



## Monitoring Framework

SA objective	Relevant policy	Target	Indicator	Partners / Source of information	Trigger	Actions required (if target is not being achieved)
•	l: Climate cha	ange, energy and sustainable bu	ıildings			, ,
1, 2, 10,	SH1	All development proposals to be accompanied by a Sustainability Statement (ADC) or Sustainability Checklist (BHCC)	1. Number and percentage of approved proposals accompanied by a Sustainability Statement/Checklist	Development Management	Development approved without a Sustainability Statement/Checklist	<ul> <li>Engage with developers at an early stage to ensure the requirement for Sustainability Statement/Checklist is understood.</li> <li>Review of validation processes to ensure proposals are not accepted without a Sustainability Statement/Checklist.</li> </ul>
1, 10, 22	SH1	Increase the energy efficiency of buildings in the Shoreham Harbour Regeneration Area	2. Number and percentage of approved and/or completed developments meeting minimum standards for energy efficiency	Development Management: Sustainability Statement/Checklist	Development approved and/or completed that does not meet minimum standards for energy efficiency.	<ul> <li>Identify reasons for lack of implementation.</li> <li>Engage with developers at an early stage in the design of new developments to ensure that the principles of energy efficiency are integrated.</li> </ul>
			3. Number and percentage of approved and/or completed developments achieving zero-carbon status	Development Management: Sustainability Statement/Checklist	No development approved and/or completed that achieves zero-carbon status.	<ul> <li>Review of development management processes to ensure applications are determined in accordance with policy.</li> <li>Consider preparation of further supplementary guidance and/or case studies of best practice.</li> </ul>
1, 10	SH1	Increase the generation of renewable/low carbon energy within the Shoreham Harbour Regeneration Area	4. Number and percentage of approved and/or completed developments incorporating	Development Management: Sustainability Statement/Checklist	Development approved and/or completed that does not incorporate renewable/low carbon energy generation.	<ul> <li>Identify reasons for lack of implementation.</li> <li>Engage with developers at an early stage in the design of new developments to ensure</li> </ul>

SA	Relevant policy	Target	Indicator	Partners / Source of information	Trigger	Actions required (if target is not being achieved)
objective	policy		renewable/low carbon energy generation  5. Type and capacity (kW) (predicted) of approved and/or completed renewable/low carbon energy development/installati ons	Development Management: Sustainability Statement/Checklist	No increase in capacity of renewable/low carbon energy development/ installations	that the requirement for renewable/low energy generation is integrated.  Review development management processes to ensure applications are determined in accordance with policy.  Consider preparation of further supplementary guidance and/or case studies of best practice.  Identify sources of funding to support delivery of renewable/low carbon energy generation.
1, 10, 11	SH1	Increase the delivery of heating/cooling networks supplied by renewable/waste energy sources.	6. Number and percentage of approved and/or completed developments that include:  1. Connection to existing heating/cooling network  2. Site-wide heating/cooling network  3. Building-wide heating/cooling network  4. Individual heating/cooling system	Development Management: Sustainability Statement/Checklist	Development approved and/or completed with individual heating/ cooling systems installed.	<ul> <li>Identify reasons for lack of implementation.</li> <li>Engage with developers at an early stage in the design of new developments to ensure that the requirement for compliance with the heating hierarchy is integrated.</li> <li>Review development management processes to ensure applications are determined in accordance with policy.</li> <li>Consider preparation of</li> </ul>

