



POPULATION STATISTICS

CENSUS 2001 & 2011

BACKGROUND

The National 2001 & 2011 Census's collected data relating to population. Key figures relating to the local area are shown below. Note figures are rounded to the nearest hundred.

LOCAL AUTHORITY LEVEL

Total Pop	2001	2011	Difference	% Increase
Adur	59,600	61,300	1,700	2.9%
Arun	140,000	149,200	8,500	6.0%
Chichester	106,500	113,700	7,200	6.8%
Crawley	99,700	106,800	7,100	7.1%
Horsham	122,100	131,600	9,500	7.8%
Mid Sussex	127,400	139,800	12,400	9.7%
Worthing	97,600	104,600	7,000	7.1%

local authority level key facts


- All of the districts/boroughs in West Sussex have had an increase in population from 2001 and 2011
- Mid Sussex has had the greatest increase in population at 9.7%
- Horsham has had the second highest increase in population at 7.8%
- Worthing has had the joint third highest increase in population with Crawley, 7.1%
- Adur saw the lowest increase in population in West Sussex at 2.9%.

ADUR

	2001				2011				Difference
Age group	Males	Females	Male and Female Total pop	Male and Female as % of total pop	Males	Females	Male and Female total pop	Male and Female as % of total pop	% increase or decrease 2001 to 2011
All age groups	28,200	31,300	59,500	100%	29,500	31,800	61,300	100%	
0-4	1,700	1,600	3,300	5.5%	1,900	1,700	3,600	5.9%	+0.4%
5-9	1,800	1,800	3,600	6.1%	1,600	1,500	3,100	5.1%	-1.0%
10-14	1,900	1,800	3,700	6.2%	1,700	1,500	3,200	5.2%	-1.0%
15-19	1,900	1,500	3,400	5.7%	1,800	1,700	3,500	5.7%	0.0%
20-24	1,300	1,200	2,500	4.2%	1,500	1,500	3,000	4.9%	+0.7%
25-29	1,400	1,600	3,000	5.0%	1,500	1,600	3,100	5.1%	+0.1%
30-34	1,800	2,000	3,800	6.4%	1,600	1,800	3,400	5.5%	-0.9%
35-39	2,200	2,200	4,400	7.4%	1,900	2,100	4,000	6.5%	-0.9%
40-44	1,900	2,000	3,900	6.6%	2,200	2,300	4,500	7.3%	+0.7%
45-49	1,800	1,900	3,700	6.2%	2,200	2,200	4,400	7.2%	+1.0%
50-54	2,000	2,200	4,200	7.1%	2,000	2,000	4,000	6.5%	-0.6%
55-59	1,900	2,000	3,900	6.6%	1,800	1,900	3,700	6.0%	-0.6%
60-64	1,500	1,800	3,300	5.5%	2,000	2,300	4,300	7.0%	+1.5%
65-69	1,400	1,700	3,100	5.2%	1,800	1,900	3,700	6.0%	+0.8%
70-74	1,300	1,800	3,100	5.2%	1,400	1,700	3,100	5.1%	-0.1%
75-79	1,200	1,600	2,800	4.7%	1,100	1,500	2,600	4.2%	-0.5%
80-84	700	1,200	1,900	3.2%	800	1,200	2,000	3.3%	+0.1%
85-89	400	900	1,300	2.2%	500	900	1,400	2.3%	+0.1%
90+	100	500	600	1.0%	200	500	700	1.1%	+0.1%

ADUR

This graph has been produced from data in the table above. Blue shows males, green females.



ADUR AGE GROUP SUMMARY

Age group	2001	2011
		%
Under 16	19.0	17.3
65+	21.5	22.0
85+	3.2	3.4

Adur key facts

Key points are noted here from both the table and graph above for Adur. It must be borne in mind that when studying the graph it shows a snapshot in time, i.e. 2001 and 2011, and that with time the bars and lines on the graph shuffle up, i.e. people get older. This will become clear in the points raised.


