current levels of canopy cover and contribute to biodiversity net gain and where appropriate to improve the quality of the local environment, and increase er with native species. Where possible, tree stock should be UK sourced and grown.

appropriate and proportionate legal obligations / conditions and it is not considered islation or the NPPF here. Local Plans must be read as a whole and Policy DM9 and council's approach to delivering infrastructure (including the use of CIL and S106 set out with the Council's Developer Contributions SPD which will be updated following ard good practice in Planning that evidence required for any particular application is roposed.

be carried out in due course which includes the preparation of a Joint Green orthing Councils.

cation to the first part of criterion d):

should use Sustainable Drainage Systems and where practicable and viable be

appropriate and proportionate legal obligations / conditions and it is not considered islation or the NPPF here. Local Plans must be read as a whole and Policy DM9 and council's approach to delivering infrastructure (including the use of CIL and S106 set out with the Council's Developer Contributions SPD which will be updated following ard good practice in Planning that evidence required for any particular application is proposed.

appropriate and proportionate legal obligations / conditions and it is not considered islation or the NPPF here. Local Plans must be read as a whole and Policy DM9 and council's approach to delivering infrastructure (including the use of CIL and S106 set out with the Council's Developer Contributions SPD which will be updated following ard good practice in Planning that evidence required for any particular application is iroposed.

b be taking a positive approach as it sets a requirement and also an encouragement to irement further would be exceed optional higher standard for Building Regs.

5	DM22	Pollution	Fully support these policies and emphasis on climate change and the environment	SDWLP-18	Transition Town Worthing	No	Support is noted
5	DM22	Pollution	Criteria b), d) , e) should be reworded. Suggestions provided	SDWLP-60	Rapleys On behalf of EM Goring Ltd	Yes	Suggested amendments are considered b) New development in Worthing will be unacceptable risks from all sources of d) Where appropriate, air quality, and/o These should be undertaken in accorda and have regard to any relevant action e) Where there is potential risk of co in relation to relevant development p
							potential risks to human health, adja
5	DM22	Pollution	Support	SDWLP-103	Natural England	No	Support is noted
5	DM23	Strategic approach to the historic environment	Welcome the inclusion of policies for the historic environment in the local plan that meet the obligation for preparing the positive strategy required by the NPPF.	SDWLP-46	Historic England	No	Support is noted
5	DM24	The historic environment	Welcome the inclusion of policies for the historic environment in the local plan that meet the obligation for preparing the positive strategy required by the NPPF.	SDWLP-46	Historic England	No	Support is noted
5			Very pleased to see the emphasis on environment and sustainability and think you have some excellent policies here.	SDWLP-13	N/A	No	Support is noted
DTC			We consider that the legal test of Duty to Co-operate compliance has been met. Request further clarification and preparation of a Statement of Common Ground to evidence the Duty to Cooperate work between our authorities and establish (and ideally agree) respective positions.	SDWLP-47	Horsham DC	No	Comments noted. Further Duty to Coop
DTC			MSDC would be happy to work with WBC on a revised Memorandum of Understanding (MoU)	SDWLP-53	Mid Sussex District Council	No	Comments noted. Further Duty to Coop
DTC			Statement of Common Ground to be signed before Submission of the Plan, clarifying the mechanism, resources, process and timescales on how it is intended to resolve unmet need and how it is being addressed by WBC in cooperation with the relevant authorities in the Strategic Planning Board (including Arun) Also enter into a Statement of Common Ground between Arun and Worthing.	SDWLP-69	On behalf of Arun District Council	No	Comments noted. Further Duty to Coop
DTC			Does not identify sufficient sites to meet housing need and the extent to which this is the maximum which can be sustainably delivered will be tested through the forthcoming Examination into the WLP, though in general terms the constraints, and in particular the scarcity of land, are recognised and understood CDC will continue to engage constructively, actively and on an ongoing basis with other loca authorities and organisations to address sub-regional issues.		On behalf of Chichester District Council	No	Comments noted. Further Duty to Coop
DTC			Acknowledges the challenges faced by WBC in meeting housing need and the process to produce the Submission Draft Worthing Local Plan having robustly, exhaustively and positively sought to find sites suitable for development - Appreciates and understands the constraints the Council faces in finding suitable sites	SDWLP-73	On behalf of South Downs National Park Authority	No	Comments noted. Further Duty to Coop
Мар			Broadwater Business Park Employment Area Boundary should be modified to include the entire GlaxoSmithKline site.	SDWLP-42	Lichfields (on behalf of GSK)	Yes	It is agreed that there is no logical rease located within the Broadwater Business designation will have the effect of includ Park, and better reflect the use of the si DM11 and Strategic Objective 8. As su operational site (see separate mapping The Council does not however, agree w Whilst it is noted that the pitch is only u should be treated (in planning terms) ar (Development Management Procedure encompasses at least one playing pitch private or educational ownership (the pi inclusion of the playing pitch within the would be contrary to the Government's There has been no evidence provided t change in designation. As such, it is pr Employment Area.

ered acceptable and therefore will be addressed as follows:

Il be located in areas most suitable to the use of that development to avoid

s of pollution. d/or noise and lighting assessments will be required to support planning applications. ordance with the most up to date guidance

ion plans.

contaminated land, proportionate investigations and assessments will be required nt proposals. These should assess the nature and extent of contamination and the djacent land uses and the local environment

ooperate work will be carried out and a Statement of Common Ground is being prepared

ooperate work will be carried out and a Statement of Common Ground is being prepared.

ooperate work will be carried out and a Statement of Common Ground is being prepared

ooperate work will be carried out and a Statement of Common Ground is being prepared

ooperate work will be carried out and a Statement of Common Ground is being prepared

eason why the built area to the east of the site (but still within the GSK Curtilage) are not less Park Employment Area. It is agreed that the modification of the employment cluding all of GSK's operational area as part of the Key Industrial Estate and Business le site to support the pharmaceutical production function. This is in line with WLP policy such, it is proposed that the designation be modified to include this part of the GSK bing extract).

we with the suggested inclusion of the sports pitch within the employment designation. Is used by employees of GSK for well-being purposes this does not in itself mean it any differently from other publicly accessible pitches. The Town and Country Planning ure) (England) Order 2015 and NPPF define a playing field as 'the whole of a site which itch'. It also does not differentiate between different types of ownership e.g. public, e pitch was considered and included within the 2019 Playing Pitch Strategy). The the wider Employment' designation may prejudice the future use of the land and this nt's objective that playing fields should be protected unless certain criteria can be met. ed to indicate that these tests have been met or that Sport England would support a s proposed that the playing field will remain outside the Broadwater Business Park