SA objective	Relevant policy	Target	Indicator	Partners / Source of information	Trigger	Actions required (if target is not being achieved)
			<ul> <li>7. Number and type of approved and/or completed development supplied by:</li> <li>1. Renewable/waste energy sources</li> <li>2. Low carbon technologies</li> <li>3. Conventional systems</li> </ul>	Development Management: Sustainability Statement/Checklist	Development approved and/or completed with conventional heating/ cooling systems installed.	further supplementary guidance and/or case studies of best practice.  Identify sources of funding to support delivery of heating/cooling networks.
			8. Type and capacity (kW) (predicted) of heating/ cooling from renewable/waste/low carbon sources.	Development Management: Sustainability Statement/Checklist	No increase in capacity of renewable/waste/low carbon sources.	
2, 10	SH1	Increase water efficiency of buildings in the Shoreham Harbour Regeneration Area	9. Number and percentage of approved and/or completed residential developments where internal water use does not exceed 110 litres per head per day.	Development Management: Sustainability Statement/Checklist	Residential development approved where internal water use exceeds 110 litres per head per day	<ul> <li>Identify reasons for lack of implementation.</li> <li>Engage with developers at an early stage in the design of new developments to ensure that the principles of water efficiency are integrated.</li> <li>Review development management processes to ensure applications are determined in accordance with policy.</li> <li>Consider preparation of further supplementary guidance and/or case studies of best practice.</li> </ul>
			10. Number and percentage of approved and/or completed non-domestic developments achieving BREEAM 'excellent' standard.	Development Management: Sustainability Statement/Checklist	Non-residential development approved that does not meet BREEAM 'excellent' standard	

SA objective	Relevant policy	Target	Indicator	Partners / Source of information	Trigger	Actions required (if target is not being achieved)
2, 9, 10	SH1	Increase recycling, harvesting and/or conservation of water	11. Number and percentage of approved and/or completed developments that incorporate measures to recycle, harvest and/or conserve water, by type.	Development Management: Sustainability Statement/Checklist	No development approved that incorporates measures to recycle, harvest and/or conserve water	<ul> <li>Identify reasons for lack of implementation.</li> <li>Engage with developers at an early stage in the design of new developments to ensure that the potential to recycle, harvest and conserve water is integrated.</li> <li>Review development management processes to ensure applications are determined in accordance with policy.</li> <li>Consider preparation of further supplementary guidance and/or case studies of best practice.</li> <li>Identify sources of funding to support delivery of measures to reduce, harvest and conserve water.</li> </ul>
Objective 2	2: Shoreham	Port				
3, 17	SH2, CA1, CA2, CA3, CA5, CA6, CA7	Consolidate Shoreham Port operations in the Eastern Arm and Canal	<ul> <li>12. Number and type of port-related operations relocated to the Eastern Arm and Canal</li> <li>13. Number and type of new port related</li> </ul>	Development Management; Shoreham Port Authority  Development Management;	Port-related operations are not relocated to the Eastern Arm and Canal  Port-related development in the	<ul> <li>Identify reasons for lack of implementation.</li> <li>Engage with operators and Shoreham Port Authority to identify suitable sites for relocation.</li> </ul>
			development in the Eastern Arm and Canal	Shoreham Port Authority	Eastern Arm and Canal is not delivered	<ul> <li>Identify sources of funding to assist with relocation, and</li> </ul>

SA objective	Relevant policy	Target	Indicator	Partners / Source of information	Trigger	Actions required (if target is not being achieved)
		and employment				development of port-related operations in the Eastern Arm and Canal
3, 17, 21	SH3, CA2, CA3, CA5, CA6, CA7	Deliver a minimum of 23,500m² employment generating floorspace:  • 16,000m² in Adur  • 7,500m² in Brighton & Hove	14. Total amount of approved and/or completed development of employment floorspace by type	Development Management; Developers; Economic Development; WSCC	Delivery of employment floorspace is insufficient to meet minimum target over the plan period	<ul> <li>Identify reasons for lack of implementation</li> <li>Engage with site owners to identify barriers to sites coming forward</li> <li>Engage with Economic Development to identify current and projected demand for employment floorspace.</li> <li>Consider negotiation on individual sites to address viability issues.</li> <li>Identify sources of funding to assist with delivery of employment floorspace.</li> <li>Seek further employment sites to allocate through policy review.</li> </ul>
	SH3, CA2, CA3, CA5, CA6, CA7	Protect identified employment sites from conversion to residential dwellings	<ul> <li>15. Total amount of employment floorspace converted by permitted development to residential dwellings by type</li> <li>16. No of residential dwellings delivered through conversion of employment</li> </ul>	Development Management; Developers; Economic Development	Trends demonstrate an increase in the amount of employment floorspace lost as a result of conversion to residential dwellings by permitted development	<ul> <li>Review development         management processes to         ensure that existing         protection in GPDO is         applied.</li> <li>Consider removal of         permitted development         rights through an Article 4         Direction.</li> <li>Identify sources of funding to         assist with retention of sites</li> </ul>