- There has been a slight increase of 0 to 4 year olds, 0.4% from 2001 to 2011.
- Overall though there has been a slight decrease, 1.7%, in the under 16's in the population in Adur from 2001 to 2011.
- There has been a very slight increase, 0.5%, in the over 65's from 2001 to 2011.
- One of the largest increases in the population from 2001 to 2011 has been in the 40 to 50 year olds. This increase is reflected in the 'bulging' of the 2011 line on the graph for this age range. The large population in this age range is due partly to the 'baby boom' of the 1960's. Data to be released on immigration over the coming months may also shed light on this large population group. This bulge for 40 to 50 year olds then has underneath it a 'pinching' in of the line, i.e. less population, for 20 to 30 year olds. This is one of the major issues facing the public sector on both a local and national level. As the 40 to 50 year olds of today get older, retire, need more care, there will be a deficit of people of working age younger than them to support them.

WORTHING

	2001				2011				Difference
Age Group	Males	Females	Male and Female Total pop	Male and Female as % of total pop	Males	Females	Male and Female Total Pop	Male and Female as % of total pop	% increase or decrease 2001 to 2011
All age groups	46,000	52,000	98,000	100%	50,500	54,100	104,600	100%	
0-4	2,700	2,600	5,300	5.4%	3,300	3,000	6,300	6.0%	+0.6%
5-9	2,900	2,800	5,700	5.8%	3,000	2,600	5,600	5.4%	-0.4%
10-14	3,000	2,800	5,800	5.9%	2,800	2,800	5,600	5.4%	-0.5%
15-19	2,500	2,400	4,900	5.0%	2,900	2,700	5,600	5.4%	+0.4%
20-24	2,300	2,400	4,700	4.8%	2,700	2,800	5,500	5.3%	+0.5%
25-29	2,800	2,800	5,600	5.7%	2,900	3,100	6,000	5.7%	0.0%
30-34	3,400	3,600	7,000	7.1%	3,100	3,300	6,400	6.1%	-1.0%
35-39	3,800	3,700	7,500	7.7%	3,400	3,500	6,900	6.6%	-1.1%
40-44	3,200	3,200	6,400	6.5%	4,000	3,900	7,900	7.6%	+1.1%
45-49	2,800	2,900	5,700	5.8%	3,900	4,100	8,000	7.6%	+1.8%
50-54	3,200	3,200	6,400	6.5%	3,400	3,400	6,800	6.5%	0.0%
55-59	2,600	2,800	5,400	5.5%	2,800	3,000	5,800	5.5%	0.0%
60-64	2,300	2,500	4,800	4.9%	3,200	3,300	6,500	6.2%	+1.3%
65-69	2,100	2,600	4,700	4.8%	2,500	2,800	5,300	5.1%	+0.3%
70-74	2,100	2,800	4,900	5.0%	2,100	2,500	4,600	4.4%	-0.6%
75-79	1,800	3,000	4,800	4.9%	1,700	2,300	4,000	3.8%	-1.3%
80-84	1,300	2,500	3,800	3.9%	1,500	2,100	3,600	3.4%	-0.5%
85-89	800	2,000	2,800	2.9%	900	1,700	2,600	2.5%	-0.4%
90+	400	1,400	1,800	1.8%	400	1,200	1,600	1.5%	-0.3%

WORTHING

This graph has been produced from data in the table above. Blue shows males, green females.



WORTHING AGE GROUP SUMMARIES

Age group	2001	2011
		%
Under 16	18.1	17.8
65+	23.3	20.7
85+	4.7	4.0

Worthing key facts

Key points are noted here from both the table and graph above for Worthing. It must be borne in mind that when studying the graph it shows a snapshot in time, i.e. 2001 and 2011, and that with time the bars and lines on the graph shuffle up, i.e. people get older. This will become clear in the points raised.

