

Shoreham Harbour Joint Area Action Plan Examination

Matters Statement 9: Monitoring and review

Issue: Whether the Plan provides an effective approach to monitoring and review?

Please see the Matters Statement Explanatory Note (SHJAAP/MS/EX) for clarification of acronyms, abbreviations and other terms used in this statement.

59. Is the approach to monitoring clear and sufficiently detailed? Are suitable arrangements in place for reviews at appropriate times?

Councils' response:

- 59.1 Yes. There is a clear and detailed approach to monitoring. As set out in the Councils' response to the Inspector's initial questions, the monitoring framework for the plan is set out in the Sustainability Appraisal. Delivery of the plan proposals will be monitored through the Authority Monitoring Reports (AMR) of each authority.
- 59.2 Yes. There are suitable arrangements in place for review if and when appropriate. AMR findings will be reported to the Shoreham Harbour Regeneration Project Board and Shoreham Harbour Regeneration Leaders Board. This will allow any issues arising to be identified and addressed, if required triggering a review of the local plan and/or other Development Plan Documents including the JAAP.
- 59.3 Alternatively, matters requiring review could be addressed within the ALP and/or B&HCP(1) as appropriate. This may depend on the particular issue(s) and/or timing of the relevant plan review.

60. Does the Plan provide flexibility? What contingency arrangements and alternative strategies have been considered if development identified in the Plan does not proceed, or the rate of development anticipated is not met, including in relation to the provision of infrastructure?

Councils' response:

- 60.1 Yes, the plan does provide sufficient flexibility. The policies allocating new development are not overly prescriptive, and will allow scope for consideration of a range of proposals.
- 60.2 Alternative options have been considered during the plan preparation, as set out in Matters Statement 1b (SHJAAP/MS/01B). The Councils are confident that the proposals in the plan can be delivered, and that identified infrastructure requirements can be met. If this is found not to be the case, the Delivery Subgroup will lead in identifying possible interventions the Partnership could make in order to ensure delivery. This is described in Matters Statement 8 (SHJAAP/MS/08).

61. Is there a need to identify a reserve of potential future development sites, should the proposed allocated sites in the Plan not come forward for development as anticipated?

Councils' response:

- 61.1 The Councils have allocated all known sites within the regeneration area. Neither ADC nor BHCC is able to meet their objectively assessed needs for residential or employment development. Both councils have undertaken thorough investigation through housing and employment land reviews to identify all potential sites within the local plan areas.
- 61.2 If unallocated sites within the regeneration area become available and are proposed for redevelopment, planning applications will be determined according to the policies in the Plan, and in the ALP or B&HCP(1) (as appropriate).