SA objective	Relevant policy	Target	Indicator	Partners / Source of information	Trigger	Actions required (if target is not being achieved)
	pondy		floorspace by permitted development.			in employment generating uses.
3, 17, 19	SH3, CA2, CA3, CA7	Provide ancillary retail uses within the Shoreham Harbour Regeneration area to complement existing town/district centres	17. Total amount of approved and/or completed development of retail floorspace by type	Development Management); Developers; Economic Development; WSCC	Development approved and/or completed that includes retail with a net sales floorspace of 1,000m <sup>2</sup> or more	<ul> <li>Engage with Economic         Development to identify         current and projected         demand for retail floorspace.</li> <li>Consider negotiation on         individual sites to address         viability issues.</li> <li>Review development         management processes to         ensure retail sequential and         impact assessments are         provided.</li> <li>Review development         management processes to         ensure applications are         determined in accordance         with policy.</li> </ul>
Objective 4	4: Housing a	nd community				
3, 14, 15, 16	SH4, CA2, CA3, CA6, CA7	Deliver a minimum of 1,400 new homes:  • 90 in CA2: Aldrington Basin  • 201 in CA3: South Portslade  • 1,100 in CA7: Western Harbour Arm  • Windfall sites	18. Number, size and tenure of approved and/or completed residential development	Development Management; Developers; WSCC	Delivery of residential development is insufficient to meet minimum target over the plan period (identified in the housing trajectory)	<ul> <li>Identify reasons for lack of implementation</li> <li>Engage with site owners to identify barriers to bringing sites forward</li> <li>Consider negotiation on individual sites to address viability issues.</li> <li>Identify sources of funding to assist with delivery of</li> </ul>

SA	Relevant	Target	Indicator	Partners / Source of	Trigger	Actions required
objective	policy			information		(if target is not being achieved)
3, 11, 14, 15, 16,	SH4, CA2, CA3, CA6, CA7	Deliver affordable housing according to local policy	19. Number, size and tenure of approved and/or completed affordable homes, and as a percentage of all homes built	Development Management; Developers; Registered Providers; Housing departments	Delivery of affordable housing does not comply with local policy requirements	residential development.  Seek further housing sites to allocate through policy review.  Identify reasons for lack of implementation  Engage with site owners to identify barriers to bringing sites forward  Consider negotiation on individual sites to address viability issues.  Identify sources of funding to assist with delivery of affordable housing  Seek further housing sites to allocate through policy review.
3, 11, 14, 16, 17, 19, 21	SH4, CA2, CA3, CA5, CA7, SH10	Deliver social and community infrastructure to support new development	20. Number and type of approved and/or completed D class floorspace and social/community facilities	Development Management; Developers; Commercial operators; Public and private sector partners	Insufficient delivery of social/community facilities	<ul> <li>Identify reasons for lack of implementation</li> <li>Engage with public and private sector partners to identify demands for community/social facilities</li> <li>Consider negotiation on individual sites to address viability issues.</li> <li>Identify sources of funding to assist with delivery of community/social facilities</li> <li>Consider preparation of</li> </ul>