- As in Adur there has been a slight increase in Worthing of 0 to 4 year olds, 0.6%, from 2001 to 2011.
- As in Adur overall though there has been a slight decrease, 1.3%, in the under 16's in the population 2001 to 2011.
- Interestingly there has been a decrease, 2.6%, in the over 65's from 2001 to 2011. There was a slight increase in Adur.
- The largest increase in the population from 2001 to 2011 has been in the 40 to 50 year olds. This increase is reflected in the 'bulging' of the 2011 line on the graph for this age range. The large population in this age range is due partly to the 'baby boom' of the 1960's. Data to be released over forthcoming months on immigration may also shed light on this large population group. This bulge for 40 to 50 year olds then has underneath it a 'pinching' in, i.e. less population, for 20 to 30 year olds. This is one of the major issues facing the public sector on both a local and national level. As the 40 to 50 year of today get older, retire, need more care, there will be a deficit of people of working age younger than them to support them.

ELECTORAL WARD LEVEL

Each local authority is divided up into smaller areas called electoral wards. There are 14 electoral wards in Adur and 13 in Worthing. The two tables that follow show population data on a ward level, the first table for Adur and the second for Worthing. The tables have the largest wards shown first running to the right to the smallest ward.

Key facts


Adur


- Widewater Ward has the largest total population of any ward in Adur, Buckingham has the lowest.
- Widewater Ward has a high number and percentage of older people, aged 65 and over.
- Eastbrook Ward has a high number and percentage of babies & young children, aged 0-9.
- Manor Ward has a high number and percentage of young people, aged 10-19.
- Mash Barn Ward has a high number of 10-14 year olds.

Worthing

- Central Ward has the largest total population of any ward in Worthing, Northbrook has the lowest.
- Broadwater Ward has a high percentage of babies, aged 0-4.
- Broadwater Ward has high numbers of children and young people, aged 8-19.
- Central Ward has a high number of babies, aged 0-4.
- Central Ward has a high number and percentage of young working age adults, age 20-44.
- Goring Ward has a high number and percentage of people aged 60 -84.
- Heene Ward has a high number of older people aged 84+
- Northbrook Ward has a high percentage of children and young people in its population, aged 5 -19.



