

**Shoreham Harbour JAAP – Inspector’s Initial Questions**

1. Do Adur and Worthing remain separate Councils, with a joint services arrangement?
2. Have trigger points and mechanisms for a review of the plan been identified and, if so, where are these listed?
3. Does the plan include a housing trajectory?
4. Was mitigation through avoidance or reduction measures taken into account as part of the Habitat Regulations Assessment screening of Adur Local Plan and Brighton & Hove City Plan, and the JAAP? Are the Council’s content that the screening undertaken is legally compliant in light of the recent CJEU judgement (Case C-323/17) in *People over Wind, Peter Sweetman v Coillte Teoranta*?
5. In terms of the flood risk sequential test, what geographic area was used to assess the availability of alternative sites at a lower risk of flooding?
6. Proposed Main Modification MM2 and MM5 (district heat network) - has the proposed requirement for development in defined areas to connect to new network been subjected to viability testing?
7. Has the proposed MM5, concerning the proposed change to Policy SH1 (6), been the subject of any further consultation, prior to submission?
8. In relation to proposed MM2, is there a requirement for Environmental Permits for all sizes of marine source heat pumps?
9. Has a specific infrastructure delivery plan been prepared for the whole of the JAAP area? Does this identify the importance of the infrastructure to the delivery of the plan policies (essential, important, desirable), in terms of the scale of its impact (regional, sub-regional, strategic, local), with estimated costs, an anticipated timescale for delivery and identified funding sources, for at least the first five years of the plan?
10. Policy SH1(5) (including proposed MM4) – is the expectation to incorporate low and zero carbon, decentralised energy opportunities intended to apply to all new development, whatever the scale of the development proposed?

11. Which document(s) sets out how issues of air quality and pollution have been considered?
12. Non-Technical Summary to the Sustainability Appraisal - is final table missing in Section 9?
13. Were reasonable alternatives considered as part of the plan making process? If so, where are these identified?
14. Have proposed individual site allocations been subject to Sustainability Appraisal?
15. Whole Plan Viability And Deliverability Study (SED10-01): How have issues of viability and deliverability been identified within the JAAP?
16. Several representors have indicated that they wish to be heard. Have the Council had further discussions with these individuals and other representors following the consultation responses being received? Are the Councils aware of whether the representors consider that the proposed MMs would address the concerns expressed?

26 June 2018