SA	Relevant	Target	Indicator	Partners / Source of	Trigger	Actions required
objective	policy			information		(if target is not being achieved) further supplementary
						guidance and/or case studies
						of best practice.
	. C					
7, 11, 13,	5: Sustainable SH5, CA1,	Deliver new/improved routes	21. Number and type of	Development	New/improved routes	Identify reasons for lack of
14, 19, 20	CA2, CA3,	and facilities for pedestrians	approved and/or	management;	for pedestrians and	implementation
, , , , , , ,	CA4, CA5,	and cyclists, including:	completed	Developers;	cyclists are not delivered	Engage with developers at an
	CA6, CA7,	New waterfront route	new/improved routes	Highways;		early stage in the design of
	SH10	from Shoreham –by-Sea	for pedestrians and	Shoreham Port		new developments to ensure
		town centre to Kingston Beach	cyclists	Authority; Private and public		that the improved routes for
		New segregated cycle		sector partners		pedestrians and cyclists are
		route along A259 from		Sector partitions		integrated.
		Shoreham-by-Sea town				Engage with public and
		centre to Hove Lagoon				private sector partners to
		Improvements to				identify demand for
		NCN2/Monarch's Way/England Coast Path				improvements
		at Basin Road South and				Engage with public transport
		A259				operators to identify
		• Improvements to crossing				potential improvements
		at Southwick Lock Gates				Review Shoreham Harbour
		Extension of bike share scheme				Transport Strategy
		Deliver improved priority	22. Number and type of	Development	Improvements to	Consider preparation of
		corridors and junction	approved and/or	Management;	priority corridors and junctions are not	further supplementary
		improvements, including:	completed	Highways		guidance and/or case studies
		• A259	improvements to		delivered.	of best practice.
		• A283	priority corridors and			Consider negotiation on
		A293  Deliver improved access to	junctions 23. Improvements to port	Development	Improvements to port	individual sites to address
		port activities, including:	access approved	Management;	access are not delivered	viability issues.
		Southwick Waterfront	and/or completed	Highways;		Identify sources of funding to
		access road	·	Shoreham Port		assist with delivery of

SA	Relevant	Target	Indicator	Partners / Source of	Trigger	Actions required
objective	policy			information		(if target is not being achieved)
		Basin Road North		Authority		sustainable travel measures
		extension				-
		Deliver improvements and	24. Improvements to bus	Highways;	Improvements to public	
		improve interchange with public transport network	services delivered 25. Improvements to bus	Public transport operators	transport are not delivered	
		public transport fletwork	stops delivered	operators	delivered	
			26. Bus priority measures			
			delivered			
			27. Improvements to			
			interchanges at railway			
			stations delivered			
		and sustainable drainage	T	Ι	T	
2, 3, 4, 9,	SH6, CA1,	Reduce flood risk	28. Number of planning	Development	Any such permissions	Identify reasons for approval
10, 18	CA2, CA3, CA4, CA5,		permissions granted contrary to the advice	Management; Lead Local Flood	are granted	of permission contrary to Lead Local Flood Authority
	CA4, CA5,		of the Lead Local Flood	Authority;		and/or Environment Agency
	CAO, CAI		Authority and/or the	Environment Agency		advise
			Environment Agency	2geney		<ul> <li>Engage with developers at an</li> </ul>
			on flood defence			early stage in the design of
			grounds			new developments to ensure
						that the Lead Local Flood
						Authority and Environment
						Agency are consulted.
						Review development
						management processes to
						ensure applications are
						determined in accordance
						with policy.
						Review Shoreham Harbour
						Flood Risk Management
						Guide
						Consider preparation of
						further supplementary
						further supplementary

SA objective	Relevant policy	Target	Indicator	Partners / Source of information	Trigger	Actions required (if target is not being achieved)
						guidance and/or case studies of best practice.
3, 4, 9, 10, 11, 18, 20	SH6, CA1, CA2, CA3, CA4, CA5, CA6, CA7, SH10	Deliver new/upgraded flood defences, including:  • Sussex Yacht Club  • Western Harbour Arm  • Kingston Beach  • Lock Gates  • Canal	29. New/upgraded flood defences delivered 30. Developer contributions to flood defences	Development Management; Developers; Environment Agency; Shoreham Port Authority	New/upgraded flood defences are not delivered.	<ul> <li>Identify reasons for lack of implementation.</li> <li>Engage with developers at an early stage in the design of new developments to ensure that the new/improved flood defences are integrated.</li> <li>Review development management processes to ensure applications are determined in accordance with policy.</li> <li>Engage with public and private sector partners to identify demand for improvements</li> <li>Engage with Environment Agency and Lead Local Flood Authorities to identify potential improvements</li> <li>Review Shoreham Harbour Flood Risk Management Guide</li> <li>Consider preparation of further supplementary guidance and/or case studies of best practice.</li> <li>Consider negotiation on individual sites to address viability issues.</li> <li>Identify sources of funding to assist with delivery of flood defence measures</li> </ul>