Adur – Population on a ward level

 10% highest numbers for an age group in Adur

 10% highest %'s for an age group in Adur

Age	Widewater	Eastbrook	Marine	Mash Barn	S'wick Green	Churchill	St Mary's	Peverel	Manor	Hillside	Cokeham	Southlands	St Nicolas	Buckingham
Total Pop	5715	4607	4524	4437	4436	4411	4400	4363	4247	4212	4198	3981	3829	3813
0 to 4	287	321	318	249	255	256	285	233	225	228	217	268	202	193
	5.0%	7.0%	7.0%	5.6%	5.7%	5.8%	6.5%	5.3%	5.3%	5.4%	5.2%	6.7%	5.3%	5.1%
5 to 7	127	174	168	148	142	123	144	142	120	131	108	122	126	124
	2.2%	3.8%	3.7%	3.3%	3.2%	2.8%	3.3%	3.3%	2.8%	3.1%	2.6%	3.1%	3.3%	3.3%
8 to 9	78	115	97	109	93	81	76	75	82	90	79	114	60	62
	1.4%	2.5%	2.1%	2.5%	2.1%	1.8%	1.7%	1.7%	1.9%	2.10%	1.9%	2.9%	1.6%	1.6%
10 to 14	198	284	194	289	227	196	205	252	286	242	186	243	176	195
	3.5%	6.2%	4.3%	6.5%	5.1%	4.4%	4.7%	5.8%	6.7%	5.7%	4.4%	6.1%	4.6%	5.1%
15	46	68	37	75	55	46	51	59	85	60	49	76	32	44
	0.8%	1.5%	0.8%	1.7%	1.2%	1.0%	1.2%	1.4%	2.0%	1.4%	1.2%	1.9%	0.8%	1.2%
16 to 17	92	153	78	133	95	94	103	116	191	95	89	93	87	82
	1.6%	3.3%	1.7%	3.0%	2.1%	2.1%	2.3%	2.7%	4.5%	2.3%	2.1%	2.3%	2.3%	2.2%
18 to 19	95	126	61	118	78	81	92	90	129	92	65	89	73	67
	1.7%	2.7%	1.3%	2.7%	1.8%	1.8%	2.1%	2.1%	3.0%	2.2%	1.5%	2.2%	1.9%	1.8%
20 to 24	268	260	231	252	238	251	233	210	153	213	194	214	127	138
	4.7%	5.6%	5.1%	5.7%	5.4%	5.7%	5.3%	4.8%	3.6%	5.0%	4.6%	5.4%	3.3%	3.6%
25 to 29	318	270	301	227	235	231	265	208	182	176	220	224	111	163
	5.6%	5.9%	6.7%	5.1%	5.3%	5.2%	6.0%	4.8%	4.3%	4.2%	5.2%	5.6%	2.9%	4.3%
30 to 44	1027	980	1070	828	839	730	992	837	747	797	782	784	713	716
	18.0%	21.3%	23.7%	18.7%	18.9%	16.5%	22.5%	19.2%	17.6%	18.9%	18.6%	19.7%	18.6%	18.8%
45 to 59	1113	847	919	896	895	805	812	827	838	912	927	715	764	862
	19.5%	18.4%	20.3%	20.2%	20.2%	18.2%	18.5%	19.0%	19.7%	21.6%	22.1%	18.0%	20.0%	22.6%
60 to 64	428	274	311	282	312	304	260	298	324	258	337	237	349	327
	7.5%	5.9%	6.9%	6.4%	7.0%	6.9%	5.9%	6.8%	7.6%	6.1%	8.0%	6.0%	9.1%	8.6%
65 to 74	744	385	357	444	478	559	399	559	474	459	531	347	497	482
	13.0%	8.4%	7.9%	10.0%	10.8%	12.7%	9.1%	12.8%	11.2%	10.9%	12.6%	8.7%	13.0%	12.6%
75 to 84	604	236	271	283	340	454	307	323	301	338	312	330	325	260
	10.6%	5.1%	6.0%	6.4%	7.7%	10.3%	7.0%	7.4%	7.1%	8.0%	7.4%	8.3%	8.5%	6.8%
84 to 89	167	76	78	73	88	138	110	89	68	97	80	98	119	67
	2.9%	1.6%	1.7%	1.6%	2.0%	3.1%	2.5%	2.0%	1.6%	2.3%	1.9%	2.5%	3.1%	1.8%
90+	123	38	33	31	66	62	66	45	42	33	22	27	68	31
	2.2%	0.8%	0.7%	0.7%	1.5%	1.4%	1.5%	1.0%	1.0%	0.8%	0.5%	0.7%	1.8%	0.8%

Worthing – Population on a ward level

 10% highest numbers for an age group in Worthing
  10% highest %'s for an age group in Worthing