SA Relev	vant Target	Indicator	Partners / Source of	Trigger	Actions required
objective polic	су		information		(if target is not being achieved)
2, 4, 6, 9, SH1, SH7, CA2, CA4, SH10	CA1, sustainable drainage systems CA3, CA5, CA7,	31. Number and percentage of approved and/or completed developments that incorporate sustainable drainage systems.	Development Management; Lead Local Flood Authorities; Developers; Sustainability Statement/ Checklist	Development approved and/or completed that does not incorporate sustainable drainage systems.	<ul> <li>Identify reasons for lack of implementation.</li> <li>Engage with developers at an early stage in the design of new developments to ensure that sustainable drainage systems are integrated.</li> <li>Review development management processes to ensure applications are determined in accordance with policy.</li> </ul>
Objective 7: Natu	ural environment, biodiversity and gre	en infrastructure			
4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 13, 14, 16, 18, 19, 20, 22 SH10	SH7, Increased provision of green infrastructure CA4, All development to provide a net gain to biodiversity Protect and enhance	32. Type and extent of green infrastructure improvements delivered  33. Number and percentage of planning permissions granted that deliver a net gain to biodiversity  34. Number of planning permissions granted contrary to officer/statutory consultee advice on the grounds of impact to habitats/species.  35. Type and extent of habitats lost  36. Type and extent of habitats created  37. Developer contributions to green infrastructure/	Development Management; Sustainability Statement/ Checklist; Environment Agency; Natural England Sussex Wildlife Trust Sussex Biodiversity Records Centre; Parks	No increase in provision of green infrastructure Development approved that does not provide a net gain in biodiversity. Loss of priority habitats No creation of new habitats. Decline in status or condition of designated sites.	<ul> <li>Identify reasons for lack of implementation.</li> <li>Engage with developers at an early stage in the design of new developments to ensure that green infrastructure and biodiversity gains are integrated.</li> <li>Review development management processes to ensure applications are determined in accordance with policy.</li> <li>Engage with public and private sector partners to identify demand and potential for improvements</li> <li>Review Shoreham Harbour Green Infrastructure Strategy</li> <li>Consider preparation of further supplementary guidance and/or case studies of best practice.</li> </ul>

SA	Relevant	Target	Indicator	Partners / Source of	Trigger	Actions required
objective	policy			information		(if target is not being achieved)
			biodiversity 38. State or condition of designated sites			<ul> <li>Consider negotiation on individual sites to address viability issues.</li> <li>Identify sources of funding to assist with delivery of green infrastructure and biodiversity measures</li> </ul>
7, 11	SH4, SH7, CA2, CA3, CA5, CA6, CA7	No increase in noise impacts due to development.	39. Number of planning permissions granted contrary to officer advice on the grounds of noise impact.	Development Management; Environmental Health	Application granted contrary to officer advice on the grounds of noise impact.	<ul> <li>Identify reasons for lack of implementation</li> <li>Engage with developers at an early stage to ensure that avoidance and mitigation of noise impacts is integrated.</li> <li>Review development management processes to ensure applications are determined in accordance with policy.</li> <li>Consider preparation of further supplementary guidance and/or case studies of best practice.</li> </ul>
7, 10, 11	SH4, SH7, CA2, CA3, CA5, CA6, CA7	Improve air quality, especially within Air Quality Management Areas	<ul> <li>40. Number of planning permissions granted contrary to officer advice where impact on air quality was an important factor</li> <li>41. Air quality monitoring (including CO<sub>2</sub>, NO<sub>2</sub> and particulate concentrations).</li> <li>42. Number and extent of Air Quality Management Areas identified in the vicinity</li> </ul>	Development Management; Environmental Health	Application granted contrary to officer advice on the grounds of air quality impact. A decline in air quality. Designation of new, or extension of existing, air quality management areas.	<ul> <li>Identify reasons for decline in air quality.</li> <li>Engage with developers at an early stage to ensure that avoidance and mitigation of air pollution is integrated.</li> <li>Review development management processes to ensure applications are determined in accordance with policy.</li> <li>Consider preparation of further supplementary guidance and/or case studies</li> </ul>

SA	Relevant	Target	Indicator	Partners / Source of	Trigger	Actions required
objective	policy			information		(if target is not being achieved)
			of the Shoreham Harbour Regeneration Area.			of best practice.
2, 4, 5, 9, 10, 11	SH7, CA2, CA3, CA5, CA6, CA7	Protect/improve water quality	43. Number of planning permissions granted contrary to the advice of the Environment Agency on water quality grounds.  44. Status of groundwater and waterbodies	Development Management; Environment Agency	Application granted contrary to the advice of the Environment Agency on water quality grounds.  Decline in status of groundwater or waterbodies.	<ul> <li>Identify reasons for lack of implementation</li> <li>Identify reasons for decline in status of groundwater and/or water bodies</li> <li>Engage with developers at an early stage to ensure that avoidance and mitigation of water quality impacts is integrated.</li> <li>Review development management processes to ensure applications are determined in accordance with policy.</li> <li>Consider preparation of further supplementary guidance and/or case studies of best practice.</li> </ul>
3, 4, 8, 9, 10, 11	SH7, CA2, CA3, CA5, CA6, CA7	Remediate contaminated sites	45. Number of approved and/or completed developments which incorporate remediation of contaminated land, and extent of remediated land	Development Management; Environment Agency; Sustainability Statement/ Checklist	Contaminated sites are not remediated	<ul> <li>Identify reasons for lack of implementation.</li> <li>Engage with developers at an early stage to ensure that remediation of contaminated land is integrated.</li> <li>Review development management processes to ensure applications are determined in accordance with policy.</li> <li>Consider preparation of further supplementary guidance and/or case studies</li> </ul>

SA objective	Relevant policy	Target	Indicator	Partners / Source of information	Trigger	Actions required (if target is not being achieved)
	, ,					of best practice.  Consider negotiation on individual sites to address viability issues.  Identify sources of funding to assist with delivery of remediation measures.
3, 8, 9, 10, 22	SH7, CA2, CA3, CA5, CA6, CA7	Reduce waste and increase recycling	46. Number and percentage of planning permissions granted that included a Site Waste Management Plan	Development Management; Sustainability Statement/ Checklist	Planning permissions granted without a Site Waste Management Plan	<ul> <li>Identify reasons for lack of implementation</li> <li>Review development management processes to ensure applications are determined in accordance with policy.</li> <li>Consider preparation of further supplementary guidance and/or case studies of best practice.</li> </ul>
	3: Recreation			ı		
3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 10, 11, 16, 19, 20	SH7, SH8, CA2, CA3, CA4, CA5, CA6, CA7	Increase provision of public open space	<ul><li>47. Amount of public open space lost to development</li><li>48. Amount of public open space created</li></ul>	Development Management; Parks	Loss of public open space to development. No provision of new public open space	<ul> <li>Identify reasons for lack of implementation.</li> <li>Engage with developers at an early stage to ensure that provision of open space is integrated.</li> <li>Review development management processes to ensure applications are determined in accordance with policy.</li> </ul>