Age	Central	Gaisford	Broadwater	Salvington	Tarring	Selden	Castle	Marine	Goring	Heene	Offington	Durrington	Northbrook
Total Pop	9890	9373	9373	8893	8646	8377	8020	7999	7990	7859	7719	5528	4973
0 to 4	737	658	721	460	590	514	484	443	308	401	314	352	364
	7.5%	7.0%	7.7%	5.2%	6.8%	6.1%	6%	5.5%	3.9%	5.1%	4.1%	6.4%	7.3%
5 to 7	292	386	375	289	318	264	250	235	209	158	257	176	229
	3.0%	4.1%	4.0%	3.2%	3.7%	3.2%	3.1%	2.9%	2.6%	2.0%	3.3%	3.2%	4.6%
8 to 9	148	246	261	156	202	147	179	131	149	88	171	99	144
	1.5%	2.6%	2.8%	1.8%	2.3%	1.8%	2.2%	1.6%	1.9%	1.1%	2.2%	1.8%	2.9%
10 to 14	336	610	619	469	512	430	499	376	407	212	483	262	369
	3.4%	6.5%	6.6%	5.3%	5.9%	5.1%	6.2%	4.7%	5.1%	2.7%	6.3%	4.7%	7.4%
15	73	134	150	97	83	101	92	70	74	61	100	52	93
	0.7%	1.4%	1.60%	1.1%	1.0%	1.2%	1.1%	0.9%	0.9%	0.8%	1.30%	0.9%	1.9%
16 to 17	142	234	243	188	193	160	190	169	157	101	195	114	184
	1.4%	2.5%	2.6%	2.1%	2.2%	1.9%	2.4%	2.1%	2.0%	1.3%	2.5%	2.1%	3.7%
18 to 19	175	235	238	179	174	148	191	181	163	129	143	91	162
	1.8%	2.5%	2.5%	2.0%	2.0%	1.8%	2.4%	2.3%	2.0%	1.6%	1.9%	1.6%	3.3%
20 to 24	736	553	514	382	445	511	425	382	241	511	249	253	295
	7.4%	5.9%	5.5%	4.3%	5.1%	6.1%	5.3%	4.8%	3.0%	6.5%	3.2%	4.6%	5.9%
25 to 29	967	528	578	320	493	555	418	385	243	723	220	266	317
	9.8%	5.6%	6.2%	3.6%	5.7%	6.6%	5.2%	4.8%	3.0%	9.20%	2.9%	4.8%	6.4%
30 to 44	2582	2130	2114	1554	1918	1894	1473	1436	1101	1699	1318	991	1076
	26.1%	22.7%	22.6%	17.5%	22.2%	22.6%	18.4%	18.0%	13.8%	21.6%	17.1%	17.9%	21.6%
45 to 59	1554	1944	1826	1866	1755	1654	1583	1624	1570	1328	1627	1143	1072
	15.7%	20.7%	19.5%	21.0%	20.3%	19.7%	19.7%	20.3%	19.6%	16.9%	21.1%	20.7%	21.6%
60 to 64	411	435	493	718	527	502	536	562	677	432	624	404	255
	4.2%	4.6%	5.3%	8.1%	6.1%	6.0%	6.7%	7.0%	8.5%	5.5%	8.1%	7.3%	5.1%
65 to 74	692	611	637	1134	711	739	816	865	1156	610	1041	645	284
	7.0%	6.5%	6.8%	12.8%	8.2%	8.8%	10.2%	10.8%	14.5%	7.8%	13.5%	11.7%	5.7%
75 to 84	579	462	428	750	492	473	630	733	1036	709	675	488	100
	5.9%	4.9%	4.6%	8.4%	5.7%	5.6%	7.9%	9.2%	13.0%	9.0%	8.7%	8.8%	2.0%
84 to 89	269	129	121	196	154	167	184	243	340	348	198	134	21
	2.7%	1.4%	1.3%	2.2%	1.8%	2.0%	2.3%	3.0%	4.3%	4.4%	2.6%	2.4%	0.4%
90+	197	78	55	135	79	118	70	164	159	349	104	58	8
	2.0%	0.8%	0.6%	1.5%	0.9%	1.4%	0.9%	2.1%	2.0%	4.4%	1.3%	1.0%	0.2%

LOWER SUPER OUTPUT LEVEL

Electoral wards are further divided into smaller areas of between 1,000 – 2,500 population called lower super output areas. Population data for these smaller areas can be found at:

<http://www.neighbourhood.statistics.gov.uk/dissemination>

Or by contacting Lynne Ward below.

REPORT WRITTEN BY

Lynne Ward
Neighbourhood Manager
Lynne.ward@adur-worthing.gov.uk

With assistance from Aaron Christie, Work Experience Placement