SA F	Relevant	Target	Indicator	Partners / Source of	Trigger	Actions required
objective p	policy			information		(if target is not being achieved)
						<ul> <li>Consider preparation of further supplementary guidance and/or case studies of best practice.</li> <li>Consider negotiation on individual sites to address viability issues.</li> <li>Identify sources of funding to assist with delivery of public open space.</li> </ul>
7, 9, 10, C	SH7, SH8, CA2, CA3, CA4, CA5, CA6, CA7	Improve public access to waterfront	49. Number and type of improvements delivered	Development management	No improvement in access to waterfront	<ul> <li>Identify reasons for lack of implementation.</li> <li>Engage with developers at an early stage to ensure that public access to waterfront is integrated.</li> <li>Review development management processes to ensure applications are determined in accordance with policy.</li> <li>Consider preparation of further supplementary guidance and/or case studies of best practice.</li> <li>Consider negotiation on individual sites to address viability issues.</li> <li>Identify sources of funding to assist with delivery of public</li> </ul>

SA objective	Relevant	Target	Indicator	Partners / Source of information	Trigger	Actions required (if target is not being achieved) access to waterfront.
Objective 9 5, 7, 10, 11, 12, 14, 16, 17, 19, 20	9: Place maki SH9, CA2, CA3, CA5, CA6, CA7	Deliver high standard of urban design, place making and amenity	50. Number and percentage of approved and/or completed developments subject to design review. 51. Number of planning appeals dismissed where urban design, place making and/or amenity are principal reason(s) for refusal. 52. Number of design awards nominated and won by developments in the Shoreham Harbour Regeneration Area	Development Management	Major development approved and/or completed that has not been subject to design review. Planning appeal upheld where urban design, place making and/or amenity are principal reason(s) for refusal	<ul> <li>Identify reasons for lack of implementation.</li> <li>Identify reasons for upholding of appeal.</li> <li>Engage with developers at an early stage to ensure that high quality place making and urban design are integrated.</li> <li>Review development management processes to ensure applications are determined in accordance with policy.</li> <li>Consider preparation of further supplementary guidance and/or case studies of best practice.</li> </ul>

SA	Relevant	Target	Indicator	Partners / Source of	Trigger	Actions required
objective	policy			information		(if target is not being achieved)
5, 11, 16, 19, 20	SH9, CA2, CA3, CA4, CA5, CA6, CA7	Deliver new public art	53. Number of public art schemes delivered as part of new development	Development Management	No new public art schemes delivered	<ul> <li>Identify reasons for lack of implementation.</li> <li>Engage with developers at an early stage to ensure that provision of public art is integrated.</li> <li>Review development management processes to ensure applications are determined in accordance with policy.</li> <li>Consider preparation of further supplementary guidance and/or case studies of best practice.</li> </ul>
Infrastruct	ure					
2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 13, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 21, 22	SH1, SH2, SH3, SH4, SH5, SH6, SH7, SH8, SH9, SH10, CA1, CA2, CA3, CA4, CA5, CA6, CA7	Deliver infrastructure made necessary by the development	54. Delivery of schemes identified in the Infrastructure Delivery Plans 55. Developer contributions to infrastructure	Development Management; Environment Agency; Environmental Health; Highways; Education; Lead Local Flood Authority;	Under delivery of schemes identified in the Infrastructure Delivery Plan	<ul> <li>Identify reasons for lack of implementation.</li> <li>Engage with developers at an early stage to ensure that infrastructure requirements are integrated.</li> <li>Review development management processes to ensure applications are determined in accordance with policy.</li> <li>Consider preparation of further supplementary guidance and/or case studies</li> </ul>

SA	Relevant	Target	Indicator	Partners / Source of	Trigger	Actions required
objective	policy			information		(if target is not being achieved)
	ponsy.					of best practice.  Consider negotiation on individual sites to address viability issues.  Identify sources of funding to assist with delivery of public
						access to waterfront.

## Shoreham Harbour Regeneration

Adur District Council Brighton & Hove City Council

Portland House Hove Town Hall
44 Richmond Road Norton Road

Worthing Hove

West Sussex East Sussex BN11 1HS BN3 4AH









